IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

CESAR RAUL ACEVES.

Defendant-Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court For the Central District of California, The Honorable George H. Wu, Presiding. CR NO. 15-00245-GW

EXCERPTS OF RECORD - VOLUME 4 OF 5



CUAUHTEMOC ORTEGA Federal Public Defender ASHWINI S. MATE Deputy Federal Public Defender 321 East 2nd Street Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 894-1417 Ashwini_Mate@fd.org

Counsel for Defendant-*Appellant*



1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION	
3	HONORABLE GEORGE H. WU, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE	
4		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
6	Plaintiff,)	
7) Case No. vs.) CR 15-00245-GW (SS)	
8	CESAR RAUL ACEVES,) VOLUME 1	
9) (Pages 1 - 206) Defendant.)	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11		
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS TRIAL DAY 1	
13	TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2017 9:05 A.M.	
14	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	-	
23	CAROL JEAN ZURBORG, CSR NO. 7921, CCRR, RMR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	350 WEST 1ST STREET, SUITE 4311 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-4565	
25	(213) 894-3539	

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4	EILEEN DECKER United States Attorney
5	BY: KYLE J. RYAN BY: MARK AVEIS
6 7	Assistant United States Attorneys United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street
8	Los Angeles, California 90012
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10	HILARY LEE POTASHNER Federal Public Defender
11	BY: DAVID MENNINGER BY: STEPEHN DEMIK
12	Deputy Federal Public Defenders Central District of California
13	321 East Second Street Los Angeles, California 90012
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3		
4	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	
5	EXAMINATIONS	PAGE
6	JOSHUA ARAMBULO	1 5 2
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RYAN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MENNINGER	153 167
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	NUMBER	FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
	1	154	155
4	2	154	155
5	3	154	155
6	4	154	155
7	6	159	159
8	7	159	159
9	11	164	164
10	12	164	164
11	16	154	155
12	20	158	
13	21	163	
14	23	154	
15	23		155
16	211	182	133
17	211	102	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2017 2 9:05 A.M. 3 --000--4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come This United States District Court is now in session, 5 6 the Honorable George H. Wu presiding. 7 THE COURT: All right. I will call the matter of the United States versus Aceves. 8 9 May I have appearances. 10 MR. AVEIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kyle Ryan and 11 Mark Aveis on behalf of the United States. Also at counsel 12 table is Joshua Arambulo. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. 14 CASE AGENT: Good morning, Your Honor. 15 MR. MENNINGER: Good morning, Your Honor. David 16 Menninger here with my colleague, Stephen Demik. We are here 17 on behalf of Cesar Raul Aceves, who is present, out on bond. 18 THE COURT: Before I bring in the jury, I have a 19 couple of questions. Did the Government give me a witness 2.0 list? 21 The answer is "yes," today. 22 All right. Also, I presume there is no problem with me 23 telling the jury that the case will not go any longer than 24 Friday. It may go sooner, but certainly by Friday. I assume 25 that's agreeable to both sides?

1	MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And how are the stipulations going to be
4	presented to the jury? Are you guys just going to offer them
5	in the beginning? Or during the process? Or how?
6	MR. RYAN: Your Honor, I was planning to read them
7	at different stages throughout the trial.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
9	MR. RYAN: And also, we filed a stipulation as to
10	foundation yesterday to the Government's exhibit list.
11	THE COURT: Yes, I saw that.
12	MR. MENNINGER: Just one thing on that, Your Honor.
13	The defendant also agreed that all the documents in the A-file,
13	
14	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and
14	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and
14 15	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility.
14 15 16	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay.
14 15 16 17	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity.
14 15 16 17	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake.
14 15 16 17 18	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake. THE COURT: Foundation as to all those documents?
14 15 16 17 18 19	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake. THE COURT: Foundation as to all those documents? MR. RYAN: As to the certified, yes, Your Honor.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake. THE COURT: Foundation as to all those documents? MR. RYAN: As to the certified, yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake. THE COURT: Foundation as to all those documents? MR. RYAN: As to the certified, yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. RYAN: Given that we have stipulated to the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Government has agreed to stipulate to foundation and admissibility. THE COURT: Okay. MR. RYAN: Authenticity. MR. MENNINGER: Authenticity, my mistake. THE COURT: Foundation as to all those documents? MR. RYAN: As to the certified, yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. MR. RYAN: Given that we have stipulated to the foundation for the Government's stipulation list, we would seek

```
1
    so the jury understands which ones are admitted. So if I do it
 2
    now, they won't know it was admitted.
 3
               MR. RYAN: We can reference that they have been
 4
    admitted in front of the jury as well, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Just what you need to do in the start of
    your case is "The Government moves to admit," and then
 6
 7
    everybody knows and it's there.
 8
               MR. RYAN: Very well, Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Are any of the witnesses going to
10
    testify through interpreters?
11
               MR. RYAN: No, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: But there is a document that the
13
    interpretation is going in.
14
               MR. RYAN:
                         That's correct, and we have a stipulation
15
    to that translation.
16
               THE COURT: All right. I'll give the parties a
    preliminary set of jury instructions sometime -- well, at the
17
18
    next break. Okay?
19
               MR. RYAN: One other thing before we bring the jury
20
    in.
21
               THE COURT: Yes.
22
                          The defense has represented that they
               MR. RYAN:
23
    intend to introduce a document that was previously on the
24
    Government's exhibit list, but we removed. It's the
25
    defendant's application to register lawful permanent residence.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
We believe that that document is irrelevant to the case.
also should be excluded under Federal Rules of Evidence 403,
and we would ask the Court to exclude the document and order
the defense not reference it during voir dire.
           THE COURT:
                       Well, let me just ask, that's not one of
the documents -- is that one of the documents in the certified
A-file?
           MR. RYAN: It is a document in the certified A-file,
so we wouldn't object based on what the document is. We would
just object based on relevance on 403.
           THE COURT: Let me just ask the defense counsel.
some witness going to testify as to that?
           MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that
is -- that will be a subject of the cross-examination,
Your Honor. The Government -- I should say, just to clarify,
Your Honor, this was on the Government's witness list as early
as yesterday morning.
           THE COURT: When you say "this was on the
Government's witness list" --
           MR. MENNINGER: I'm sorry, exhibit list, as soon as
yesterday morning. It is a document in the A-file. This case
is about the A-file. That is the Government's case is all the
documents that are in the A-file, and the completeness of the
A-file. And as a document that is in the A-file, I believe
that it's necessary for the jury to be able to see how those
```

documents are handled and how those documents and applications are processed.

MR. RYAN: Well, Your Honor, there's many documents in the A-file that are not relevant to this case. This is one of them. It was on the Government's exhibit list because we were not sure how to prove alienage. However, based on the Court's ruling yesterday on the motion in limine on citizenship, we no longer need to rely on that document. That's why we took it off our exhibit list.

THE COURT: Let me just ask. I understand the Government is making an argument that the document is irrelevant. However, the document was on the Government's witness list as of yesterday. I don't understand how the Government could argue that it's irrelevant.

MR. RYAN: It was relevant for purposes of establishing alienage because the defendant admitted he was from Mexico in that document. Other than that, it's not relevant.

THE COURT: I don't know. I haven't seen the document so I don't know whether or not it is or is not relevant. Put it this way, I'm not going to strike the document at this point in time. Obviously both sides know that before an exhibit can be shown to the jury, the exhibit has to be admitted. And so if the defense tries to question or admit the document in, at that point in time you can object, and I

```
1
    will consider the situation at that point.
 2
               MR. RYAN: Okay, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Did he agree with me, your silent
 4
    partner?
 5
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: That's good.
 6
 7
          All right. So what else do we need to talk about before
 8
    bringing in the jury?
 9
               MR. RYAN: I believe that's it.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me just ask, we are going to
11
    have to move the people in the courtroom a bit because we have
12
    to place the jury.
13
          So do you want to decide how you do that, Javier?
14
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You said you were eventually
15
    going to put them in the front row.
16
               THE COURT: Let me just do this. We are going to
    have a lot of jurors, so what I want you to do is sit in the
17
18
    back row. Once I seat the jurors, you can feel free to sit
19
    anywhere you want at that point, but just in the beginning
20
    because it's going to be a little crowded, let me just move you
    to the back row.
21
22
          Let me ask, Javier, how long is it going to take for us to
23
    get the jury?
24
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Hopefully ten minutes from
25
    when I called them.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Why don't you tell the jury to come in at 9:30, tell the jury room to bring them in at 9:30 so you guys can take a break until 9:30, and we will bring them up and then we will start. (Recess taken from 9:12 a.m. to 9:24 a.m.) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Let me give to both sides a copy of the preliminary jury instructions, and we will talk about it sometime this morning. All right. Let me ask counsel -- I don't see any jurors out there. I thought you said there were some out there. (Discussion off the record.) THE COURT: Let me indicate to counsel, insofar as the alternate jurors are concerned, what I usually do is rather than having the counsel exercise peremptories, what you can do is you can talk to each other after you've selected the 12, and as to the alternates, the jurors that are remaining, could be alternate jurors, you guys can stip and agree to who the two you want, and you can either agree on two in order or two -any two in the order will be determined by a flip of the coin. Whatever you guys want to do is fine. So after we choose the original 12, I will ask you guys for a sidebar, and you guys can tell me if you agree or not. Okay? Does either side object to that?

