## FOR PUBLICATION

# **FILED**

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 18 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ARIZONA ALLIANCE FOR RETIRED AMERICANS; VOTO LATINO; PRIORITIES USA,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

KRISTIN K. MAYES, in her official capacity as Attorney General for the State of Arizona,

Defendant-Appellant,

YUMA COUNTY REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE,

Intervenor-Defendant-Appellant,

and

KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as Secretary of State for the State of Arizona; LARRY NOBLE, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Apache County Recorder; DAVID STEVENS, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Cochise County Recorder, previously named as David Stephens; PATTY HANSEN, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Coconino County Recorder; SADIE JO BINGHAM, Nominal Defendant, in her

No. 22-16490

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-01374-GMS District of Arizona, Phoenix

## **ORDER**

official capacity as Gila County Recorder; WENDY JOHN, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Graham County Recorder; SHARIE MILHEIRO, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Greenlee County Recorder; RICHARD GARCIA, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as La Paz County Recorder; STEPHEN RICHER, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; KRISTI BLAIR, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Mohave County Recorder; MICHAEL SAMPLE, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Navajo County Recorder; GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Pima County Recorder; DANA LEWIS, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Pinal County Recorder; SUZANNE SAINZ, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Santa Cruz County Recorder; MICHELLE BURCHILL, Nominal Defendant, in her official capacity as Yavapai County Recorder; RICHARD COLWELL, Nominal Defendant, in his official capacity as Yuma County Recorder,

#### Defendants.

# MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Upon the vote of a majority of nonrecused active judges, it is ordered that this case be reheard en banc pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 40(c) and Circuit Rule 40-3. The three-judge panel opinion is vacated.