## JUDICIAL COUNCIL

## **OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

APR 14 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT Nos. 21-90128 and 21-90129

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against two circuit judges. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is



frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. \$ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the circuit judges incorrectly denied his request for a certificate of appealability, and this "egregiously unreasonable ruling" denied him equal protection under the law. Because these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' ruling, the complainant has not alleged facts that might amount to judicial misconduct, and therefore the charge must be dismissed. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); <u>In re</u> <u>Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing allegations that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper rulings as merits-related); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the circuit judges are part of an effort to "blacklist" him due to complainant being a moderate white Republican, but vague insinuations are not proof of prejudice, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. <u>See generally In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial</u> <u>Misconduct</u>, 650 F.3d 1370, 1371 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) ("adverse rulings do not prove bias or conspiracy"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

## **DISMISSED.**