```
1
               MR. RYAN: No, Your Honor.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Then, obviously, if you guys can't
 4
    agree, there would be the peremptories with the Government
    first and then defendant, and one peremptory challenge each.
 5
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. We're ready.
 6
 7
               THE COURT:
                           Great.
 8
          (In the presence of the prospective jury.)
               THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and
 9
10
    gentlemen. Can you hear me?
11
          (Members of the prospective jury said, "Yes.")
12
               THE COURT: You have been brought here in the matter
13
    of United States of America versus Raul Aceves, which is case
    number CR 15-245-GW. And let me just give you a brief
14
15
    description of what this case is about. The defendant is
16
    charged with one count of being an alien found in the United
17
    States following deportation.
18
          The charge reads: On or about November 26 of 2014,
19
    defendant, an alien, who had been officially deported and
2.0
    removed from the United States on or about July 29th, 2010, was
21
    found in Los Angeles County within the Central District of
22
    California, after knowingly and voluntarily reentering and
23
    remaining in the United States without having obtained
24
    permission from the attorney general or the secretary of
25
    Homeland Security to reapply for admission to the United States
```

following deportation and removal. The defendant has denied this charge.

At this point in time let me have the clerk swear in the jury panel.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please stand and raise your right hand, please.

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY WAS SWORN

(The prospective jury responded, "I do.")

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please have a seat.

THE COURT: Let me talk to you a little bit briefly about a couple of things about this case and about how I proceed in this courtroom. The first thing you are probably asking yourselves is how long is this case going to last? I can't tell you the time in which the case will end because, obviously, that depends on a lot of factors that are, in part, beyond my control.

However, I can tell you that we expect the case to go to the jury no later than Friday. In other words, by Friday you should have heard all the testimony, the arguments of counsel, and the Court's jury instructions, and so the case should be available for the jury to start its deliberations on Friday.

Now, I can't tell you when the jury will end that deliberations because sometimes jurors deliberate for a lengthy period of time. Sometimes they deliberate a shorter period of time. I can never estimate on that because it depends on many

things, or just simply it will happen in the future and I don't know what the future will bring, but we do expect the case to go to the jury by Friday.

Let me ask, even though you may have been asked this question before, I will ask you this question again, how many of you feel it would pose a hardship for you to serve as a juror?

Was that me?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No.

THE COURT: We have special effects. That was a dramatic interlude.

So when I talk about a hardship, what I mean, something that would seriously affect either you or your immediate family. For example, let's assume we have a situation where a prospective juror has just started a job, and that employer does not pay for jury service. And if you were to miss even a couple of days of pay, that might cause you to be unable to make a rent payment or a mortgage payment or a car payment. I would consider something of that sort to be a hardship.

Or, for example, let's assume that we have a juror who found out last night that he or she has a medical condition that requires them to see a doctor in the immediate future, and so you have an appointment tomorrow to see a doctor, and it is for a very serious condition. I consider that to be a hardship as well.

However, if you tell me that you scheduled a liposuction consultation tomorrow, I probably would not be too impressed. So it's something that's fairly serious because all of us are citizens of this country, and as citizens, we have certain duties, one of which is to serve when called as a juror at least -- well, not at least. I guess it would be more than once a year, but at least once a year, although I don't know if any of you have been called more often than that. But all of us as citizens have that responsibility.

I, myself, when I get my little jury notice, I can't serve as a juror in a federal court because I'm a federal judge so they don't like that. However, I think I would be a good juror even on a federal case, but I have to go across the street to state court, and I get to do the same thing that you may have experienced in state court when called as a juror. So it is a responsibility that we all have, and I take that responsibility very serious, as should all of you.

Now, the way that we are going to go inquire about hardship is that if any of you feel that it would be a hardship for you, what I want you to do is just form a line in front of the railing there, and I will ask each of you, in turn, to come up to the sidebar, which is this area located immediately to my right, and I will have a conversation with you and the attorneys outside of the hearing of other people in the courtroom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

When I have a sidebar, let me ask the members of the audience, don't strain to listen because it would kind of defeat my purpose of having the sidebar. And also, this will be the last time that I ask about hardship. So in other words, if any of you feel it might be a possible hardship, speak now or forever hold your peace. Once we start the trial itself, it would be very hard for me to excuse jurors because of hardship, so that's the reason I'm asking now, and I will not ask again. Let me ask, do any of you have any questions about hardship, in general. Yes, sir. If you are asking me about something specific, just go in the line and we will talk about it at sidebar. So again, in other words, if you feel at all that it might possibly be a hardship, speak now because this is the only time I'm going to inquire about hardship. So if any of you feel it would be a hardship, just form a line behind the railing, and I will talk to each of you in turn. And let me have counsel on sidebar. Let me have the first prospective juror just stand right here. (Discussion held at sidebar.) THE COURT: Good morning. What's your name? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Louise. THE COURT: Louise, what is your last name? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Castillo.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           What is your situation?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My situation is I'm behind on my
 3
    car payment and my rent.
 4
               THE COURT: Let me ask, what do you do?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for a company for like to
    make food, food and stuff for parties.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: It's like a catering business?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Are you like the waiter, or are you like
10
    the cook or preparer?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm -- I'm like in the
12
    warehouse.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask, does your employer
14
    pay for jury service?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
16
               THE COURT: Have you asked your employer?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I haven't.
18
               THE COURT:
                           Why don't you do this. At some point in
19
    time we will take a break this morning.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                   Yeah.
21
               THE COURT: What I want you to do is call your
22
    employer and ask if they pay for jury service, because if they
23
    pay for jury service, then it's not going to be a problem.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: Because you will be here, and you will
```

```
be paid anyway. If you're not going to be paid -- let me ask
 1
 2
    you a couple more questions. Is there anybody else in your
 3
    household, in other words, the people you live with, is there
 4
    anyone else in your household who works?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 5
                                   Yes.
               THE COURT:
                           Who is that?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's my sister and my dad.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And so if they were to continue
    working -- obviously, if you were serving as a juror here, they
 9
10
    could make the rent payments if you weren't to contribute,
11
    couldn't they?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13
               THE COURT: You don't know?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel, do
16
    either side have any questions?
17
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               No.
18
               THE COURT:
                           What I want you to do at some point on a
19
    break, call your employer and ask your employer. I presume
2.0
    they have like a human resources department or something like
21
    that. Ask whether or not they pay for you when you are on jury
22
    service.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
24
               THE COURT: And I will call you up sometime later
25
    this morning. Okay?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Thanks.
 3
          (In open court.)
 4
               THE COURT: Next juror.
 5
          Let me speak to the jurors. One other thing I forgot to
 6
    tell you, if I find you have a hardship, I don't excuse you
 7
    from jury service. All I do is send you back to the juror room
 8
    and you become someone else's problem. I can't excuse you from
 9
    jury duty; I just can excuse you in this case. I just want to
10
    make sure you understand it.
11
          Also, if you get sent out, it may be possible you don't
12
    get sent out to another case. It is also a possibility that
13
    you may get sent out to another case and that case may take
14
    longer than this case. We have cases that take weeks. I don't
15
    want anyone to have a false impression if I find you have a
16
    hardship. I won't excuse you; I will just simply excuse you
17
    from this case. I hope you understand.
18
          Not that I referenced you in particular.
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
19
20
               THE COURT: Let me ask you to approach. What's your
21
    name?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Meytal Sabbah.
23
               THE COURT:
                           What's the last name?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sabbah.
25
               THE COURT: And what's your situation?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry?
 2
               THE COURT:
                           What's your situation?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The situation is just at this
 4
    point I can't afford losing what you described, four to six
 5
    days.
 6
               THE COURT: Let me ask you -- I guess you are an
7
    office manager?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: Are you the human resources person for
10
    your office?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, under one of the hats I
12
    wear.
13
               THE COURT: So your employer does not pay for jury
14
    duty?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think he will be nice enough
16
    to pay for today, but other than that, he won't be able to.
17
               THE COURT: What type of office do you work in?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is a company that's an
19
    international distributor, and actually the company's going
20
    under, so hardship itself, and we're downsizing. So I do
21
    actually wear many hats, and that would be problematic.
22
               THE COURT: Let me ask, in your household is there
    anybody else who works, other than yourself?
23
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25
               THE COURT: So you are saying even if you were to
```

```
1
    miss a couple days' payment, you would have a hardship making a
 2
    rent payment or car payment?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't want to take a risk.
 4
    You said it could be up to Friday, Monday, Tuesday, that could
 5
    be four to six business days. One or two is fine, but --
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask counsel, do any of you
7
    have any questions?
 8
               MR. RYAN:
                          No.
 9
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               No.
10
               THE COURT: Why don't you sit down, and I will let
11
    you know in a couple of minutes.
12
          (In open court.)
               THE COURT: All right. Next prospective juror.
13
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
14
15
               THE COURT: Good morning. What's your name?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Alexander Bornstein, Your Honor.
16
                           And what's your situation?
17
               THE COURT:
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I live paycheck to paycheck.
19
    I'm just a cook. Missing three to four days of work -- missing
20
    two days could put me short.
21
                           Where do you work?
               THE COURT:
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for Clifton Cafeteria,
23
    sir.
24
               THE COURT: They don't pay for jury duty?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: I will tell you what, on a break why
 2
    don't you call them up and ask them if they pay for jury duty,
 3
    because otherwise --
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, aside from yourself, is
 5
 6
    there anyone else in your household who works?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, my father.
 8
               THE COURT: Is it a situation where, for example,
 9
    you guys rent? Or do you guys own a house? Or what?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I pay rent under them.
11
                           Okay. Let me ask, if the situation were
               THE COURT:
12
    you were to miss like a week's worth of rent payments --
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't be homeless as a
    result, but it would definitely put me behind on a lot because
14
15
    I have to take that out of the next. I only live paycheck to
16
    paycheck, sir.
17
               THE COURT: Why don't we do this. Why don't you
18
    call your HR person and see if they will pay for jury duty.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
20
               THE COURT: And then let me know.
21
          Let me ask counsel, do you have any questions for this
22
    prospective juror?
23
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
25
          (In open court.)
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. The next juror.
 2
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
 3
               THE COURT: Good morning, sir. What's your name?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good morning, Your Honor. My
    name is Leo Barillas.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: And what is your situation?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, me and my wife, we have
 8
    two small children, and we have one vehicle, and this morning I
    got to drive her to work. I live in the Antelope Valley.
 9
10
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It took me two hours and 20
12
    minutes to get here this morning after driving her to work and
13
    back, and then even afterwards I have to pick her up.
14
    depends on what time I get out of here today is what time I get
15
    her.
16
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, how far away is your
17
    home from here?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 61 miles.
19
               THE COURT: 61 miles. Because actually, if it was
20
    80, we could actually have you stay.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
22
                           So basically you only have one car?
               THE COURT:
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And my two children. I have to
24
    drop them off at like 4:30 in the morning. Just this morning
25
    it was a big -- I had to take my wife to work and then drive
```

```
here myself. I did it one day, but I can't imagine if I had to
1
 2
    do it four more days, or even if I get on a case, I don't know
 3
    how many days. It can be done, but it is difficult, but, I
    mean, if you really do need me, I'm here, but it's just that
 4
 5
    you just said speak up now --
               THE COURT:
 6
                           Sure.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- or be quiet.
 8
               THE COURT: We don't do car rentals, do we?
 9
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't think so. I can
10
    always ask, Judge.
11
               THE COURT: All right. But the major problem is the
12
    transportation. Let me ask, if we got a rental car for you,
13
    would that solve the problem?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, yeah. She doesn't have a
15
    license.
16
               THE COURT:
                           Oh.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife and I have been together
17
18
    how many years. We work together, and I drive her -- we drive
19
    together and I have let it go. I have not had her put on
20
    insurance. I know it sounds terrible, but --
21
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She let her license expire back
23
    in January. That's another thing. But, I mean --
24
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel, do you
25
    have any questions?
```

```
1
               MR. RYAN: No.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: No, thanks.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Why
 4
    don't you go back and sit down. I will let you know in a
    couple of minutes.
 5
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
 7
          (In open court.)
 8
               THE COURT: Next prospective juror.
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
 9
10
               THE COURT: Good morning, sir. What's your name?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Grady Olson.
          It's not so much a hardship, but I wanted to let you know
12
13
    because I couldn't inform Ms. Maria downstairs because she was
14
    too busy to answer my question. I went to a jury trial that
15
    went to two verdicts last year from the end of November to the
    beginning of December, misplaced my proof of service, so I was
16
17
    not able to e-mail or fax it in when I got the federal service
18
    summons. I don't mind doing it again. It's not a hardship.
19
    Like I said, I just want to make sure that I let you guys know
2.0
    because it's one of the things on the back that says "Have
21
    you?"
22
                           Javier, do you know whether or not there
               THE COURT:
23
    was any problem if the prospective juror has served on a jury
2.4
    in the state court within the past year?
25
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can check.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Check with that, but if it's not going
 2
    to be a hardship --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I wanted to make sure I let
 3
 4
    somebody know so I wouldn't get in trouble.
                           You won't get in trouble. He will check
 5
               THE COURT:
 6
    and make sure there is no problem with that. And if there's no
 7
    problem, you can serve?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sure.
               THE COURT:
 9
                           Thanks.
10
          (In open court.)
11
               THE COURT: Next prospective juror.
12
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
13
               THE COURT: What's your name?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Michael Wood.
14
15
               THE COURT:
                           Wood?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
17
               THE COURT:
                           What's your situation?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A week from today I have an
19
    appointment for having a hernia surgery set up.
20
               THE COURT:
                           What time is that appointment?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it's like 10:00 or
21
22
    something. I'm not sure, but it's Tuesday.
23
               THE COURT:
                           It's Tuesday?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 8:45 or something like that.
25
               THE COURT: What time do you think you will be out?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: From the --
 2
               THE COURT:
                            It's a consult; it's not the operation?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
 4
               THE COURT: So maybe once you see the doctor, he
 5
    will release you?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, set up the surgery.
 7
                           Let me ask, if I were to give you time
               THE COURT:
 8
    to go to that consult, you would otherwise not have a problem
 9
    serving as a juror in this matter?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I guess. If what you
11
    said -- it depends on how long this is going to go because if I
12
    have to postpone that, then --
13
               THE COURT: No. I said I obviously would allow you
14
    to go to that --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                    Okay.
16
               THE COURT: -- because we just may take a break
17
    Tuesday morning or something like that if you were a juror in
18
    this case. If that were the situation, would you still have a
19
    hardship?
2.0
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                    No.
21
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, any questions?
22
               MR. RYAN:
                          No.
23
               MR. MENNINGER:
                                No.
24
               THE COURT:
                           If you are a juror in this case,
25
    definitely remind me that you have an appointment because I
```

```
1
    will definitely forget that you have that appointment, but if
 2
    you remind me, I will definitely let you have that time off.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
               THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much.
 4
 5
          (In open court.)
               THE COURT: Next prospective juror.
 6
 7
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
 8
               THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good morning.
10
               THE COURT:
                           What is your name?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                   Renant.
12
               THE COURT: What is your last name?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ofalsa.
14
               THE COURT:
                           What is your hardship?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know the duty for jury
           I still have a problem understanding my language.
16
17
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, it says here that you
18
    are, I quess, a hemo -- in other words, you are a technician
19
    dealing with blood?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, hemodialysis.
21
               THE COURT: Let me ask, did you go to school in this
22
    country?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24
               THE COURT:
                           I presume that was done in English?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: Also, let me just ask, Lancaster, how
 1
 2
    far is Lancaster from here?
 3
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 65 miles.
               THE COURT: Is it about 65 miles?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I got here, I got lost. I got
    here 8:00. I have been looking for parking lot.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Let me ask, so you are not more than 80
    miles from here?
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no. Everything is the time,
10
    the time frame, because 7:00 is going to be traffic.
11
                           Okay. Right, but let me just ask you,
               THE COURT:
12
    the nature of your hardship claim is what exactly? Are you
    claiming you don't understand English? Or it's too far a
13
    drive?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sometimes I have a problem
16
    understanding. Sometimes I misunderstand or misinterpret.
17
               THE COURT: Let me put it this way, if you are a
18
    technician, nothing that's said here is going to be as
19
    complicated as learning to be a technician and the stuff you
2.0
    have done.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
22
                           If you are a technician -- and again,
               THE COURT:
23
    one thing I will say is that I always tell the jurors if any of
24
    you have any problems understanding what's going on, all I want
    you to do is raise your hand, and I always will have the
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
attorneys take care of the problem. If you hear a term you
don't understand the meaning of, I will have them tell you what
the meaning of the term is and that sort.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                               Okav.
           THE COURT:
                       So that shouldn't be a problem.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
           THE COURT: Do any of you have any questions?
           MR. MENNINGER: I didn't get your number.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                               38.
           THE COURT:
                       38.
     (The prospective juror left the sidebar discussion.)
           THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel, are
there any -- would any side agree to excuse any of those
jurors? And if you want me to summarize, I can summarize for
     I was thinking as to Juror No. 20 and also Juror No. 28.
I can give you the names.
           MR. RYAN: Which one is 28?
           THE COURT: 28 is Ms. Sabbah, S-a-b-b-a-h.
the one that's the office manager. She is the one that said
she would have a financial problem. And the other one is
Mr. Barillas, because he's indicating he has a car problem, and
if we make him come, his wife will be driving illegally, which
I don't think we want to encourage. So can I get a stip to a
for-cause challenge as to both of those for hardship.
           MR. MENNINGER: That's fine, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: There are a couple of others that said they would check with their human resources department, and obviously, if they indicate that their companies won't pay, then I will ask you again as to a for-cause challenge for those. (In open court.) THE COURT: All right. At this point in time, let me thank and excuse Ms. Sabbah. What you need to do is go back to the jury room and tell them I have excused you from this case, not from jury duty, but from this case. And also Mr. Barillas. Again, I'm not excusing you, but I'm excusing you from this case. Go back to the jury room. And then as to Mr. Bornstein and Mr. Castillo, I need for you to contact your human resources department, and let me know what your situation is. And so I will let you do that sometime this morning, and you can get back to me. All right. Let me introduce you to the participants in this matter. And I will have them introduce themselves. For the Government we have? MR. RYAN: Good morning. My name is Carl Ryan, assistant United States attorney. MR. AVEIS: Mark Aveis, assistant United States attorney.

1 SPECIAL AGENT: Joshua Arambulo. 2 THE COURT: And for the defense? 3 MR. MENNINGER: David Menninger. MR. DEMIK: Stephen Demik. 4 THE COURT: All right. In addition to the 5 6 defendant, who may or may not testify, he has a choice in that 7 regard, we have the following witnesses also: Joshua Arambulo; 8 Sonia Elsberry; Javier Madrid; Roberto Villalobos, Jr.; and Terrence Rachel. 9 10 Let me ask any of the prospective jurors, do any of you recognize any of the attorneys or officers in this case or the 11 12 defendant or the names of any prospective witnesses? 13 No one. Okay. There goes six degrees of separation. 14 Now let me just also tell you a couple of things about the 15 operations in this courtroom. In addition to this case, I basically handle at any given period of time approximately 400 16 17 other cases, and so in addition to this trial, I will be 18 handling other cases periodically. 19 That means that, for example, on Mondays and Thursdays I 20 have what I call a morning calendar. In other words, I have to 21 address some of those things in those other cases and I do them 22 on Mondays and Thursdays. So, therefore, the starting time on 23 those days will be later than normal. Normally I will start at 24 8:30, and we will go to noon, and then from 1:00 to 25 approximately 5:00, with a break in the morning and a break in

the afternoon, and that's the normal scheduling.

But on Mondays and Thursdays I will start approximately between either 9:30 or 10:30, sometime in between then. I will let you know the day before what time the starting time is because on Mondays and Thursdays I have to talk to attorneys on my other cases.

And also, for those of you who have been jurors before on other cases, one of the things that inevitably happens is a situation where you are asked to come back at a certain period of time and the Court is not ready to start at that period of time. If that happens in this case, let me apologize for that situation in advance, but sometimes I will have a situation where I will have to address something in one of my other cases that comes up unexpectedly, and I might not be able to start at exactly the time I asked you to come back. So please forgive me if that were to happen. I will try to keep those situations to a minimum.

Conversely, however, if I do ask you to come back, I can't actually start this matter until the last juror is back in the courtroom or in the jury room. So, therefore, the last person to arrive more or less determines the starting time. So please be considerate of your fellow jurors. And if I ask you to come back at a certain time, please come back at that point in time.

Also, you have to keep in mind, sometimes in the mornings it may take a little bit of time to get through the security

people downstairs because they are, for lack of a better term, they are very thorough. So keep that in mind. All right.

Now, let me also indicate to you that if at any point in time during this trial or during this case, if at any point in time there is a problem that you have, either, for example, you hear something and you don't understand the meaning of what you heard; or you can't hear a witness who testifies; or there's something that's distracting you from this case, for example, it gets too hot in the courtroom or gets too cold in the courtroom, please raise your hand and bring the situation to my attention, and I will try to remedy the problem by either having the witness speak louder or having the witness explain the terms that he or she uses or adjust the thermostat or whatever.

So in other words, would all of you agree or promise me if something distracts you from this case of that sort, please raise your hand and bring it to my attention? Do you all promise to do that?

Okay. Great.

We will start at this point in time what I refer to -- or what is the voir dire process or the voir dire portion of the trial. Now, all of you have been called as prospective jurors in this case, but you are not actually jurors in this case because we will have 12 jurors and 2 alternate jurors in this case. So you are just the panel. You are not jurors in this

case yet. So we start the voir dire process to select the jurors.

And there are two explanations for voir dire: The first explanation is the fact that either side in this case can excuse jurors for a particular reason or no reason whatsoever. In other words, they have a right under the statutes to excuse a juror because -- let's say that juror reminds them of somebody that they used to go to high school with that beat them up during the recess periods. They can do that under the statutes. So that's the first explanation of the voir dire process.

So in order to allow the attorneys to exercise the authority that they have with a modicum of intelligence, they need to know something about you, about your background and about your views on issues that may arise in this case. So we conduct this question period to give them a basis to exercise the authority that they have under the statute.

The second explanation for the voir dire process, which is probably the better explanation, but the first one is funnier, but the second explanation, the better explanation, is to tell you that both sides for this case, both the Government and the defendant, is entitled to a fair and impartial jury.

In other words, they are entitled to jurors who can consider the evidence, weigh the evidence on a logical and reasonable basis, and come to a good verdict despite the effect

on one side or the other. In other words, both sides are entitled to a fair and impartial jury. And in other words, to make sure that both sides get a fair and impartial jury, we do the voir dire process.

Do all of you remember the oath that you took at the beginning of this case?

When we do ask these questions it's not our intention to be rude or inquire so much, but nowadays with the Internet, you can seemingly get anything on anyone, but that's not our intention. Our intention is to find out, again, about you for purposes of selecting an appropriate jury in this case.

Now, the way we are going to go about doing this, each of you will be called in turn, and you will be given a sheet of paper which has seven questions on it. Those questions are more or less self-explanatory, and we will ask you to answer those questions initially.

Once all jurors have answered those seven questions, then I will have certain other questions that will either require a "Yes, Your Honor" answer, and if you have a "yes" answer, what you need to do is raise your hand if you are answering that question "yes," and then I will have certain follow-up questions. Once I finish my questioning, both sides will be given a brief period of time to ask follow-up questions what they think is appropriate. So that's how the voir dire process is done.

Now, I do want to emphasize that we do want candid answers, and I do understand that sometimes if a person — well, a person may think to themselves, "Well, if I answer that question really truthfully, it might seem like I'm biased." Well, let's be honest with ourselves; all of us have biases of one sort or another. The mere fact that you have a bias does not necessarily mean that you cannot serve as a juror on the case.

A particular bias may mean you can't serve as a juror on a particular case, however, but let's talk about examples. Let's assume that we have a case where a defendant was charged with driving while intoxicated, and we have a prospective juror who has a son or daughter that was killed by a drunk driver. I think all of us would agree that person probably should not serve as a juror in that type of case.

It's not to say that that person is a horrible person or that person is unfair; it's simply to recognize that person might have an emotional reaction to the evidence in the case that might skew their perception of the evidence. So that's not to say that that person could not serve as a juror on a breach of contract case or murder case; it's simply to recognize that juror should not serve as a juror on that particular case. So please give us honest and truthful answers to our questions.

And finally, if any of you feel reluctant to give an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
answer in open court, just simply ask for a sidebar.
always grant a juror's request for a sidebar, and you will be
allowed to give your answers outside the hearing of other
persons in this courtroom.
     Do any of you have any questions on the voir dire process?
          Okay.
     No.
     Let me have the clerk read off the first 16 names, and
then after he's done that, I'm going to play a little bit of
musical chairs with the remaining jurors. It's like musical
chairs, except there's no music and there's no fun, but other
than that, it's very similar to musical chairs.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Brian Ly, William Wittenberg,
and Jill Smith, please come forward.
     Katherine McKinney, Mary Gary and Devin Goodwin.
Josemarie Santos, Aleli Reyes, Steven Veen, Donita Lyons,
Alexander Ibarra, Brian Barker, Josecarlito Vicerra, Chung
Park, Bruce Holmes, Stephanie Romero, Luis Castillo, Ingrid
Garciaochoa, Maria Martinez, Alexander Bornstein, Denise Brown,
Brian Worsely, Lynn Stone.
     We are doing 30, Your Honor?
           THE COURT: Why don't we do 36, 6 more.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have 24, so another 8.
           THE COURT: Oh, actually --
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, another 12.
           THE COURT: Why don't we make it 30, then put
```

```
1
    another 6 on that side.
 2
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Brian Worsely -- sorry.
 3
    Christine Heckert, Mac Bailey, James Parkhurst, Troy Benjamin,
 4
    Epigmenio Villegas, and Grady Olson.
                            Will they fit?
 5
               THE COURT:
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, I think so.
 6
 7
               THE COURT:
                            They will just be very friendly.
 8
          Okay. At this point in time, ladies and gentlemen, who
    are seated, I'm going to give you individual numbers because,
 9
10
    one, you have seen how I pronounce names, and I pretty much
11
    cannot pronounce a name that has more than two letters in the
12
    last name. I don't know why that was. Maybe it's the way I
13
    was born, but I can't do that. So I will give you numbers, and
14
    frankly, I find numbers much more personable than names.
15
          Starting in the back row, sir, in the back you are
16
    Juror No. 1.
17
          Sir, Juror No. 2.
18
          Ma'am, Juror No. 3.
19
          Ma'am, Juror No. 4.
20
          Ma'am, Juror No. 5.
21
          Sir, Juror No. 6.
22
          Sir, Juror No. 7.
23
          And last in the row, you are Juror No. 8.
24
          In the front row, sir, you are Juror No. 9.
25
          Ma'am, Juror No. 10.
```

```
1
          Juror No. 11.
 2
          Juror No. 12.
 3
          Sir, you're Juror No. 13. Are you Chinese? No?
                                                              Because
 4
    Chinese, 13 is such a lucky number, and you are Juror No. 13.
 5
          Ma'am, you are Juror No. 14.
 6
          Sir, Juror No. 15.
 7
          And last in that row, ma'am, you are Juror No. 16.
 8
          Mr. Castillo, in the back there, sir, you are Juror
 9
    No. 17.
10
          Next to you, ma'am, you're Juror No. 18.
11
          Ma'am, Juror No. 19.
12
          Sir, Juror No. 20.
13
          Ma'am, Juror No. 21.
14
          Ma'am -- Mr. Bornstein -- oh, ma'am -- I can't remember.
15
          Bornstein, you were 20.
16
          Ma'am, you're Juror No. 21.
17
          Sir, Juror No. 22.
18
          Ma'am, Juror No. 23.
19
          Ma'am, Juror No. 24.
20
          Sir, Juror No. 25.
21
          Sir, Juror No. 26.
22
          Sir, Juror No. 27.
23
          Sir, Juror No. 28.
24
          Did I miscount?
25
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                   Yes.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Where did I miscount. I got 16 right.
 2
    Mr. Castillo is 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23.
 3
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I'm short one.
 4
               THE COURT: You were short one. So I was right;
 5
    you're wrong.
                   I'm the judge. I'm always right.
 6
          No stop, stop. Javier, whatever you have done is fine
 7
    because I don't think we can fit another person in that row.
 8
    They would be whatever.
 9
          So, sir, you are Juror No. 28 -- sorry, 29.
10
          And sir next to him, Juror No. 28.
11
          Do we all know our numbers?
12
          Now, what my clerk is going to do -- what my clerk has
13
    done -- actually, let's try this without a microphone for the
14
    people in the box, and we will use the microphone for the
15
    people in the row.
          Let me ask Juror No. 1, you received this list with the
16
17
    questions on it, if you can answer those questions. And then
18
    also, let me just caution, the only thing that is slightly
19
    tricky is juror number -- sorry, is question number 5.
20
          Let me just indicate to the jurors, this is -- we just
21
    moved into this courthouse, and it has funny sounds every now
22
    and then, but that's not you; it's the courtroom.
23
          The only question that is kind of tricky is question
24
    number 5. What we need to know is whether or not you served as
25
    a juror before, and if you have, was it a criminal case or
```

civil case? If it was a criminal case, we need to know the type of crimes that were charged. And we also need to know whether or not the jury reached a verdict. Don't tell us what the verdict was. Because every now and then I will have a juror says, oh, he found him innocent or he found him guilty. We don't want to know the actual verdict; we just want to know whether or not a verdict was reached. And we also need to know whether or not you were the foreperson of the jury.

