JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 22-90053

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28



Jan.19 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge failed to comply with a ruling from this court, and failed to timely rule on a motion filed in 2021. The record, however, belies these contentions. The docket reflects that this court's instructions on remand were followed, and that the district judge denied the motion in question. Because Complainant has not alleged facts that might amount to judicial misconduct, this complaint must be dismissed. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii)(listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct occurred); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) (dismissing allegations after a review of the underlying record refuted complainant's allegations that the judge treated complainant with hostility); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.