Do all the jurors know what a foreperson is? Raise your

hand.

What a foreperson is -- all the persons in a jury are equal. In other words, there's no leader of a jury. All votes are equal to each. However, what happens when the jury goes into the jury room and they go into deliberations, they select a person that acts as a foreperson. The foreperson is kind of like the secretary.

In other words, the foreperson would take a record of who voted which way. And if there is anything else in terms of order, how the jurors give comments, they may assign a particular order or something of that sort, but that really is the function of the foreperson. It's kind of like an administrative position, not a leadership position.

Sir, can you give us the answers to questions 1 through 7?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes. Do I stand, or should I sit?

```
1
               THE COURT: You just have to speak loudly.
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: My name is Brian Ly.
 3
    live in the West Los Angeles area. So I'm a software
 4
    developer. I work for Avanade, and I've worked there for four
                I worked for Southern California Edison before as
 5
 6
    well in the past ten years, and I was an intern there. And
 7
    before that I was working for River See, which is no longer
 8
    here. I don't have a spouse. I am living at home with my
    family.
 9
10
          Do I name them out?
11
               THE COURT: No. You just have to indicate what they
12
    do, if you are living with any adults, what the adults do.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Oh, what they do?
14
               THE COURT:
                           Yeah.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: So my father works at a
16
    grocery store, my mom is a stay-at-home mom, and my brother
17
    works at Hertz. I haven't served on a jury. I don't have any
18
    special education or training in law enforcement, medical field
19
    or claims investigation. And I don't have anyone associated in
20
    my family, relative or close friends or neighbors that are in
21
    law enforcement.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
23
          Juror No. 2.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: William Wittenberg.
25
    live in Santa Clarita, California. I am in sales for a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
company, Kapstone, K-a-p-s-t-o-n-e, Container for the past two
years, and we are still in sales for a company called Rockten,
one word. My wife is a stay-at-home mother, and my son is a
supervisor at an amusement park. I was dismissed from a -- as
a juror before, just wasn't accepted, went through the process
and wasn't --
           THE COURT:
                       In other words, you weren't selected?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I wasn't selected.
                       Don't say "dismissed" because it sounds
           THE COURT:
like you were selected and then something happened.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2:
                                     I will correct it next
time.
           THE COURT: All right.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No special education.
do have some friends that are in law enforcement and a neighbor
that works for the sheriff's department at Pitchess, in
Castaic, Prison.
                       The friends that are in law enforcement,
           THE COURT:
how did you meet most of them, or if you met them in a common
way?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Some are through my son,
my oldest boys. They were friends on the football team and
eventually became part of the sheriff's department. Some were
football parents that were deputies.
           THE COURT: Okay. And with the friends who are in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
law enforcement, do you ever talk with them about their law
enforcement experiences?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
           THE COURT: Do you think you know anything more
about law enforcement, because you have friends who are in law
enforcement, than, let's say, an average person would?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I would not say -- no.
           THE COURT: Okay. And have they ever talked to you
about any situation where they had to deal with anyone who was
not a citizen of the United States?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
           THE COURT: What type of context would those be,
generally?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: One of them is a deputy
for Lancaster, and he's on special forces out there. And then
another one, my neighbor across the street is a deputy at the
detention center, Pitchess Detention Center, so the day-to-day
things that happen.
           THE COURT: Okay. Do any of you -- do any of your
friends talk about how they deal with people who are in their
custody that are not citizens?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Experiences of what
happens, but I don't know what you mean by -- do they tell me
how they treat those people or experiences in what happened?
           THE COURT: Well, for example, how do they know that
```

```
1
    the deputies know that these individuals are noncitizens?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: One of them works for a
 3
    gang unit up in Lancaster.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. And so, in other words,
    those are the types of information contained in, let's say, the
 5
 6
    rap sheets or something of that sort? Is that what you're
 7
    saying?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I'm not sure what you
 8
 9
    mean.
10
               THE COURT: In other words, is that how that
    particular officer knows that particular individual is a
11
12
    noncitizen?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I don't know how he
    determines it.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16
          Juror No. 3.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: My name is Jill Smith.
18
    live in Simi Valley, Ventura County. I am retired.
19
    that, eight years ago I worked in a restaurant. My husband's
20
    retired. He worked for the fire department, and he's been out
21
    for seven years. No kids living at home. I never served on a
22
    jury. No special training. And yes, we have a close friend
23
    that's LAPD and L.A. County Sheriff, and I think he works for
2.4
    Burbank PD.
25
               THE COURT: And how did you meet those friends?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: The Burbank one is my
 2
    daughter's friend, and, you know, they're married and have
    kids.
 3
 4
               THE COURT:
                            Then it's more than a friend.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Not my daughter, she's not
 6
    married to him.
 7
               THE COURT:
                            Oh, okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Just friends.
 8
          And then across the street was LAPD. He just moved.
 9
10
    then a friend of ours from when our kids grew up, he is L.A.
11
    County Sheriff.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Do you think you know
13
    anything more about law enforcement because you have friends
    that are members of law enforcement?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3:
               THE COURT:
16
                            No.
          And your husband retired from fire fighting for what area?
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: L.A. County.
19
               THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
2.0
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3:
                                          Uh-huh.
21
               THE COURT:
                            Juror No. 4.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4:
                                          I'm Katherine McKinney.
                                                                    Ι
23
    live in Santa Monica. I'm an admissions assistant at an
24
    independent K-through-12 school in Santa Monica, and I have
25
    been doing that for two years, and before that I was a mom.
                                                                   Ι
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
still am a mom. My husband is a school administrator. And
that's the only other adult in my house. I have been called to
jury service, but I have never been selected. And I don't have
any special education. I do have two male cousins: one is an
assistant U.S. attorney, and the other one is an FBI special
agent.
                             The one who -- well, as to both
           THE COURT:
                      Okay.
of them, do you ever talk to them about their work?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4:
                                    I do. They live in
Indianapolis and Chicago, so I don't see them very often.
           THE COURT:
                      Which is which?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: The assistant U.S.
attorney is in Indianapolis.
           THE COURT: Okay. And do you know what type of work
they specialize in? In other words, do they do criminal work?
Do they do civil work?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Well, the FBI agent does
criminal, mostly white collar. He's new to it. He's been an
FBI agent for six months.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     And Juror No. 5.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: My name is Mary Gary.
                                                           And
I live in Diamond Bar, California. I'm currently retired.
                                                           And
my prior occupation, I was a police dispatcher for about five
different agencies in my lifetime. My husband, he owns a
```

```
1
    couple restaurants. And my nephew is a sheriff deputy for
 2
    Chino Hills. My brother-in-law is a lawyer. And that's about
 3
    it.
 4
                           Okay. And when you say you were a
               THE COURT:
    dispatcher, for which police organizations?
 5
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: At one time Brea PD,
 7
    Tustin PD, Fontana, Cal Poly PD, and Pomona school district,
 8
    they had a police department on campus.
               THE COURT: Okay. And did you receive any training
 9
10
    in like criminal law or anything of that sort, or were you
11
    simply functioning as a dispatcher?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Well --
12
13
               THE COURT:
                           In other words, for example, they use
14
    like code words, like it's a 187 and things of that sort.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Oh, yeah, two different
16
    departments, yeah.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. And also, as to the
18
    brother-in-law who is a lawyer, what type of law does he
19
    practice, do you know?
2.0
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Estate.
21
               THE COURT: Estates, okay.
22
          And you said you had nephews in law enforcement?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Do you ever talk to him about his law
25
    enforcement work?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Occasionally.
 2
               THE COURT: Do you think you know anything special
    about law enforcement because of those conversations?
 3
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Just from my prior
    experience, I have a lot of knowledge.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: In other words, he didn't tell you
 7
    anything you didn't already experience through dispatching for
    law enforcement agencies?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Exactly.
 9
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Juror No. 6.
11
12
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor I might have missed it, but
13
    can you ask Ms. Gary about her prior jury service?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Oh, yes, I have been on
14
15
    several juries: criminal trial, murder.
16
               THE COURT: Let me ask you this: How many cases
17
    have you served as a juror on?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: I would say around three
19
    that I can remember.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. And one of them was a murder
21
    case, you said?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yeah.
23
               THE COURT: And what were the other two, if you can
24
    recall?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Robbery.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. And are both robberies?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: I can't recall. The very
 3
    first one, it was years ago.
 4
               THE COURT: Were you the foreperson in any of those
 5
    juries?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
 7
               THE COURT: And were verdicts reached in all of
    them?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
 9
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
          Juror No. 6.
11
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Hi. My name is Devin
13
    Goodwin.
              I live in Hollywood. Currently I work for a media
14
    company called Mamba Sphere, Incorporated. I work in promotion
15
    and management. Within the past ten years I have had a number
    of different jobs: I worked for New Records, Museum of Natural
16
17
    History, a staffing company, a radio station, and as a scene
18
    shop painter.
19
               THE COURT: As a what?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Scene shop painter, like
21
    painting sets. I live with my girlfriend. She is a stylist;
22
    hair and makeup in West Hollywood. I have never served on a
23
    jury before, first time. And no special education or training.
24
    Two friends from my hometown, Massachusetts, their fathers were
25
    both detectives. I have a cousin who practices civil law.
```

```
1
    That's it.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. Because he practices civil
 3
    law, do you know what type of -- does he do litigation? What
 4
    exactly is he doing?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I'm not exactly sure.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           The friends' who, I quess, fathers were
 6
 7
    in law enforcement, did you feel -- do you feel you know
 8
    anything special about law enforcement because you may have
    talked to them about their work?
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I know where to look for
11
    speed traps.
12
               THE COURT: Very good thing to know. Thank you.
13
          Juror No. 7.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Good morning. My name is
14
15
    Josemarie Santos. I live in Long Beach. I am the CEO and
    owner of my own business called Boogie Made. I do merchandise
16
17
    contracts in the electronic dance music industry. I have been
18
    doing that for two years. Prior to that I was doing graphic
19
    design for a startup called 30 For Life. My mom works in child
20
    development and domestic violence cases in the school system.
21
    My dad's a lab assistant, and my younger brother is a product
22
    engineer at Apple. I never served on a jury before.
23
    selected, sent me home. No special education. And I don't
2.4
    have any close friends or relatives in law enforcement.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
```

Juror No. 8.

2.0

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Hi. Good morning. My name is Aleli Reyes. And I live in Cerritos, California, Los Angeles. And my current occupation is I am a school nurse at Santa Ana Unified School District for -- now it's about one year and three months. And my -- I have work as vocational nurse in a few hospitals in Paramount. My husband is an ordained Four Square pastor, and my daughter that lives with me is also worship pastor. And I haven't selected to serve on a jury before. And special education is mostly on the medical field, case management. And I don't have any relatives or friend that works for law enforcement agencies.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Juror No. 9.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: My name is Steve Veen. I live in Downey. Currently unemployed; previously was CFO of a company called Aura Systems. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. One son in college; another son as a software engineer at IBM. Have not served on a jury. I am a CPA. My son's fiance's father works for the sheriff's department, a couple of friends of ours work for LAPD. My other son's ex-girlfriend's parents both worked for ICE.

THE COURT: Okay. Son's ex-girlfriend's parents worked for ICE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Both of them.

```
1
               THE COURT: Do you know what they did for ICE?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: One was in Long Beach; one
 3
    was in Santa Ana. The father, I think, was in the gang unit.
 4
               THE COURT: Do you ever talk to them at all about
    their work?
 5
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: When he was going with
 7
    them and we got together with them, yes, we did, just, you
    know --
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Do they ever tell you specifically about
10
    how they do investigations and things of that sort?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: To some degree, I think
12
    so. It used to be interesting to sit and listen to what they
13
    had to say, but I don't recall much of it.
14
               THE COURT: So you pretty much don't recall
15
    basically anything?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: No.
17
               THE COURT: And the friends who were with LAPD, how
18
    did you mostly meet those?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Neighbors. Two friends of
20
    my youngest son, about his age, and then one of them his dad
    also worked for LAPD.
21
22
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
          Juror No. 10.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: My name is Donita Lyons.
    I live in the Koreatown of Los Angeles. I'm an insurance
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
business manager for AAA Insurance. I have been there for four
years. Before that I worked at Enterprise Rent-a-Car for 11
years. I am not married; I'm single. I did serve on a civil
case that did go to jury -- I mean go to verdict, excuse me.
                                                             Т
do not have any special education. And no friends in law
enforcement.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
     Oh, you weren't the foreperson of that jury, were you?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: No, I was not.
           THE COURT:
                      Juror No. 11.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: My name is Alexander
Ibarra. I'm a quality control inspector -- sorry, number 2, I
live in Long Beach. Number 3, I am a quality control inspector
at a place called Plasma Technology. Before that I used to
work at Target and Z Gallery. I'm single. First, never served
on a jury before. I have no special education. And I don't
know anyone in law enforcement.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     Juror No. 12.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: My name is Brian Barker.
I currently live in Miracle Mile. Current occupation is a
technology consultant for Slalom Consulting, worked there for
just under a year; previously in finance with Apple; before
that, in private tax with Deutsche Bank; and before that,
public taxes with HCVT here in Southern California. My spouse
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
is a real estate project manager.
     I have served on a jury before. It was a criminal case,
domestic abuse. The jury did reach a verdict, and I was the
foreperson of that jury. No special education with regards to
law enforcement. I am a California CPA. And then my
mother-in-law used to be work with the attorney general, but
that was probably 20 years ago, still has her bar license but
no longer practices.
           THE COURT: Okay. What exactly did she do for the
attorney general?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: I haven't talked much to
her about it.
           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
     Juror No. 13.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: My name is Josecarlito
         I live in Alhambra. Currently I am not employed
right now. I formerly work at Clara Home for like 20 years or
so. My wife works at Golden State Care Center. She's a
qualified mental retardation person -- personnel. And I have
my eldest kids, my daughter is in college right now, and my son
is junior high. And I never served on a jury before. No
special education in law enforcement, but my cousin works in
law enforcement in Bureau of Correctional in Las Vegas, Nevada.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
     Juror No. 14.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: My name is Chung Park.
                                                             Ι
live in the South Bay. I currently work in human resources for
Japan Airlines. I have been there for two years. Before that,
I worked in Internet publishing, another human resources job.
Three weeks at a law office.
           THE COURT:
                      Three weeks?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Three weeks. That kind
of explains my experience.
     I've also worked for -- in college, for -- it was an
events-related job. And currently there are three other adults
in my family: my parents, one is a cashier, one works in the
cafeteria. I have a sibling who is currently unemployed.
Let's see. I have never been selected to serve on a jury
before. I don't have any special education in law except for
degrees, but I don't think that counts. And 7, my brother,
he's had, I think, a few internships, and I'm sorry, I don't
have the details with me, but for district attorney offices,
and that's about it.
                      Is he going to law school for something?
           THE COURT:
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: He's graduated. He has a
license, but not California.
                      Okay. He graduated from which state?
           THE COURT:
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Oregon.
           THE COURT: But he lives down here now?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yes.
```

1 THE COURT: You said he is currently unemployed? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Correct. 3 THE COURT: Is he looking for a legal job? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I think so. You should be close to your brother. 5 THE COURT: What everybody wants to know, what is the name of the law 6 7 firm you worked three weeks at? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: You know, I forgot. 9 THE COURT: You are protecting the innocent, I 10 guess. Thank you. 11 Juror No. 15. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Hi. My name is Bruce 13 Holmes. I live in the South Bay. I'm retired from Boeing. 14 was there for 31 years. I have accepted a position. As soon 15 as jury duty is over I'm going to start for a company called Louis 6. My wife is a bond trader. She works in finance. 16 17 have served on three trials: one was a hit and run. It came 18 to a verdict; the second one was a burglary. I was an 19 alternate on that jury; and the third one was a civil trial, a 2.0 plastic surgery gone awry. I have no education in law enforcement or the law. 21 22 bit of a stretch, but when I was in college I worked for the 23 police department as a -- they called us student marshals. 24 There was a lot of crime on my campus, so they had a group of 25 students walking around at night with walkie-talkies looking

```
1
    for anything suspicious. I also gave out parking tickets.
 2
               THE COURT: You were probably the most popular
    person on campus.
 3
 4
          That case, the civil case, did that come to a verdict?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 15: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: What exactly did you do for Boeing?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 15: At Boeing, I was an engineer.
 8
    I worked in space and electronics.
 9
               THE COURT: And then the new job, what type of job
10
    is that?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 15: Same type of work.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
          Juror No. 16.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Hi. My name is Stephanie
14
15
    Romero. I do live in the West Los Angeles area. I am
16
    currently employed as an assistant manager at a Little Caesar's
17
    Pizzeria. I have worked at hospitals in Marina del Rey, and I
18
    worked in a convalescent home. My fiance is currently
19
    unemployed. I have not served on a jury before. I do not have
20
    no special education in law enforcement or medical field. And
21
    I have no associates with the law enforcement agencies.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Before his current state of
23
    unemployment, what did your fiance do?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: He was a dispatcher at
25
    The Cheesecake Factory.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Castillo, don't be 2 nervous because you have a microphone. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 17: My name is Luis Castillo. Ι 4 live in Moorpark, California, in Ventura County. I work for a company that made food parties, catering company. My dad works 5 with me, and he's a chef. My sister works in Target, and I 6 7 worked there for three years. I have never served in a jury 8 before. I don't have any special education or training. And I don't have anybody in the law enforcement agency. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Juror No. 18. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Hi. My name is Ingrid 13 Garcia. I live in Van Nuys. I am a receptionist for a company 14 called Green Set. It's a prop rental company. I have been 15 there eight years. Before that, I was a receptionist for a 16 staffing agency. I have two daughters under the age of 18: 17 and 13. And my spouse is a surgical technician for Holy Cross 18 in San Fernando. And I never served on a jury. And I don't 19 have any special education or training for now. And I don't 2.0 know anybody in law enforcement. 21 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 22 Juror No. 19. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Hi. My name is Maria 24 Martinez. I live in Huntington Park. I work at Jersey Mike's 25 as a district manager. I used to work at Domino's, manage a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

```
Domino's. My husband works at a warehouse. He managed the --
    what's called a Fam Brand. I never served on a jury before.
    don't have no special education. And I do have a cousin that
    is LAPD.
               THE COURT: Do you ever talk with the cousin about
    their work?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: No.
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
         Juror No. 21 [sic].
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 20: I'm Alex Bornstein.
11
    in the South Bay area. I currently work for Clifton's
12
    Cafeteria. I worked for a slew of restaurants in the past ten
13
    years. I can't possibly remember every one of them.
14
    turn in a resume, if you would like. My father is a mechanic,
    and my brother works at Ralphs, and my mom is a stay-at-home
          I have never been on a jury before. I have no special
17
    education or training. And I have no friends or associates in
    law enforcement.
18
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2.0
         Juror No. 22.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: 21.
22
                           Sorry, 21. I looked at the wrong
               THE COURT:
23
    number. I'm dyslexic.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: My name is Denise Brown.
                                                                And
    I live in the Crenshaw District of Los Angeles, Los Angeles
```

```
1
    County. I'm currently -- well, I'm retired. I worked for AT&T
 2
    for 42 years. My --
 3
               THE COURT: Did you start when you were five?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: No, out of high school. My
    husband is also retired. I have two adult sons: one is a
 5
 6
    project manager at UCLA; the other one works for the county
 7
    children's social services. I have served on jury duty about
 8
    five times: I have one criminal case, two civil cases, can't
    remember the other ones.
10
          I was not the foreperson. I have been an alternate twice.
11
    No special education in law enforcement. I have two neighbors
12
    who live directly across the street that are retired now, but
13
    they were both probation officers for the county.
14
               THE COURT: All right. What does your husband do?
15
    He's retired?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: He's retired also.
               THE COURT: What did he do before his retirement?
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Actually, he worked for
19
    attorneys as far as process server.
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And the cases that you recall
21
    that you were a juror on, you were never the foreperson in any
22
    of those cases, right?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: No.
24
               THE COURT: And you mentioned a criminal case and
25
    two civil cases. Were verdicts reached in all those, if you
```

```
1
    can remember?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: One, no.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Which one was there not a verdict
 4
    in?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: It was arson.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Oh, okay. So it was a criminal case?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Uh-huh.
 8
               THE COURT: Do you know why there was not a verdict
    reached? Was it a situation where the case was taken away from
 9
10
    the jury, or was it a situation where the jury just simply
11
    couldn't reach a verdict?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Couldn't reach a verdict.
12
13
               THE COURT:
                           Is the reason why they couldn't reach a
14
    verdict a disagreement as to the evidence, or was it --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 21: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17
         All right. Juror No. 22.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: My name is Brian Worsely.
19
    live in Valencia. I'm the vice president of creative
20
    advertising at Warner Brothers. I have worked there for 11
21
    years. My wife is a stay-at-home mother. I have never been
22
    selected to serve on a jury. I do not have any special
23
    education or training in law enforcement. I have an uncle who
24
    is a retired sheriff's deputy, and friends and neighbors in
25
    Santa Clarita who worked for LAPD or L.A. County Sheriff's
```

```
1
    Department.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. Do you think you know
 3
    anything special about law enforcement that most people would
 4
    not know because you have friends and neighbors who are in the
    law enforcement field.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: No, sir.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: No, okay. Thank you very much.
          Juror No. 23.
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Hi.
                                           I'm Lynn Stone.
                                                             I live
10
    in Whittier. I'm a legal assistant at Bowman & Brooke, a
11
    national law firm, worked there for 14 years. My husband is a
12
    lawyer who specializes in police defense. I have not been on a
    jury before. I attended law school. My stepson is a sergeant
13
14
    at Burbank PD, and my best friend of 40 years is a retired L.A.
    Sheriff.
15
16
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you, the work
17
    that you do as a legal assistant, is it primarily in civil
18
    litigation, or is it --
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Civil litigation.
20
               THE COURT: Is there any particular type of civil
21
    litigation?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Products liability.
23
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And your husband that does police
24
    defense work, in other words, he represents law enforcement
25
    officers who have been charged with, let's say, use of
```

```
1
    excessive force and things of that sort?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Correct.
 3
               THE COURT: Does he ever do any criminal defense
 4
    work?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: He does criminal defense work as well.
 6
7
    So he represents defendants who have been charged with a crime?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Police officers.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: He deals exclusively with police
    officers?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Correct.
12
               THE COURT: Either them being sued civilly or
13
    representing them if they have been charged with a crime?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Correct.
15
               THE COURT: Do you ever talk to him about his cases?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: I used to work with him, yes.
16
17
               THE COURT: That doesn't mean you talk with him.
18
    Sometimes the best relationships are the ones where -- well, I
19
    shouldn't go there. All right. So do you think you have any
20
    special knowledge about like police -- well, I guess how police
21
    operate because of the fact that you have a husband and you,
22
    yourself, have been involved with cases involving policemen in
23
    one form or another?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: I will save this next question for
25
```

```
1
    later.
 2
          All right. And also because of the fact that one of your
    best friends is with law enforcement as well?
 3
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Correct.
               THE COURT: Do you feel you have any knowledge about
 5
 6
    dealings with immigration law because of the fact that you have
 7
    had experience with law enforcement, people who are associated
 8
    with law enforcement in general?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 23: Probably not specifically.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Not specifically. All right. Thank
11
    you.
12
         All right. Thank you very much. Juror No. 24.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 24: I am Christine Heckert.
                                                                Ι
14
    live in Downey. My current occupation is I'm a dental
15
    assistant in an office, Kulik Dental, and I have been there six
    years at this time, and I've worked with other dentists, I have
16
    been doing it for 24 years. I have Sukut Dental. I don't
17
18
    remember the other ones closer to ten years ago. My husband is
19
    a retired phone company worker, worked for GTE or Verizon. My
20
    son is a security officer, a guard or guard shack security
    officer at a private community. I have served or was picked
21
22
    for a criminal case for a jury, but the case went away the next
23
    day, so there was no verdict.
24
         Dental training, not medical. Nothing with law. I work
25
    with people who their spouses are in law enforcement.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
usually hear just bits and pieces, but not anything major.
Some of them have students that are going to law school and
some friends. And then my son-in-law is in the Marines.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
     Juror No. 25.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: My name is Mac Bailey, Jr.
I'm from Carson, California. Right now I'm a lead supervisor
for CPS Security for seven years; before that, I was a loss
prevention agent. And then also my fiance at the time is a
childcare provider. Never served on a jury before.
training in claims and investigation. And my mother was a
clerk typist for Parker Center.
           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
     Let me ask you, when you are coming to provide security
services, what type of security service and where?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: As a matter of fact, the
company I work for, we was here when this building was built.
           THE COURT:
                       Okay.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: And also we do construction,
commercial, and then gated communities also.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     And Juror No. 26.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yeah, my name is James
Parkhurst. I live in Pismo Beach, California.
self-employed. I have a bakery in Pismo Beach, and I'm a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
concessionaire; I go to fairs. And my wife is a former banker,
and now she helps me out at the fairs. I have served on three
juries that I can remember: two of them were solved, and the
other one was settled out of court. And I have no special
training in medical or law enforcement. I have an uncle who
was a deputy sheriff in Los Angeles County.
           THE COURT: All right. And the case that you were a
juror on, the two that went to completion, were there verdicts
in both those cases?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Yes, there was.
                      And were they criminal cases or civil?
           THE COURT:
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: One of each.
           THE COURT: And what was the criminal case about?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: It was a theft.
           THE COURT:
                      Theft, okay.
     And were you the foreperson in either of those cases?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Neither one.
           THE COURT:
                      Okay. Thank you.
     Juror No. 27.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: Hi. My name is Troy
Benjamin. I live in the South Bay. I'm a documentary producer
and director of photography and a freelance writer for Marvel
and Inside Editions, several publishers. My wife is a
hairstylist. I served on a jury about seven years ago. It was
a criminal case, stalking trial against a former district
```

```
1
    attorney. I was not the foreperson.
 2
          Special education, just some entertainment law reading.
 3
    My father was the former president of the Colorado Bar
 4
    Association, Denver Bar Association, so I have grown up on law.
    The only association with law enforcement I have, my
 5
 6
    grandfather is retired FBI, and that's it.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. And the case that you were a
 8
    juror on, were you the foreperson?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: I was not, no.
 9
10
               THE COURT: And there was a verdict reached?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 27: There was, yes.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
13
          Juror No. 28.
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: Good morning. Epigmenio
15
    Villegas. I live in Hollywood. I'm currently a store director
16
    for Norgan Marcus, a grocery store; prior to that, also store
17
    director for Vons Grocery Company. My wife is an attorney; she
18
    works for county counsel down the street. I have served on a
19
    jury before, criminal case, reached a verdict. I was not the
2.0
    foreman. No special training. And I have two cousins who work
21
    for the sheriff's department.
22
               THE COURT: What do they do for the sheriff's
23
    department?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: One works for Men's Central
25
    Jail, and the other works in a special task force.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: And the case that you were a juror on,
 2
    you said it reached a verdict?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: Yes.
 4
               THE COURT: Was it a criminal case?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: Criminal case, yes.
               THE COURT:
                           What was the crime charged?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: Robbery.
 8
               THE COURT: And your wife works at county counsel.
    What portion of county counsel?
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: She does public health and
11
    mental health.
12
               THE COURT: And how long has she been there?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 28: She's been there a couple
14
    years. Prior to that she worked for supervisor Gloria Medina
15
    and also for Villaraigosa.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16
          Juror No. 29.
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Good morning. My name is
19
    Grady Olson. I currently live in Monrovia, Los Angeles County.
2.0
    I'm working as a front end clerk at Sprouts Farmers Market and
21
    as a crew member for McDonald's; before that, I worked as a
22
    palletizer at a factory in Azusa; and before that, I was a
23
    security guard for various events, as well as a dialysis center
2.4
    for four years.
25
          I live with my mother and my father and my grandmother.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Both my grandmother and my father are retired. My father is on
disability. My grandmother is on regular social security. My
mother works for the Monrovia city council as a clerk and for
the Monrovia USD -- MUSD for an afterschool program at Clifton
Middle School.
     I don't have any other special training in law except for
the security guard training I received. I have a -- my great
grandfather on my mother's side was deputy chief of police for
Los Angeles County for several years and chief of police for
Newport Beach Police Department for 25 years. I have a cousin
who is a police officer in Monrovia PD, another cousin who just
received his bar association for law for -- I forgot the name
of it, civil rights law.
           THE COURT: Okay.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I have been on a jury before
that went to trial, and we reached a verdict. I was not the
foreperson.
                       Was it a criminal case or civil?
           THE COURT:
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Civil, but medical
malpractice.
           THE COURT: And the cousin you said is a lawyer
doing civil?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Civil rights law mostly for
the Compton/Watts area of Los Angeles County.
           THE COURT: So in other words, he or she represents
```

```
1
    plaintiffs suing the Government or --
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Currently, yes, that's what
 3
    he wants to do. I don't know if he is actually part of a law
 4
    firm yet. He just passed his -- he just got his -- he just
    passed his bar and got his full associations last year in May.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           All right.
                                        Thank you.
 6
 7
          At this point in time we will take a break. Ladies and
 8
    gentlemen, we will take a 15-minute break. Let me ask you to
    come back -- just be in the hallway out there at five minutes
 9
10
    after 11:00, and we will start again.
11
          And also let me just ask Mr. Castillo and Mr. Bornstein,
12
    see if you can contact your human resources department and let
13
    me know what the situation is. Okay.
          (Recess taken from 10:52 a.m. to 11:06 a.m.)
14
15
          (Out of the presence of the prospective jury.)
16
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, Mr. Castillo said
    "no" and Mr. Bornstein said he is still waiting to hear from
17
18
    HR.
19
               THE COURT: But Mr. Castillo?
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No. Mr. Castillo said HR
20
21
    said he doesn't get paid.
22
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel.
23
          Mr. Castillo said he is the one that has a hardship.
24
               MR. RYAN: No objection for cause, Your Honor.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: No objection for cause, Your Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: I'm going to excuse him. Because I'm
going to be excusing him, don't ask him any questions because
it will take longer.
                     Thank you.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Are we ready?
                      If the jury is ready, we're ready.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                Yes.
     (In the presence of the prospective jury.)
           THE COURT: Let me ask Juror No. 19, somebody was
sitting next to you, right, that's not there.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR 19: Yeah.
           THE COURT:
                      So we are missing somebody?
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, sir.
           THE COURT:
                      I know who we're missing.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I do, too. I think she went
downstairs.
           THE COURT: All right. At this point in time we
will continue with some questions. What I'm going to be doing
now is asking certain questions, and if any of you have a "yes"
answer to the question, please raise your hand. All right?
     Do all of you understand? If you have a "yes" answer,
raise your hand, and keep your hand up until I note for the
record who answered "yes" to the question.
     First question: Have any of you or any of your immediate
family or very close friends ever been involved in a criminal
case, either as a witness or a victim of a crime or a defendant
```

```
1
    in a criminal matter?
 2
         All right. Keep your hands up and leave them up.
          Okay. Juror No. 6, Juror No. 9, Juror No. 20 and
 3
 4
    Juror No. 23 and Juror No. 29. All right. And Juror No. 26.
          All right. Juror No. 6, what was the situation?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: A friend of mine, her
 6
7
    boyfriend was called as a character witness for one of the
    Boston bombers.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. That didn't have any effect on
    you whatsoever?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I mean, I just knew.
12
    knew them.
13
               THE COURT: And, Juror No. 9, what was the
    situation?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Defendant in a financial
16
    case.
               THE COURT: Okay. Was it a civil case or criminal
17
18
    case?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Criminal.
19
20
               THE COURT: And what was the situation?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Financial fraud at a
21
22
    subsidiary of the company I was CFO of.
23
               THE COURT: And so you were charged with a crime?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: And that was charged by the -- was it
```

```
1
    the state?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Federal.
 3
               THE COURT: Federal. All right.
 4
          And where was the case?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Where?
 5
               THE COURT: Here, in the old courthouse?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I think so.
 8
               THE COURT: Spring Street.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Brownish building, Roybal
10
    building.
11
               THE COURT: Roybal, okay.
12
          All right. And you were represented by counsel?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
               THE COURT: How far did the matter go? In other
14
15
    words, you went through an indictment?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
               THE COURT: And did you actually go to trial?
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Who was your trial lawyer?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I've forgotten the name
21
    now.
22
               THE COURT: Must have done pretty well because you
23
    weren't convicted.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Correct.
25
               THE COURT: Looking back at that situation, how do
```

```
1
    you feel -- how long ago was it?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: About 15 years.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. And looking back at the
 4
    situation, what are your feelings?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I've some serious problems
 5
    with the FBI, the federal prosecutors --
 6
 7
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: -- in terms of the way
9
    they look at things, and their conduct.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. What precisely in terms of their
11
    conduct?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Intimidation of witnesses
13
    on my behalf.
14
               THE COURT: Well, not on your behalf, but --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Well, witnesses that
16
    were --
17
               THE COURT: Would testify on your behalf?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: In favor of me,
19
    intimidation of them, threatening on bringing them into the
20
    case if they were to continue helping, things like that.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, obviously you understand
22
    that the prosecution here is the United States Attorney's
23
    Office --
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: -- representing the United States
```

```
1
    government.
 2
          You understand that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
 4
               THE COURT: So do you think you could be fair and
    impartial to them because of your personal experiences?
 5
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I might be a little
 7
    harsher, but --
 8
               THE COURT: When you say "harsher" -- I mean, let's
    put it this way. There are a lot of people that describe
 9
10
    this -- they use various types of analogies, but let's say this
    analogy: At the start of a race, would the defense and
11
12
    government be at the same place starting off? Or would the
13
    defense be a step ahead of the government because of your prior
14
    experiences?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Well, I'd say they're at
    the same point at the starting line, but I would look at them a
16
    bit harsher.
17
18
                                  When you say you would look at
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
    them a bit harsher, what exactly do you mean? Because,
20
    obviously, as I will explain later on in this case, in this
21
    case, this is a criminal case, and in a criminal case the
22
    burden of proof is always on the Government to prove the
23
    defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, which is the
24
    highest burden.
25
          The defendant doesn't have to do anything. The defendant
```

```
doesn't have to present any evidence. The defendant doesn't
 1
 2
    have to testify if he doesn't want to, and if he decides not to
 3
    testify, you can't use that against him in any way, shape or
 4
    form because the burden is always on the Government in a
    criminal case to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a
 5
 6
    reasonable doubt. So when you say you would be harsh on the
 7
    Government, the Government still has a very high burden anyway.
 8
          Would you be even harsher than that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes, because based on
 9
10
    things that I found out that had been done afterwards.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Okav.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: The people would talk to
13
    me again, I don't trust much of what they say.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. So you would be harsher on them
15
    because of your experiences?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Thank you.
18
                     Juror No. 20, what's the situation?
          All right.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: When I was 18 years old,
19
20
    I was charged with petty theft.
21
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: We took a bargain -- took
23
    a bargain, probation's over. It was years ago.
24
               THE COURT: Looking back at that situation, did you
25
    think that you were treated fairly by the arresting officers?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: Do you think you were treated fairly in
 3
    terms of the prosecution of the crime?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Yeah.
               THE COURT: Do you have any reluctance? You kind of
 5
    waited a second before saying that.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: I mean, they didn't
 8
    legally do anything wrong. I was -- I was just not happy that
 9
    they kept attempting to threaten -- they kept threatening to up
10
    the charges if I don't -- refuse to cooperate.
11
               THE COURT: Was anyone else involved in the
12
    situation other than yourself?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: There was one other
    individual.
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay. And do you think that that
16
    individual was treated better or worse than you or the same?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: The same.
17
18
               THE COURT:
                           The same. Okay.
19
          Let me ask, based upon that experience, do you think you
20
    could be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Yes.
22
                                  Thank you.
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
          Juror No. 23, what was the situation?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Oh, I was just -- I just
    didn't know if you were referring to the police officers I know
25
```

```
who have testified in criminal cases.
1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           So you, yourself, have never been
 3
    involved, but you have been involved, obviously, with law
 4
    enforcement officers who have been accused of various crimes.
 5
    All right. Thank you.
 6
          Juror No. 26 --
 7
          Let me ask Javier, can you get the microphone for
    Juror No. 26?
 8
 9
          She's doing your job, Javier.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: I was kind of like a victim.
10
11
    My motorhome was broken into and ransacked and stuff like that.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Hundreds of dollars worth of
    stuff stolen.
14
15
               THE COURT: How long ago was that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: That was about four or five
16
17
    years ago.
18
               THE COURT. And were the culprits ever caught?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Nobody was ever caught.
20
               THE COURT: Do you think that the law enforcement
21
    officers who were involved in the investigation -- I presume
22
    you called the police, right?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: Twice.
24
               THE COURT: Do you think the law enforcement
25
    officers did what they could in terms of investigating the
```

```
1
    matter?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: It's questionable.
                           Okay.
 3
               THE COURT:
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: They came out, took
 5
    fingerprints. That's as far as they went.
 6
               THE COURT: Can you think of anything that the law
 7
    enforcement officers could have done that they didn't do?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: I really don't know. It's
 9
    not my expertise.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Do you think you would be
11
    affected at all as a juror in this case by that experience?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 26: No, not really.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14
          Juror No. 29.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: I was considered a key
    witness in a criminal matter when I was younger. I witnessed a
16
17
    gentleman vandalize a car and was brought in. It was -- he
18
    took a plea bargain, so it never went to trial.
19
               THE COURT: Do you have any feelings at this point
20
    in time about that experience? Do you think it was like
21
    frightening, or do you think it was burdensome, anything of
22
    that sort?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: No.
24
               THE COURT: You don't think you would be affected at
    all as a juror in this case by that situation?
25
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: No, sir. Context is
 2
    everything.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 4
          Do any of you for any reason have a strong opinion about
    law enforcement, either positive or negative? In other words,
 5
 6
    something that's different than what you think most members of
 7
    the public would feel in terms of law enforcement. Do any of
 8
    you have any strong feelings one way or another about law
    enforcement? If you do, raise your hand.
 9
10
          Okay. Juror No. 3.
11
          Anyone else?
12
          All right. Juror No. 3.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I think we should support
14
    them more.
15
               THE COURT: When you say "support them more," you
    don't think that --
16
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Why do we always hear the
    bad stuff?
18
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
          Let me ask this question: Would any of you treat a law
21
    enforcement officer as a witness differently than you would a
22
    person who was not a law enforcement officer? In other words,
23
    would any of you find or at least assume that if somebody
2.4
    identifies themselves as a law enforcement officer and they get
25
    on the witness stand, would any of you assume that they would
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

```
be more truthful or less truthful, or more accurate or less
 2
    accurate than a witness who was not a law enforcement officer?
          Juror No. 2.
          Anyone else?
          Juror No. 2, Juror No. 6.
          Anyone else? I feel like I'm doing an auction. I'm not.
          Okay. Juror No. 20.
          Anyone else?
          All right. Juror No. 2, what's your feeling?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I think that they are a
11
    clan of people that protect each other.
12
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: And somehow learn how to
    say the words properly to get their point across without
14
15
    incriminating themselves.
16
               THE COURT: Incriminating themselves or
17
    incriminating others?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yeah. I think I've had
18
19
    some police officers that were involved in the Rodney King
    program, and used to hear they were the ones that stomped on
21
    Rodney King, and how they would talk about how they would
22
    answer their questions ahead of time knowing that it was
23
    politically correct.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. So I think you're saying two
    things: One is that they're clannish, which means they kind of
```

```
1
    protect their own. Is that what you're saying?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: In terms of you're talking
 3
    about a witness, yes, yes.
 4
               THE COURT: The other thing is not necessarily they
 5
    are rehearsed, but they have experience describing situations,
    and, therefore you feel -- well, just that they are rehearsed.
 6
 7
    They are more experienced in terms of testifying?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I think that their
 8
 9
    statements are geared towards the protection of themselves.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. But sometimes their testimony
11
    doesn't relate to themselves in any way, shape or form.
12
    Sometimes their testimony would be about something they saw,
    heard or did. In that situation -- in other words, you seem to
13
14
    be implying slightly that they would not be telling the truth.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I think at times they
    don't.
16
17
               THE COURT: But is that in terms of only when the
18
    testimony regards themselves? For example, if a police officer
19
    was involved in the Rodney King beating, what that officer said
20
    about what he did, you're saying you would have to take that
21
    with a grain of salt because you wouldn't necessarily find that
22
    would be truthful because he would have an interest in
23
    testifying in a particular way?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: From personal experiences,
25
    yes.
```

THE COURT: Okay. But what happens if it's a situation where the officer is testifying about something that has nothing to do with him, in other words, he just happened to be walking along, he sees one car hitting another car. Do you think that officer would be truthful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I think they have a rehearsed approach of how they answer.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Juror No. 6.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah, kind of the same thing, situational. If it's involving them, I feel they operate from a position of power if they are more comfortable in the situation, but if it's not relating to them, then, you know, I guess it's a different situation.

THE COURT: Okay. And Juror No. 20.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: I feel that the police or that the law enforcement officials have a more in depth sense of how to manipulate their way through the justice system. I think like any job, that any kind of political motivation, any kind of -- any kind of grouping like that, they are under tremendous pressure to produce certain results as part of their job, and I think that even that somewhat twists the truth to varying degrees depending on what they are discussing. I do think that words from them should be taken with a grain of salt at the very least.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask the Jurors 2, 6 or 20,
if I were to instruct you that at least initially all witnesses
have to be treated equally, in other words, you can't assume
that a particular witness would not be truthful, do you think
you could follow that instruction? Or would you say, "Well, I
really can't follow that instruction for purposes of witnesses
who are law enforcement officers"?
     Juror No. 2, do you think you would follow that
instruction?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No.
                       So in other words, you think you would
           THE COURT:
have any problems with any witness that is a law enforcement
witness?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No. I would take it with
a grain of salt, but no, I could not hold them that they are
both at the starting point at the same time.
           THE COURT: And that's true even if the witness is
not testifying as to anything that has to do with themselves,
in other words?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: From my dealings with
people that I know that are in law enforcement and part of the
jail systems and small things that I have been involved in, I
don't think I could.
           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
     Juror No. 6, do you think you could follow that
```

```
1
    instruction?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah. I don't think it
 3
    would be an overriding factor, but I think there is always some
 4
    kind of agenda.
               THE COURT: But do you think you could follow that
 5
 6
    instruction?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah.
               THE COURT: Okay. And, Juror No. 20, do you think
 8
    you can follow that instruction?
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: I believe that I could,
11
    yes.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
          And aside from your -- well, aside from Juror No. 9, have
    any of you had an experience where either you, yourselves or
14
15
    anyone near or dear to you has been accused of a crime that you
16
    think should not have been accused of that crime, or went
17
    through a process of some sort of trial process or
18
    investigation process that you think was unfair?
19
          Anyone else?
          Okay. Juror No. 27.
2.0
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  25.
22
               THE COURT: You look 27. All right. Juror No. 25.
23
    All right. Anyone else?
24
          All right. Juror No. 25, let's get the microphone to you
25
    and you can tell us what the situation is.
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Sidebar.
               THE COURT: All right. You want a sidebar. Let me
 2
 3
    have counsel at sidebar so we don't have to use the microphone.
 4
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
               THE COURT: All right. What was the situation?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I was on guard in '95, and a
 6
7
    person came in and robbed the store.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Uh-huh.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: The person took all the money
10
    from the registers, and as they were walking out the store, he
11
    turned his gun on a little girl.
12
               THE COURT: He did what?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He turned his gun on a little
14
    girl, and I had to shoot him, and I winded up killing him. And
15
    the police came. They took me in. And I guess the prosecutor,
    they wanted me -- I went through a trial, basically, where it
16
    wasn't the police; it was just the prosecutor. It was nobody
17
18
    said I was a quard, so they thought it was just two men on the
19
    street --
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: -- that was like I walked up
22
    and shot him.
23
               THE COURT:
                           I don't quite understand.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: The whole thing, the person
    that was my -- what was they call it? Public defender.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: He was basically telling me
3	to take the charge, that way I could get a lesser charge. The
4	whole thing
5	THE COURT: Nobody brought up the fact that you were
6	a security guard for the premises?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Huh-uh.
8	THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, where was this?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: The old building.
10	THE COURT: When you say "the old building," Spring
11	Street?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yes.
13	THE COURT: So this was a federal charge?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yeah, I think they was trying
15	to. But what happened was the day that I was going to be
16	getting sentenced, the person the manager of the store wind
17	up he had been trying to find out where I was. The security
18	company stopped taking my calls and everything. And he came to
19	the store, brought all the witnesses from the store, and came
20	in and testified on my behalf.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure I
22	understand the situation. I understand the scenario, but I
23	can't figure out who's doing what. Was this a federal case or
24	state case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I think it was federal

```
because of --
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Were you charged with a manslaughter
 3
    charge?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yes.
               THE COURT: And this was?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: It was '95.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I don't see a basis for a federal
 8
    charge.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yeah, because the whole
 9
10
    thing, when they finished up testifying, the judge basically
    said he felt that the prosecutor was just gunning, and the
11
12
    person that was defending me didn't do their job.
13
               THE COURT: But this was in federal court?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I think so, because the whole
15
    thing it was just -- I was young at the time.
16
               THE COURT: But you don't happen to recall the
    building that was in? Because you can tell from the building.
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Because I went to different
19
    places, and I know basically the judge had came in, and he
20
    said, "I didn't even know you were a security guard."
21
               THE COURT: Do you happen to recall the name of the
22
    judge?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: It was '95.
24
               THE COURT:
                           This is a big thing in your life.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I know, but I was just so
```

```
1
    glad to get out of there after the testimony and everything.
 2
    You know something, it never shows up on my record or anything
    like that.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, obviously you went
 5
    through that experience, do you think it would affect you as a
 6
    juror in this case?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: No.
 8
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, do any of you have
 9
    any questions?
10
               MR. RYAN: What was the final outcome of that?
11
               THE COURT: He got released.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: I got released. Everything
13
    was taken off my record. It never comes up as anything on my
14
    criminal record or anything because he said I was basically
15
    unjustly done wrong.
16
               MR. AVEIS: Can I just ask for clarification?
17
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
18
               MR. AVEIS: You were arrested?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Yeah.
20
               MR. AVEIS:
                           For -- it involved someone who died?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: It wind up he was robbing the
22
    store.
23
               MR. AVEIS: I understand. And no charges were
    brought, or they were dropped?
24
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: They dropped them in the end
```

```
1
    because the witnesses came in and told him that I was a
 2
    security guard. At the time nobody knew I was a security
 3
            They thought it was two guys on the street.
 4
               MR. AVEIS: When was this?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: '95.
 5
               MR. AVEIS:
 6
                           Are you okay?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25: Trust me, I dealt with it and
 8
    everything, so --
 9
               THE COURT: Any other questions?
10
               MR. RYAN: No, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much.
12
          (In open court.)
13
               THE COURT: All right. To help you understand, the
    immigration laws in the United States are overseen by the
14
15
    Department of Homeland Security. Sometimes they are referred
    to as ICE. Previously it was the INS and various officers.
16
17
    other words, these are matters which involve federal law, not
18
    state law.
19
          Do all of you understand that?
20
          Okay. Do any of you have, yourselves, or anyone in your
21
    immediate family or anyone near and dear to you, ever have any
22
    experiences in dealing with immigration law? In other words,
23
    any of you have like family or friends who made applications
24
    for various immigration benefits, naturalization or permanent
25
    residency, things of that sort?
```

```
1
          Okay. Juror No. 14. Okay.
 2
          And, Juror No. 20, you had your hand raised?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: No, I misunderstood until
 4
    you clarified it.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Juror No. 14. Anyone else?
 6
          No one.
                  Okay.
 7
          Juror No. 14, what was the situation?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Oh, I'm a naturalized
 9
    citizen. My parents are permanent residents.
10
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: So I guess we went
12
    through the whole process.
13
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, looking back at the
14
    process, do you have any criticisms of the process? Do you
15
    think it took too long? Do you think that somebody didn't
    review the applications correctly? Any problems with the
16
17
    process at all?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: No, not much of a
19
    problem. I do remember when we were applying for permanent
20
    residency, I was really little, but I felt that there was -- we
21
    had to go to some office to turn in some paperwork. And it's
22
    all really vague, but I do remember you have to bring a
23
    Government-issued ID, everyone. I was very little. I didn't
24
    have anything.
25
          The person wouldn't accept my paperwork because a child
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
didn't have a government-issued ID that was not like a
          I didn't have a driver's license or something like
that. So I felt that was pretty unreasonable. But from what I
hear, it's sort of like format. They just do it.
                                                  It's like a
format; they have to do that. That's one, I guess, negative
experience, but I would say --
           THE COURT: Let me ask, do you think you would be
affected at all as a juror in this case because of that
experience?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: No, I don't think so.
                      Okay. Let me ask, do any of you have
           THE COURT:
strong feelings about, either positive or negative, about the
United States immigration laws or policies, including those
particular laws that require people who seek admission into the
United States to register and to request permission to enter if
they are not a United States citizen or a lawful permanent
resident or otherwise have a visa here in the United States?
     Okay. Juror No. 2.
     Anyone else?
     Juror No. 3, Juror No. 22. And that's it.
     Okay. Juror No. 2, what's your opinion?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2:
                                     I just think that the
process has not worked well over the last decade or so
politically, and that changes need to be made in terms of
vetting and properly documenting people that want to come and
```

```
1
    be American citizens.
 2
               THE COURT: When you say the process hasn't been
 3
    working, do you mean that it is too liberal? Too restrictive?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Too liberal, way too
    liberal.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
 7
          And, Juror No. 3.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I may have misunderstood.
 8
    I just thought, "Hey, I welcome everybody. Take the test."
 9
10
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Or whatever you take, fill
12
    out the paperwork.
13
               THE COURT: So in other words, you have no problem
    with the law that would allow people to come in if there was a
14
    basis for them?
15
          You all understand, though, most people cannot simply come
16
    in and apply for citizenship? In other words, to get
17
18
    citizenship, there are certain restrictions that you have to
19
    meet and things of that sort, and then after a certain period
20
    of time, if you meet the requirements, then they can become a
    citizen. But for the most part, people cannot simply make an
21
22
    application to become a citizen because they may not meet the
23
    requirements under the law.
2.4
          Do you understand that?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: What are the requirements?
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Well --
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Is there a big long list?
 3
    Can they come here and work?
 4
                THE COURT: No, because, for the most part, one
    cannot become a citizen of the United States unless they are
 5
 6
    born in the United States or your parents are citizens of the
 7
    United States, or I think there are just so many different
 8
    types of requirements as to how you can become a citizen of the
    United States.
10
          But for the most part, if you are born in another country,
11
    you can't make an application to become a citizen of the United
12
    States unless you meet the criteria that is set out in the
13
    legislation that is passed by Congress.
14
          So with that in mind, do you have any feelings about the
15
    immigration process?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I do know it's not
17
    working.
18
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I wish I could help.
20
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I know a woman from
22
    Ireland, and it took her a long time to get her citizenship,
23
    but that was such a big deal for her --
24
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: -- you know.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 2
          And, Juror No. 22.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: You asked if we felt strongly
 3
 4
    one way or the other.
               THE COURT:
 5
                           Yes.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: I do feel strongly that we
 6
7
    should follow the law and --
 8
               THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you, sir.
               THE COURT: He will get the microphone.
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 22: Just to reiterate, you asked
11
    if we feel strongly one way or the other. I feel strongly that
    the laws are a little lax, and that we should follow proper
12
13
    channels and come through immigration legally instead of
14
    illegally.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Let me ask this: In the course of this case I will be
16
17
    telling you or setting out certain criteria as to -- well let
18
    me put it this way: In this case I will define certain -- the
19
    crime itself and the elements that the Government must prove
2.0
    beyond a reasonable doubt in order to convict the defendant.
21
          And as I have indicated, the Government has a high burden
22
    of proof because the Government has to prove their case beyond
23
    a reasonable doubt, but that is all they have to prove.
24
    other words, they have to meet the criteria and the level of
25
    proof. They don't have to go further, do more.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Do any of you have really strong opinions about the immigration area that would cause you to, perhaps, not follow my instructions on the law because you have very strong feelings one way or the other about the immigration laws of the United States? All right. Juror No. 2. Anyone else? Juror No. 28, you were saying something to Juror No. 29. I feel like a grammar schoolteacher. What were you saying to Juror No. 29? You don't want to say it in public. Okay. All right. Let me ask Juror No. 2. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: As respectful as I can be to you, what happens in this room is only one example. happens in the real world is what I base my judgment on. What do you mean by that? Not that I THE COURT: take it personally, but you're in my courtroom. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: The process for many years of applying for citizenship and immigration has been flawed and broken in terms of the ones that deserve it, make it very difficult to get to, and the ones that don't deserve it can hide and never be seen. We look at a half a million immigrants in Southern California that have been here 20-something years but never went through the process. So I think the system has a lot of

3

5

6

8

9

10

15

18

19

```
cracks in it, so it's hard for me to, with respect in your
 2
    house here, just turn the rest of my opinion off.
               THE COURT: Okay. So -- and that opinion would
 4
    affect you as a juror in this case, you think?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I believe so. I would
    follow my heart.
 7
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Let me just ask, how many have
    heard, for example, about President Trump's executive order on
    immigration on entry from certain countries? If you have --
    let me just do it this way. How many have not heard about it?
11
          Okay. Jurors No. 16, 17.
12
          Who else raised their hand? Who else?
13
          Jurors No. 16 and 17 are the only ones that have not heard
14
    about it.
          Now, sometimes persons who are called to be jurors, either
    in a civil case or in a criminal case, sometimes say, "Well, I
16
17
    have very strong philosophical or religious beliefs that would
    make it difficult for me to serve as a juror in a case."
    people take the position that they cannot judge other people;
20
    therefore, they don't want to serve as a juror because they
21
    feel they have to judge other people.
22
          Do any of you have any individual strong either
23
    philosophical or religious beliefs that you feel would pose a
24
    problem serving as a juror in this case? Anyone?
          No.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

know what the outcome is.

Now, as I've indicated, this is a criminal case, and jurors in a criminal case are called to make a determination as to whether or not the Government can prove the defendant's quilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That is your function. You weigh the evidence and make a determination as to certain issues as to whether or not the Government's burden of proof has been met. However, one of the things that you will not be asked to do, and this is something that is up to me, is the issue of punishment. In other words, if you find the defendant guilty of the crime, then you're not going to be asked to give any sort of opinion or remark as to what the punishment should That is something that is up to me. Let me ask, do any of you feel that it would be hard for you to serve as a juror in this case because if you convict the defendant, you're not going to know what his sentence is going to be because it is a situation where the sentence will be determined by me? Do any of you feel that would be problematic, that you would have difficult serving as a juror in this case because of that fact? If you do, raise your hand. Juror No. 14. Anyone else? And Juror No. 1. Juror No. 1 first because he is numerically ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I just feel like going

through the whole process and everything, you kind of want to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Eventually you could find out what the
outcome is. What will happen, if the defendant is convicted,
then what I do is I schedule the matter for a sentencing
hearing, and at the sentencing hearing various materials are
presented to me, and I consider various elements and factors in
terms of selecting an appropriate sentence. But -- and that's
a matter of public record.
     So if you are interested at the time of sentencing, you
could sit in here at the time of sentencing, if you desire. So
it's not a secret. It's made in the public, but it's made by
me; it's not made by a jury.
     So in that situation, would you still have some sort of,
you know, nagging feeling or some sort of reluctance?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: For me personally, I feel
like I kind of don't know what's going to happen to him.
feel, depending on the outcome, if it's very -- if it's
something very severe that I didn't think would happen, or that
you punish him in a way that I didn't feel was in line of what
I feel he should have got, it would be kind of -- if it was
more severe than I thought it was --
           THE COURT:
                       In other words, you would be concerned,
if you convict him, that he would get sentenced to life or
something?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yeah.
           THE COURT: Or even 20 years or 10 years or
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
something like that, that would bother you.
     Let me ask you, since you are not going to be involved in
the sentencing process, do you think that would affect you as a
juror? In other words, you could not weigh the evidence
because of the fact that you will not know, if you convict,
what his sentence definitely would be?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I think I could, but it
will just be in the back of my mind.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     So in other words, you still think you could be fair as a
juror in this case if you don't know what the sentence winds up
being?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
                       Juror No. 14, what is your feeling?
           THE COURT:
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I prefer to know the
process. I don't have a problem that the jury -- if you decide
on a punishment, that's completely fine, but I think I would
like to know what are the punishments, if there were
beforehand.
           THE COURT: But you still feel you could be fair as
a juror in this case even if you don't know what the punishment
he would receive would be?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yeah.
           THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
     Let me ask, do any of you feel that we should know
```

```
1
    something about you before we decide whether or not you should
 2
    be a juror in this case?
 3
          Let me ask, Juror No. 18, because you walked in late, this
    can be your Jerry Springer moment. Let me ask you, do you
 4
 5
    think there's something we should know about you before you
    decide whether or not you should be a juror in this case?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No.
 8
               THE COURT: No? You're just a blank --
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Sorry. I went to the
10
    restroom.
11
               THE COURT: We didn't want to know that.
12
    ask, if you were sitting on the prosecution side, would the
13
    prosecution side want you as a juror in this case, do you feel?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Sure, I guess.
15
               THE COURT: Let me ask, if you were sitting in the
16
    defendant's chair, would the defendant want you as a juror in
    this case?
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. So in other words, both sides
20
    should want you. All right.
21
          Let me ask, is there anyone of you -- aside from Juror No.
22
    2 has already indicated and Juror No. 9 has already indicated
23
    one way. Aside from Jurors Number 2 and 9, do any of you feel
24
    you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror to both
25
    sides in this case for any reason?
```

```
1
          So all of you feel you can be completely fair and
 2
    impartial? All right.
 3
          Do any of you not want to serve as a juror in this case
 4
    for any reason? For example, you don't like the color scheme
    of this courtroom? You don't like the sound of my voice?
 5
 6
    Anything? For any reason, you do not want to serve as a juror
 7
    in this case for any reason, speak now or forever hold your
 8
    peace.
          Juror No. 14.
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Are you talking about
11
    this particular case or --
12
               THE COURT: No, just this particular case, this
13
           I'm not going to try to throw you into any other cases
    in this courthouse, just this one.
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Nothing particular.
16
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Thank you.
17
          Let me have counsel on the sidebar.
18
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
19
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, any other questions
20
    you want me to ask?
21
               MR. RYAN: Just a question of Juror No. 8,
22
    Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               MR. RYAN: She has her husband and daughter both are
25
    pastors. I just want to make sure she doesn't have any
```

```
1
    problem --
 2
                           I already asked that question, do they
               THE COURT:
    have any religious or philosophical beliefs that would make
 3
 4
    them not serve as a juror in this case? I already asked her.
    Let me put it this way: You can ask her. I will give the
 5
 6
    attorneys ten minutes to ask questions.
 7
          Anything from defense?
 8
               MR. MENNINGER: No.
          Do you want to do peremptories now or wait until after?
 9
10
               THE COURT: No, something might turn up later, so we
11
    will wait.
12
          So we will start with the Government first and then
13
    defense after.
14
               MR. MENNINGER: What time do you want to go to
15
    lunch?
16
               THE COURT: Let's try to get through this part. Why
17
    don't we do this: We will have the Government do the first
18
    part. We will do your first ten minutes and then the second.
19
    Why don't we do this because Juror No. 20 -- Juror No. 17 is
20
    out because we already agreed he has a problem, and Juror
21
    No. 20, he said he hasn't been able to get ahold of his HR
22
    people, so hopefully he will be able to get back to us after
23
    lunch.
24
          Why do you have him here? He has not said anything.
25
    just kind of like looks -- it's getting to be annoying.
```

```
1
          You, every now and then, say something.
 2
               MR. DEMIK: You don't want me to talk.
 3
          (In open court.)
 4
               THE COURT: All right. At this point we will have
 5
    questions from the attorneys, starting with the Government
 6
    counsel first.
 7
               MR. RYAN: So in this case, all of the Government's
 8
    witnesses are a part of the U.S. immigration system. In light
    of some of the answers that some of you have given, do any of
 9
10
    you have any feelings about that fact?
11
          For the record, no one raised their hands.
12
          Judge Wu asked all of you about President Trump's
13
    immigration order, and all but two of you showed some sort of
14
    awareness of that. Can you please give me a better idea of
15
    what your feelings are about President Trump's order.
16
               THE COURT: Are you talking about the original one
17
    or the new one?
18
               MR. RYAN: Any of President Trump's orders, if
19
    anyone has any strong feelings about them.
20
               THE COURT:
                           That would take too long a period of
21
    time, so you have to rephrase your question.
22
               MR. RYAN: How about the new one that just came out.
23
          Juror No. 2.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: It's a hundred percent
25
    necessary.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RYAN: Why do you feel that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Because the process has been pretty weak for the last ten years of immigration, and I think we need to start to do something. Again, it's only a short amount of time, the 75 or 90 days, whatever it is, but the process has to begin somewhere. MR. RYAN: Okay. Thank you. Juror No. --PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6: It was a misguided attempt to stop terrorists come in and protect his business interests with no regard to people's actual feelings or families or how things are nowadays. MR. RYAN: Juror No. 4. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: I feel similarly to Juror No. 6. I think he is acting without information and sort of rash. And what makes me really uncomfortable about it, he is targeting a certain part of the world. And whether or not our policies are misquided at this point, I think we need to take an educated look at what's going wrong and approach it as a worldwide issue, not an issue that's targeting one kind of people. MR. RYAN: And by "one kind of people," are you talking about Muslims? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Muslims, Middle Eastern. MR. RYAN: So that is the part of the ban you are

1 opposed to? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No. The overarching 3 thing, I think he did it just to stir the pot, and I mean, I 4 think things much harsher than that. I think he is incredibly racist, and I think he did this to appease his -- the people 5 6 that voted for him and to show, like, his strong arm that "I'm 7 doing something," without giving much thought to what it's 8 really doing to families, to people in all walks of life, from immigrants that are doing jobs that no one wants to do, all the 9 10 way up to people who have multiple Ph.D.s and are in this 11 country working and now they can't leave and their families 12 can't come. 13 MR. RYAN: Do you think your opinion about that immigration law would affect -- does it affect your opinion 14 15 about all immigration laws? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: I think it doesn't. Ι 17 like to think I'm able to listen to evidence and make a rational decision. 18 19 MR. RYAN: Okay. Juror No. 1. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I just feel like he's --21 with his current -- his current one is like it's still barring 22 people, like a specific group of people, and it's -- coming 23 from a family that migrated over here from, like, in Asia over 24 to the U.S., it's kind of unfair that he's barring specific 25 people or a group of people or he's grouping different types of

```
1
    people as the same, and he's just like preventing them from
 2
    coming in.
 3
               MR. RYAN: When did your family migrate over here
 4
    from?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Years ago. And it was
 6
    like they go through a whole process, but then there were some
 7
    complications. But like now even with his new rule, it's still
 8
    preventing a lot of people from making a life change, which
    U.S. is for -- well, like my family migrated to the U.S. for a
 9
10
    better life, so he's preventing all these people who want a
11
    better life from coming in.
12
               MR. RYAN: Now, all the people that just expressed
13
    those somewhat strong opinions about immigration, do you think
    you could put aside those opinions and still be fair in this
14
15
    case?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No.
17
               MR. RYAN: Juror No. 2, no.
18
          Juror No. 1?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I mean yes, but I may
20
    sympathize more with people who are coming in.
21
               MR. RYAN: Okay. Juror No. 4.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yeah, I think I can be
23
    fair.
24
               MR. RYAN:
                           6.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I agree with what Juror
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
No. 4 said. With Trump's policies, I think his point is to
stop terrorism. Barring a group of people from coming into the
country would not stop terrorism, but at the same time I think
you are going to ask can I be fair? And yes, I will be fair.
I will look at evidence first.
           MR. RYAN: Okay. Thank you.
     Anybody else, strong opinions about President Trump's
executive order?
     All right. Juror No. 8, you said that your husband and
your daughter are both pastors, right?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
           MR. RYAN: I know the judge already asked you this,
but I want to make sure. Do you think that given your
connection to religious life, that you would have trouble
passing judgment in this case?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Not at all because I'm, as
a citizen now and as a believer of like -- I was, like, kind of
have information regarding my identity as a citizen, and what
is your role is to really, like, kind of uphold the rules of
the government. And I know that it's the government is a
divine appointment, and we know that it's really for the
interests and good order of the society. So I'm not going to
have a hard time, you know, not unless it's opposed to the will
of God.
           MR. RYAN: What would you say to that? It's opposed
```

```
1
    to the will of God?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: God is a forgiving God, and it's
 3
    already settled that we are already forgiven. You haven't been
 4
    born, and whatever you have done -- whatever you have done, you
 5
    are still forgiven, but I will be really fair for what the
    rules is going to be.
 6
 7
                          Okay. Juror No. 14? I think, 14.
               MR. RYAN:
                                                               You
 8
    said you became a naturalized citizen, right?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Uh-huh.
10
               MR. RYAN: When was that?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Let's see. I'm sorry,
12
    it's probably three, four -- several years ago.
13
               MR. RYAN: Several years ago?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yeah.
15
               MR. RYAN: So would you say you are familiar with
    some of the documents that you have to fill out to become a
16
    citizen?
17
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yes.
19
               MR. RYAN: Could you put aside what you already know
20
    about the immigration system and just base your opinion in this
    case on the evidence from the Government and the defense?
21
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Could you repeat that.
23
               MR. RYAN: Could you take what you already know
24
    about the applications that you have already filled out and set
25
    that aside and only base this case off the evidence that you
```

1 see that was presented in this Court? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yeah. 3 MR. RYAN: You think so. Okay. I don't think I have any more questions, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. At this point, it's now noon, so let me excuse the jury for lunch, but just a couple of 6 7 things before I let you go for lunch. First of all, if you are 8 wondering where to go to lunch, on the bottom floor of this building there is a cafeteria that's actually better than the 9 10 cafeteria in the old courthouse, so you might want to eat there 11 if you're not familiar with this area. 12 If you are somewhat familiar with this area, if you were 13 to go down to the first floor and go make a right as you exit 14 the building, that would put you on Hill? Or is it Broadway? 15 It puts you on Broadway if you were to go to the right. And go two blocks, you wind up at the Grand Central Market. It's a 16 17 very interesting place because it has all these old eatery 18 places. I don't want to call them hip places, but they have 19 Eggslut. 20 I have never eaten at Eggslut. I thought it was a very strange name for a restaurant. They have various fast food 21 22 places. It's sort of interesting. But as I said, it's two 23 blocks down. On Hill you would make a right. 24 And there's also there's a lot of Japanese restaurants. 25 If you were to just continue down First Street for about three

```
1
    or four blocks, there's a lot of Japanese places that have very
 2
    interesting food too. But remember, I'm asking you to come
 3
    back at 5 minutes after 1:00, so please be prompt.
 4
          Also, when you are on these breaks, please do not talk
    about this case with anyone. And have a very pleasant lunch,
 5
 6
    and I will see you here back at 5 minutes after 1:00.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Your Honor, when we walk
 8
    out, keep our badges off?
 9
               THE COURT: You don't have to because I see people
10
    walking around with badges, but if you want to take it off,
11
    feel free to take it off.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Thank you.
13
          (Out of the presence of the jury.)
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, did you have an
14
15
    opportunity to look at the proposed jury instructions, the
16
    first set?
17
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. We have no objection.
18
               MR. MENNINGER: None from the defense, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: We will make copies, and we will use
20
    those as the preliminary jury instructions.
21
          Have a very pleasant lunch.
22
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. MENNINGER:
23
               MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
          (Recess taken from 12:01 p.m. to 1:04 p.m.)
25
          (Outside the presence of the jury.)
```

```
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come
 1
 2
    to order. This United States District Court is again in
 3
    session.
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel, anything
 4
    I need to do before we bring the jury in?
 5
 6
               MR. RYAN: No, Your Honor.
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: The only thing I would ask,
 8
    Your Honor, is just that any potential witnesses not be in the
                I understand Agent Arambulo is the case agent, and
 9
    courtroom.
10
    he will be on the witness stand first, but I would ask that
11
    witnesses not be in the courtroom.
12
               THE COURT: Let me ask the Government, are there any
13
    witnesses in the courtroom?
14
               MR. RYAN: No, there's not, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: So the persons in the back row are not
16
    going to be witnesses, then?
17
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Great.
19
          Also, can I get a stip that the court reporter doesn't
20
    need to transcribe the jury instructions as read since the
21
    jury's going to get a written copy, as counsel are as well?
22
                          Yes, Your Honor, stipulated.
23
               MR. MENNINGER: As long as they are made part of the
24
    record, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
```

```
MR. MENNINGER: Okay. That's fine.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: We will attach them as part of the
 3
    record.
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: Very good, Your Honor.
          (Discussion off the record.)
 5
               THE COURT: Why don't you bring the jury in.
 6
 7
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
          (In the presence of the prospective jury.)
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated.
 9
10
               THE COURT: At this point, ladies and gentlemen, we
11
    will start with the questioning from defense.
12
               MR. MENNINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
          So we have been talking a lot today about immigration,
14
    deportation. It kind of feels like something we can't get away
15
    from; it's in the news all the time, and I think that there's a
    lot of really strong feelings and emotions on all sides of the
16
17
    issue. And so -- and I think those are really --
18
               THE COURT:
                           Stop, Counsel. Is this leading to a
19
    question?
2.0
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, yes, Your Honor. Yeah, yeah.
21
          So a lot of people think that people who don't have
22
    permission to be in the United States should be deported.
23
    question is: Does that mean that we should vote to convict in
2.4
    this case? Does anyone agree with that statement?
25
          Juror No. 2, yeah.
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: If you're breaking the
 2
    law, then yes.
 3
               MR. MENNINGER: Okay. Okay. So if you're breaking
 4
    the law, you would vote to convict. And is that -- does that
    derive from your view that if someone is here without
 5
 6
    permission, they should be deported, correct?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2:
                                          I mean, there's part of
10
    it, you know, for this particular situation of -- if the
    pre-facts that we have heard about what the case has to be, the
11
12
    person was in here, was deported and is back in here again, it
13
    strengthens my position.
14
               MR. MENNINGER: Okay. Does anyone want to respond
15
    to that, this idea that if someone should be deported, then
    that means we should vote to convict in this case? Or if the
16
17
    defendant, Mr. Aceves, should be deported, that means we should
    vote to convict in this case? Anyone have -- does anyone want
18
19
    to share Juror No. 2's view?
2.0
          I want to thank you because sometimes it's hard to express
21
    a view that somebody might not agree with, so thank you.
22
          Anyone else?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Without knowing the facts of
24
    the case, I'm a law-abiding citizen, and if there is proof that
25
    a law was broken, then I would think you have to convict in
```

```
1
    this case.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Thank you.
 3
          Anyone else?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Just like I approach
    everything else, content is everything. If I agree with the
 5
 6
    statement, if the letter of the law has been broken, then it's
 7
    the duty of each person to convict, I guess the broken law, if
    it's just -- if it's a matter of well kind of broke the law,
 8
    then no, but if this is a broken law, then you have to convict.
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER: You said that it would make a
11
    difference. You said maybe kind of broken the law or actually
12
    broken the law. Can you talk about that a little bit more?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: When it comes to some things
14
    with government, bureaucracy is a thing and some things are
15
           So let's say -- I'm throwing this out there.
                                                          If I got a
    notice in the mail that said I had to be there on Tuesday at
16
17
    5:00, and I show up at 5:00 but I had to be there at 4:00,
18
    tentatively I have broken the rule even though I wasn't given
19
    the opportunity to follow the rule because someone else down
20
    the line didn't do their job properly. That would be a
21
    position where yes, I broke the rule, but I didn't break the
22
    rule. You know what I mean?
23
               MR. MENNINGER: Yeah, yeah. Thank you.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Context is everything.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: Does anyone share that view?
```

```
1
          Or maybe Juror No. -- is it Juror No. 12? Do you want to
 2
    respond to what he said?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: I think throughout the
 3
 4
    context of the case you end up with facts, and the case will be
    presented to the point where there will be a law there.
 5
 6
    that law broken? Burden of proof is on the prosecution, and
 7
    then you will know kind of the answer to that question.
 8
               MR. MENNINGER: Anyone else?
          Juror No. 1.
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes, I agree, we don't
11
    know exactly what happened, and seeing the evidence and seeing
12
    what's laid out in front of us, then we have a better
13
    understanding what the situation is, because right now we just
    know that it is an immigration -- it's dealing with
14
15
    immigration. That's all we know.
16
               MR. MENNINGER: Right, right. We heard Juror No. 2
17
    say if he should be deported, then yes, he would vote to
18
    convict. Do you agree with that view?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: If he is to be deported?
20
               MR. MENNINGER: If he is deported, then that should
    be a vote for conviction.
21
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Just on deportation
23
    itself?
24
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes. Is that something you agree
25
    with?
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1:
                                          To agree with him of
 2
    deportation?
               MR. MENNINGER: To convict him of the criminal
 3
 4
    offense.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: If he has broken the laws,
 5
 6
    and if we find out he did break the laws through the evidence
 7
    and the punishment is deportment, as we said before, the jurors
 8
    don't actually have a say in the punishment, but if that's the
    punishment, then that's what it's going to be.
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER: Very good.
                                            Thank you.
11
          Anyone else want to respond to this issue?
12
          The next thing I want to talk about is the burden -- the
13
    Government's burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt because
    I think that's something that I would struggle as a juror,
14
15
    because what that really means is that if you don't see the
16
    right evidence on this day and it doesn't add up to burden of
17
    proof beyond a reasonable doubt, it doesn't matter what you
18
    might feel in your heart. And I think that is something that
19
    is really hard when people feel like they have to make this
2.0
    really important decision.
21
          Does anyone else -- does that resonate with anyone?
                                                               Does
22
    anyone agree or disagree?
23
          Yeah, Juror No. 5 -- 6.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: 6. Yeah, it may be hard
25
    because it may technically meet the criteria, but it may still
```

```
1
    not feel right. I took a class in college where we studied the
 2
    Constitution and Supreme Court cases and stuff, and we were
 3
    taught how they followed specifically wording, and things might
 4
    be interpreted by specific modes of thinking. You can have a
    larger picture, but it doesn't work. It seems like you could
 5
    be pigeon-holed sometimes.
 6
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: Do you think it could be harder for
 8
    you to hold the Government to that burden?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah, because you can see
 9
10
    both sides of it. I see what you think, but doesn't this make
11
    sense too?
12
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Right.
          Anyone else?
13
14
          Yeah, Juror No. 4.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: I think I might say
16
    something similar, but I think the challenge is going to be we
17
    all will have our own philosophical and moral beliefs, which
18
    may not necessarily link up with the law. And I think from my
19
    own thing, I think that's what would be hard would be to take
2.0
    the law and try to let that rise above what I may believe
21
    philosophically.
22
                               Sure, sure. Thank you.
               MR. MENNINGER:
23
          Does anyone else think that would be hard or have anything
24
    else to say on that issue?
25
          Well, another thing I want to discuss is the defense's
```

```
1
    right to remain silent. I will just tell you right now,
 2
    Mr. Aceves is not going to be taking the stand. And I think,
 3
    you know, a lot of people, you know, have a natural tendency,
 4
    and it's something that either resonates with me, that if I
 5
    don't hear someone's side of the story, how am I supposed to
    side with that person? Does anyone else like have that as a
 6
 7
    gut feeling, that maybe that's something that you think?
 8
          Yeah, Juror No. 1.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Well, if he knows he
 9
10
    hasn't done anything wrong or at least want to get his side of
11
    the story out, it would help if he did speak like his own side
12
    because we're listening to other people, that it's from their
13
    point of view or what they know, and then we are outsiders.
                                                                  So
14
    we don't know exactly what they know, what the defendant knows
15
    unless they tell us, and this gives us an idea how they are
    thinking or how they feel and things like that.
16
17
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Okay.
                                       Thank you.
18
          Juror No. 6.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah, I think it's like an
20
    important opportunity to speak for yourself because you are
21
    here in this country once and you got caught, and now you're
22
    back. You kind of messed up. And you have to help yourself
23
    out, so I think it's important to speak for yourself.
24
               MR. MENNINGER: So it would be hard for you to vote
25
    not guilty if you didn't hear from the defendant?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah.
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Anyone else?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 10: I would just say that's what
 4
    lawyers are for, if he doesn't speak for himself, as long as
    the lawyers are giving their opinion -- or not even their
 5
 6
    opinion, but the facts on what he's saying. He doesn't have to
 7
    speak, so I wouldn't convict him either way if he did or
    didn't. I would listen to the facts.
 8
 9
               MR. MENNINGER: Juror No. 6, you want to respond to
10
    what Juror No. 10 said?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: It makes a lot of sense.
    The point of lawyers is to tell a story and present it in a way
12
13
    that benefits them, but I don't know. Yeah, I would still kind
14
    of like to hear something, just a personal anything.
15
               MR. MENNINGER: Yeah, Juror No. 2.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I mean, that's where I
16
17
    mentioned before that the system doesn't always work in the
18
    right favor of the defendant, whoever it happens to be.
19
    sure there's excuses and reasoning and he had family and
20
    whatever else that drove anybody to come back and do those
21
    types of situations, but that's where the burden on the
22
    Government has to be a hundred percent irrefutable, is my
23
    perspective of a broken system.
24
               MR. MENNINGER: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
                                                          Thanks for
25
    sharing that.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Counsel, you have a juror over there
 2
    raising his hands.
 3
               MR. MENNINGER: Oh, sorry. I will go to 29 and
 4
    then --
                           Then that's it. Your time is up.
 5
               THE COURT:
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR 29: Similar to a what Juror
 6
 7
    No. 10 said, it's always helpful to hear someone else's own
 8
    words on a situation, but it's not inherently necessary
    depending upon the evidence presented, that's really what you
 9
10
    have to make the decision on as a juror. It's not just a
    person -- it's not just taking a person's word on faith; it's
11
12
    the evidence to back it up.
13
          If the evidence says one way or the other, then it really
14
    doesn't matter if the person takes the stand or not.
15
    evidence already shows; the facts will tell you what the facts
16
    will tell you. Personally, what I like to hear, a defendant's
17
    own words and their own reasons for why they did what they did,
18
    of course. But going into deliberation to decide on the facts
19
    and whether or not the letter of the law is there or broken,
20
    the burden of proof is met, doesn't necessarily need that extra
21
    level of context, I would say. So for me personally, it
22
    wouldn't drastically alter one way or the other.
23
               MR. MENNINGER: All right.
                                            Thank you.
24
          And, Juror No. 20.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: The Fifth Amendment was
```

created to help people in a situation where on the stand their words could be turned into incriminating evidence against themselves. And as part of appreciation of not just being an American, but of all the freedoms that we are afforded, the Fifth Amendment is very important because if things were as simple as just common sense "yes" or "no," we wouldn't need so many attorneys and so many legal books or eight years of school and the bar exam to interpret the law.

The Fifth Amendment, even though in personal disputes it may feel a lot better to hear both sides of the story in its entirety, there are times in a courtroom when it's more than just a basic debate between two people, and the Fifth Amendment is there in place to help the defense to give themselves the best possible legal defense, at least in my --

MR. MENNINGER: Thank you.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just ask the jurors a couple things, just a couple of comments. One thing that I would instruct the jury later on, but I will instruct you at this point in time, in regards to what Juror No. 10 said, nothing that is said by an attorney is evidence. In other words, the attorneys are allowed to make opening statements, they're allowed to make closing arguments, and they are obviously allowed to ask questions, and things of that sort, but what the attorneys themselves say is not evidence.

In other words, if the attorney asks a question of a witness, the witness's answer is the evidence, not the question, with one exception. If both sides, both the attorneys on both sides agree on a fact, you have to -- the jury has to accept that fact as true, and that's called a stipulation. So if the attorneys reach a stipulation, I will have them bring it to your attention, and you will have to regard that as truth.

In other words, what the attorneys say is not evidence. That doesn't mean you have to disregard what the attorneys say, obviously, because, again, what the attorneys will be doing, both in the opening statement and closing argument, is they are going to be kind of directing the jury as to how they should view the evidence, which is an appropriate view of an attorney. But other than that, what the attorneys say is not evidence.

Do all the jurors understand it?

Okay. And the other thing is, as I instructed you earlier and I will instruct you again now, the defendant has a constitutional right not to testify. In other words, that is part of the Constitution of this country. Therefore, if the defendant elects not to testify, you cannot use that fact against him in any way. In other words, you can't go back in the jury room and say, "Oh, he should have testified," or something to that effect. You can't use that fact against him.

Do all of you understand it?

```
1
          Okay. Let me have counsel at sidebar.
 2
               MR. AVEIS: Your Honor, would you please read the
    beyond-a-reasonable-doubt instruction to the jury as well?
 3
 4
               THE COURT:
                           I will read what the
 5
    beyond-a-reasonable-doubt instruction is to the jury at the
 6
    close of the case.
 7
               MR. AVEIS:
                           In response to Juror No. 2's inquiry
 8
    where he said the Government's proof needed to be 100 percent
    irrefutable, may be helpful if that juror heard --
 9
10
               THE COURT: Let me just indicate that's not the
11
    standard, and I won't read that instruction at this point in
12
    time because I don't feel I need to give that instruction at
13
    this point.
14
          Okay. Let me have counsel at sidebar.
15
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
16
               THE COURT: Let me indicate to counsel, previously
17
    both sides had agreed that Jurors No. 2 and 9 and 17 would be
    dismissed for cause.
18
19
               MR. RYAN: 2 and 9, Your Honor?
2.0
               MR. MENNINGER: We haven't conferred.
               THE COURT: You haven't conferred on that?
21
22
                          Do you want us to meet and confer first?
               MR. RYAN:
23
               THE COURT:
                           Whatever way you want to do it. You
24
    guys can meet and confer. However you guys want to do it is
25
    fine with me.
```

```
1
          (Discussion off the record.)
 2
               THE COURT: Let me indicate to counsel, this is not
 3
    a bargaining session. If you guys stipulate, that's fine, but
 4
    otherwise, you know, it's not something -- it's not a
    carpet-trading situation.
 5
 6
               MR. MENNINGER: Then we stipulate, Your Honor.
 7
               MR. RYAN: 2 and 9.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. And previously both sides
 9
    agreed to 17, right?
10
               MR. RYAN: Correct.
11
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: The other one is Mr. Bornstein, number
13
    21. HR has not responded to him, so we don't know whether or
    not he's going to be excused in the future.
14
15
               MR. RYAN: We can challenge him for cause anyway,
    Your Honor, based on his statements that he views law
16
17
    enforcement with a grain of salt.
               THE COURT: So? He can view law enforcement
18
19
    testimony with a grain of salt. It doesn't mean he can't be
2.0
    fair.
21
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I believe he did
22
    indicate --
23
               THE COURT: I think he also said that he can be
24
    fair. So the answer to 21 is no, but you can exercise
25
    peremptory on him, if you so desire.
```

```
1
          So is there anyone else? 2, 9 and 17?
 2
               MR. RYAN:
                          That's for cause, Your Honor?
 3
               MR. MENNINGER: And for peremptories, just the first
 4
    14?
                                What we do is the first 12.
 5
               THE COURT: No.
 6
    you exercise a peremptory or if I otherwise excuse, I will have
 7
    someone fill in that seat. The next person goes in and fills
    that seat.
 8
               MR. RYAN: So 13 will fill in that seat?
 9
10
               THE COURT: 13 -- well, would that be too confusing?
    I will tell you what, let's just do 12 in order. In other
11
12
    words, the first 1 through 12, and then when one is excused, it
13
    will be 1 through 13, and then the next one will be 1 through
    14. So everyone will stay where they are seated, but it will
14
15
    just count to 12.
16
               MR. MENNINGER: So nobody will get up.
17
               MR. AVEIS: So we will know what the panel will look
18
    like at any point in time.
19
               THE COURT: You will know what the panel looks like
20
    at any point in time.
21
          (In open court.)
22
               THE COURT: All right. At this point in time the
23
    Court would like to excuse Jurors No. 2, 9 and 17.
24
          Could you please go back to the jury room and tell them
25
    you have been excused from this matter. Thank you.
```

```
And then we will start with the challenges from the
 1
 2
    parties starting with the Government first.
          And let me just ask counsel, both sides have the chart so
 3
 4
    you understand how the order goes?
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: So starting with the Government.
 8
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, would the Court please thank
    and excuse Juror No. 6.
 9
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Juror No. 6.
11
    Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
    have been excused from this matter.
12
13
          And for the defense.
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
14
15
    to thank and excuse Juror No. 8.
                           Thank you very much, Juror No. 8. Go
16
               THE COURT:
    back to the jury room and tell them you have been excused from
17
18
    this matter.
19
          For the Government.
20
               MR. RYAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor, can I have a
21
    moment?
22
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
23
               MR. RYAN: Thank you.
24
          (Discussion off the record.)
25
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, would the Court please thank
```

```
1
    and excuse Juror No. 4.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Thank you very much, Juror No. 4.
 3
    Please go back to the jury room and tell them you have been
    excused from this matter.
 4
          For the defense.
 5
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
 6
7
    to thank and excuse Juror No. 5.
 8
               MR. MENNINGER: Thank you very much, Juror No. 5.
 9
    Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
    have been excused from this matter.
10
11
          And for the defense again.
12
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
13
    to thank and excuse Juror No. 3.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, Juror No. 3. Could
15
    you please go back to the jury room and tell them you have been
    excused from this matter.
16
17
          For the Government.
18
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, would the Court please thank
19
    and excuse Juror No. 20.
20
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you -- well, let me
21
    see.
22
                          I think he's the 12th juror.
               MR. RYAN:
23
               MR. MENNINGER:
                                I think you're right.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much Juror
    No. 12 -- sorry, 20.
25
```

1	MR. RYAN: 20, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Would you please go back to the jury
3	room and tell them you have been excused from this matter.
4	For the defense.
5	MR. MENNINGER: One moment, Your Honor.
6	(Discussion off the record.)
7	MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
8	to thank and excuse Juror No. 15.
9	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Juror No. 15.
10	Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
11	have been excused from this matter.
12	For the defense.
13	MR. MENNINGER: One moment, Your Honor.
14	Your Honor, the defense would like to thank and excuse
15	Juror No. 22.
16	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Juror No. 22.
17	Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
18	have been excused from this matter.
19	For the Government.
20	MR. RYAN: Your Honor, we will pass.
21	THE COURT: All right. And for the defense.
22	MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we would like to thank
23	and excuse Juror No. 23, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
25	Juror No. 23. Would you please go back to the jury room and

```
1
    tell them you've been excused from this matter.
 2
          For the defense.
 3
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we would like to thank
 4
    and excuse Juror No. 24.
               THE COURT: Sorry, which one? Number 24?
 5
               MR. MENNINGER: Juror No. 24, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, Juror No. 24.
 8
    Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
    have been used from this matter.
10
          And for the Government.
11
               MR. RYAN: We will pass, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: All right. For the defense.
13
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
    to thank and excuse Juror No. 26.
14
15
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much, Juror No. 26.
    Would you please go back to the jury room and tell them you
16
17
    have been excused from this matter.
18
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, could we have a brief
19
    sidebar?
20
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
21
22
               THE COURT: Yes?
23
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, the defense has excused six
24
    white jurors in a row. We are making a reverse Batson
25
    challenge for Juror No. 23.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Wait a second. Let me put it this way.
 2
    I understand why the defense counsel excused Juror No. 23.
 3
    That one, to my mind, is a no-brainer.
 4
               MR. RYAN: Juror No. 24?
               THE COURT: 24, I will ask the defense counsel.
 5
    Juror No. 15 I have -- I see -- well, I can see why he would
 6
 7
    excuse Juror No. 15.
 8
               MR. RYAN: Juror No. 22.
 9
               THE COURT: Just a second. Let me pull it up.
10
               MR. MENNINGER: If I may, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will ask an
12
    explanation for Juror No. 22.
13
               MR. MENNINGER: 24, you said, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: I am going to ask for an explanation for
15
    24, 22, and Juror No. 5, I understand why. Let me just ask --
    when I say "I understand why," does the Government need for me
16
17
    to explain why I think the defense counsel excused?
18
               MR. RYAN: Juror No. 5 is fine. Juror No. 23 is
19
    fine.
20
               THE COURT: Juror No. 15, well, let me hear the
21
    explanation for Juror No. 15. Before you start that, let me
22
    just look at Juror No. 3 and Juror No. 8.
23
          I would want an explanation as to Juror No. 3 as well, so
24
    it's 3, 22 and 24. And let me just see as to Juror No. 8.
25
          Juror No. 8 I could understand as well.
```

```
1
               MR. RYAN: What was the most recent challenge,
 2
    Your Honor? 26?
 3
               THE COURT: 26 was the most recent.
 4
          So just to make the record clear -- well, why don't I do
 5
    this. Why don't I have an explanation as to 26 as well.
    basically let me just ask counsel, Government counsel, when I
 6
 7
    say "I understand why," do you want the defense counsel to
 8
    indicate why as to those as well, or are you satisfied those
    ones are obvious why they exercise?
 9
10
               MR. RYAN: I believe he set out a prima fascia case,
11
    so I would need him to set forth an explanation as to all of
12
    them.
13
               THE COURT: Let me have a defense explanation for
    all of them.
14
15
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Okay.
               THE COURT: Starting with 8, which is your first
16
17
    one.
18
               MR. MENNINGER: Is it 3, Your Honor?
19
               THE COURT: Your first one was number 8, that was
20
    your first peremptory exercise, Juror No. 8.
21
               MR. DEMIK: Juror No. 8 isn't white.
22
               MR. MENNINGER: I don't believe she is white,
23
    Your Honor. I believe she is Hispanic.
               THE COURT:
24
                           So Juror No. 5.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: She was a retired police dispatcher,
```

```
1
    Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. That's obvious.
 3
          As to Juror No. 3?
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: She has close friends in law
    enforcement, LAPD, husband was in the fire department,
 5
 6
    Your Honor, and also she expressed concern about immigration
 7
    issues.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. And Juror No. 15?
               MR. MENNINGER: One moment, Your Honor.
 9
10
          Oh, yeah, he was a volunteer marshal and had a pro law
11
    enforcement attitude.
12
               THE COURT: I don't find that as a pretext, but he
13
    is also the one that said he would have problems on Tuesday
14
    anyway for a medical appointment.
15
               MR. RYAN: We would be done before then.
16
               THE COURT: What?
17
               MR. RYAN: You said by Tuesday of next week.
18
               THE COURT: Yeah, but if we are not done by Friday,
19
    if the jury is deliberating, then we have to excuse him because
2.0
    I indicated I would let him go for his medical, then that would
21
    be somewhat problematic.
22
               MR. RYAN: Even with the two alternates?
23
               THE COURT: Even with the two alternates, but that's
24
    in addition to the fact that he did serve as a volunteer law
25
    enforcement while he was in college.
```

```
1
          All right. Then as to 22?
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor he expressed anti -- not
 3
    anti-immigrant, but more restrictive beyond immigration.
 4
               THE COURT: He did.
               MR. MENNINGER: And he has law enforcement friends.
 5
               THE COURT: Well, a lot of them have law enforcement
 6
 7
    friends.
              So?
 8
          And as to 23, your explanation is?
               MR. MENNINGER: She's the one whose husband
 9
10
    specialized in defense of law enforcement.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Then as to 24?
               MR. MENNINGER: She also had friends in law
12
13
    enforcement and a son who was in the Marines, and many of the
    ICE officers and government witnesses are former military.
14
15
               THE COURT: And as to 26?
16
               MR. MENNINGER: He has an uncle in law enforcement.
    He's also the victim of a crime, Your Honor.
17
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, we would argue that those are
20
    pretexts based on the current composition of the jury.
21
    expand this, 13 and 19 have law enforcement connections as
22
    well.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               MR. RYAN: Many of the reasons for striking is for
25
    law enforcement connections.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Well, they have other reasons.
 2
          Anything else?
 3
               MR. RYAN: That's it, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything from the defense?
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to find a
 6
 7
    sufficient basis to find a problem, but the next time he does
    exercise one, let me know.
 8
 9
               MR. AVEIS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
               MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
               MR. MENNINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: And defense is next, is my
13
    understanding.
14
          (In open court.)
15
               THE COURT: All right. The next opportunity is with
16
    the defense.
17
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense would like
18
    to thank and excuse Juror No. 19.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
20
    Juror No. 19. Could you please go back to the jury room and
21
    tell them you have been excused from this matter.
22
          And for the Government.
23
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, we'll pass.
24
               THE COURT: All right. And for the defense.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, the defense will pass.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: All right. Let me do this. We are
going to play musical chairs again, no fun, but I'll order you
around. Let me have Juror No. 7, could you go to the chair
next to Juror No. 1.
     Let me ask that Juror No. 9, could you go to chair
number -- well, you would be in the third seat there. And let
me have the rest of the jurors just line up in order so we can
figure out where you guys are.
     Juror No. 9, you would be in seat number 3.
     And then Juror -- okay. I'm so proud. Then let's have
Juror No. 18 go to seat -- the seat in the front row. And then
Juror No. 21 -- Juror No. -- it's sad when you forget numbers,
let alone names.
     Juror No. 25, could you sit in the chair next to
Juror No. 21.
     And then, Juror No. 27, could you sit next to
Juror No. 25.
     And that makes 12. If I could add, I would be Dr. Wu.
     All right. Let me have counsel on sidebar.
     (Discussion held at sidebar.)
           THE COURT: Do you want to stip? There's only two
there. Do you want me to do voir dire?
           MR. RYAN: If the defense is willing to stipulate to
those two.
           MR. MENNINGER: We're fine, Your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Any particular order?
 2
               MR. RYAN:
                           28, 29.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           28 and 29?
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
          (In open court.)
               THE COURT: Let me have the Jurors No. 28 and 29
 6
 7
    just sit next to Juror No. 27. And let me indicate to Jurors
 8
    No. 28 and 29, you're going to be the alternate jurors in this
 9
    matter. I hope you know what an alternate juror is.
10
          I take that as a "yes."
11
               PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE JUROR: Yes.
12
               THE COURT:
                           In that case, let me have the Jurors 1
13
    through 27 stand up to be sworn in as the regular jurors, and
    then I will have Jurors No. 28 and 29 sworn in as alternates.
14
15
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
16
                            THE JURY WAS SWORN
17
                (The jury responded, "I do.")
18
                           All right. Let me have the Jurors No.
               THE COURT:
19
    28 and 29 stand and be sworn in as alternate jurors.
20
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Gentlemen.
21
                     THE ALTERNATE JURORS WERE SWORN
22
                (The alternate jurors responded, "I do.")
23
               THE COURT: All right. Let me thank and excuse the
24
    prospective jurors in the audience. You can go back down to
25
    the jury room and tell them you have been excused from this
```

```
1
    matter, and thank you very much.
 2
          And let me have the jury follow my clerk. He will take
 3
    you into the jury room and you can see -- we have a great view
 4
    from the jury room, by the way. I'm sure you will enjoy it.
    And he will tell you the secret codes and things of that sort,
 5
 6
    and then we will start the trial itself maybe in about ten
 7
    minutes. Okay.
 8
          (Out of the presence of the jury.)
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask counsel, anything
 9
10
    else we need to talk about before the jury comes back and we
11
    start?
12
          Let me ask counsel, how long are your opening statements
13
    going to be?
14
               MR. RYAN: Less than five minutes, Your Honor.
15
               MR. MENNINGER: Maybe ten minutes, Your Honor.
                           Okay. Let me caution both sides, I know
16
               THE COURT:
17
    you've heard this before, but opening statements are not
18
    closing arguments. If you make a closing argument in your
19
    opening statement, I will admonish you, and the second time you
20
    do it, I will take that as a sign you don't want to give a
21
    closing argument. Okay?
22
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor.
23
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Off the record.
25
          (Recess taken from 1:48 p.m. to 1:58 p.m.)
```

```
1
          (Out of the presence of the jury.)
 2
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated and come to
    order.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, is there anything
    pertinent that needs to be done before I bring the jury out?
 5
 6
                          No, Your Honor.
               MR. RYAN:
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: Just I would ask the clerk if we
    could have another set of ear phones for the interpreter for a
 8
    family member.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
11
          (Pause in proceedings.)
12
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
13
          (In the presence of the jury.)
14
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you
    have been given a set of preliminary jury instructions.
16
17
    be reading these to you at this point in time. However, in
18
    your deliberations, before your deliberations, I will give you
19
    a final set of jury instructions, and that is a final set of
2.0
    jury instructions that will control your deliberations.
21
          Also, let me ask the persons who bring in things to drink,
22
    be really careful about the things and don't let them fall over
23
    because this is new carpeting.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
25
               THE COURT: And I'm a petty person in that regard.
```

```
1
          All right. Ladies and gentlemen, these are the
 2
    preliminary instructions.
 3
          (Jury instructions read by the Court, not transcribed
 4
    herein.)
 5
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, any questions on
 6
    those instructions?
 7
          No.
               Okay.
 8
          At this point in time let me ask the Government, does the
    Government wish to make an opening statement?
 9
10
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
          Your Honor, can the jurors have notebooks to take notes?
               THE COURT: I thought we provided them with
12
13
    notebooks. They are just hiding them from you.
          (Discussion off the record.)
14
15
               THE COURT: So this guy is in a bar, and he is
    getting drunker and drunker, and he is just getting drunker and
16
17
    drunker and just vomits himself. He goes, "Oh, my gosh, what
18
    am I going to do. My wife is going to kill me. My wife is
19
    going to kill me."
20
          The bartender says, "Don't worry about it. Put a $10 bill
21
    in your pocket and tell her the person next to you got sick and
22
    afterwards he gave you $10 for the cleaning of your shirt."
23
          And the guy goes, "That's a really good idea." And the
24
    guy goes home --
25
          I don't know if I told this one, by the way. Let's stop
```

now. I had to occupy that brief moment. I'll tell you later.

Let's have the opening statement.

MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, everyone. Defendant, Cesar Raul Aceves, was born in Mexico. He's in the United States illegally. He was deported to Mexico in July of 2010. At the time he was warned about the consequences of reentering the United States after his deportation, but he reentered the United States here anyway. Once he was here, he was found in Long Beach, California, over a hundred miles away from the Mexican border.

As you will hear in this trial, it's illegal for a person who was deported to return to the United States without getting permission from the United States government.

Today you will hear from deportation officers. They will talk about how they deport the person at the United

States/Mexican border. They will also talk about alien files, also known as an A-file. The defendant has an A-file, and you will hear and see some of the documents in it.

One of those documents shows that on July 12th, 2010, the defendant was put in immigration court proceedings. A few weeks later, through his immigration attorney, the defendant admitted that he was a Mexican citizen and asked to be deported immediately to Mexico. The very next day, the immigration judge granted that request; he ordered the defendant be sent back to Mexico.

That same day a deportation officer gave the defendant the warnings about reentering the United States illegally, including that he could be criminally prosecuted. Two days later, deportation officers physically deported the defendant to Mexico. They watched him walk across the bridge from Del Rio, Texas into Mexico. After being deported, the defendant reentered the United States illegally. He did not get the permission.

A deportation officer will testify that he reviewed the defendant's A-file, and he checked the immigration databases to make sure that the defendant did not have that permission, and he will testify that he did not.

Ladies and gentlemen, the defendant was deported to Mexico. He was warned of the consequences of returning illegally, but he did so anyway. At the end of this trial I will speak to you again. I will ask you to consider the evidence and only the evidence in this case. I will ask you to use your common sense, and I will ask you to return the only verdict consistent with the evidence in this case, that the defendant is guilty of the crime of being an alien found in the United States after deportation.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does the defense wish to give opening statement?

MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

```
1
               THE COURT: All right.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Ryan just
 3
    told you about how they're going to show that Mr. Aceves was
 4
    officially deported and removed in 2010, how they know he is an
    alien, how they know that he reentered the country. You heard
 5
 6
    the things he was going to prove. I would like to think of it
 7
    as building blocks stacked one on top of the other. But here's
 8
    the real question in this case: What are those blocks resting
 9
    on?
10
               THE COURT: Counsel.
11
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor?
12
               THE COURT:
                           That's an argument. That is not an
13
    opening statement.
14
               MR. MENNINGER: Fair enough, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right.
16
               MR. MENNINGER: I want you to ask yourself, what is
17
    underlying --
               MR. RYAN: Objection; argumentative, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: He's right. What does the defense
20
    expect to prove by way of evidence?
21
               MR. MENNINGER: Fair enough, Your Honor.
22
          The defense will show that underlying all of these points
23
    is the alien file, or the A-file, just as Mr. Ryan mentioned.
24
    The A-file -- it's actually sitting on the table right now.
25
    It's a collection -- the evidence will show it's a collection
```

of documents sitting in a paper folder.

The evidence will show that not one person remembers even meeting Mr. Aceves in 2010, not one person remembers him at all. The evidence will show that the Government's case begins and ends with that paper folder. And in that paper folder you might -- you will hear that if it's in that paper folder, then it must be true; and if it's not in that paper folder, then it can't exist; that the Government is doing the right thing, that it doesn't make mistakes; that when it enters orders of removal, it doesn't make mistakes; that the documents are trustworthy; when it takes a bus full of men and women down to Mexico, it doesn't make mistakes.

But in this courtroom, before someone can be criminally punished, it's the Government's burden of proving evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. Mr. Aceves is entitled to -- constitutionally entitled to have a jury of his peers look carefully at the evidence presented, and unless the Government proves every element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, he cannot be found guilty of that offense.

And you're going to see over the course of the trial that there are a lot of problems with this paper folder, with this alien file. Even though every document that immigration has about a person is supposed to be kept in this file, evidence will show that that is not true here. In fact, there's some really critical documents that were just completely missing

from this A-file.

For example, you're going to hear that in this deportation case in 2010, an attorney filed some documents in immigration court asking for Mr. Aceves to be deported. He said he was representing Mr. Aceves, and just asked for his client to be deported. And you will hear that because of that, Mr. Aceves never got a chance to stand up and be heard in immigration court. He was ordered --

MR. RYAN: Objection, Your Honor. That is not an element to the crime. Irrelevant.

MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I'm getting there. It goes to the completeness of the A-file, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I also instructed the jury, statements made by the attorneys in the opening statement are not evidence, and so we will see where it goes.

MR. MENNINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

So these are the documents that were the basis, the reason he got deported without having a chance to stand up in immigration court, but you will hear that these critical documents, they weren't in the A-file. You know, you will hear that every important document that immigration has about a person should be in the A-file, but these documents, perhaps the most important documents, were just not in there. In fact, the prosecution -- you will hear that the prosecution had to go get them just for this case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
And, in fact, you will also hear that after the judge
relied on those documents to order Mr. Aceves deported without
him having his day in court, the evidence will show that no one
even gave Mr. Aceves a copy of that deportation order.
order saying that he could never live in the United States, the
evidence will show that he didn't even get a copy of it.
           MR. RYAN: Objection, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Really, you are making a closing
argument at this point in time.
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I just want to --
           THE COURT:
                       It is an opening statement.
           MR. MENNINGER: Sure, Your Honor, I just want to
highlight the facts that the evidence will show.
           THE COURT: You are making an argument.
           MR. MENNINGER: Of course, Your Honor. I will try
to ensure it's just what the evidence shows.
     The evidence will show that there are other documents that
are missing from the A-file. For example, the evidence will
show that there's missing a written decision from an
application that was filed way back in 1997. It's just not in
the A-file. You will see that Mr. Aceves applied to become a
lawful permanent resident, and there should be --
           MR. RYAN: Objection, relevance.
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, it goes to the A-file.
It goes to missing documents in the A-file. This document is
```

```
1
    not in the A-file.
 2
               THE COURT: Let me just have counsel at sidebar for
 3
    a moment.
 4
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, I thought the
 5
    defendant does not testify. If the defendant does not testify,
 6
 7
    what is the evidence that you have that such document was
 8
    filled out, that such document was provided to the INS, and
    that document should be in the A-file?
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER: So the application is in the A-file.
11
    The regulations say when a decision is made, the decision must
12
    be written, and it's not in the A-file.
13
               THE COURT: That's not my question. You said that
14
    he gave -- had an application, that the application is not in
15
    the A-file is what you said.
               MR. MENNINGER: My apologies, Your Honor.
16
    application is in the A-file. The decision is not.
17
18
               MR. RYAN: It's irrelevant, Your Honor. Under 403
19
    this is outweighed by any probative value of that.
20
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               If I may, Your Honor, the Government
21
    has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he didn't have
22
    permission to reenter.
23
               THE COURT: Do you have any evidence that that
24
    application would allow him to come into this country?
25
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor, that's not our
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

```
defense. Our defense is that the A-file is not reliable, and
that it's missing critical documents, just like the documents
from the deportation proceedings.
           THE COURT: Why is that a critical document if the
document did not allow him to come into this country?
that a critical document?
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, it's a document that
should be in his A-file. Their case depends on the
completeness of the A-file.
           THE COURT: The last time we looked --
           MR. MENNINGER: We are not arguing --
           THE COURT: -- that the A-file contains all of the
documents, and if it doesn't contain all of the documents.
That he is somehow allowed to remain in this country?
           MR. MENNINGER: We are not arguing that. We are not
arguing that he is allowed to remain. We are attacking the
Government's evidence. The Government is saying if it does not
belong in the A-file, it doesn't exist. We are seeking to
prove that there were critical documents that are not in the
A-file.
           THE COURT:
                      The problem is if the -- why do you have
to talk to him? It's easier just to say it. What I don't
understand is what is the evidence that he has some basis to
stay in this country or to come into this country?
           MR. MENNINGER: We are not arguing what is the
```

```
1
    lawful permanent resident, Your Honor. We are arguing that the
 2
    A-file does not have the documents that it should contain.
 3
    when the Government says the A-file is complete and because
 4
    documents are not in the A-file we know they don't exist,
    that's not true because the A-file is not --
 5
               THE COURT:
                           That wasn't his argument.
 6
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I believe that that will
 8
    be their argument because permission to reenter is not in the
    A-file. It doesn't exist.
 9
10
               MR. RYAN: It's part of the argument, Your Honor,
11
    but there's additional arguments as well.
12
               THE COURT: Like what?
13
               MR. RYAN: They queried databases as well.
                                                            In
14
    addition to the A-file, they have other ways to check.
15
               THE COURT:
                           So you are just making an argument to
16
    counter his argument. I will allow the argument to be made at
17
    the closing argument, but I'm not going to allow it at the
18
    opening statement because you are arguing at this point.
19
               MR. MENNINGER: I will just make clear I'm telling
2.0
    what the evidence --
               THE COURT: No, Counsel. I will give you one more
21
22
    minute, but all you have been doing the last three minutes is
23
    arguing. All you needed to say is that, you know, the A-file
2.4
    lacks these documents.
25
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Okay.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT:
                      That's the evidence that you are
supposedly going to base that on. The effect of that is
argument.
           MR. MENNINGER: Thank you.
     (In open court.)
           MR. MENNINGER: Pardon the interruption there.
     So the evidence will show that there's critical documents
that are missing from the A-file. You will see that there
should be a written decision on the application that was filed
back in 1997 in the A-file, but it's not there. It's totally
missing. Who knows where it is.
     So as you sit here over the next day or two, I ask you to
think about what proof you are seeing of the elements of the
offense. And if the proof begins and ends with the A-file, how
can that be proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
           MR. RYAN: Objection; argumentative.
           THE COURT: That will be his last comment on it.
     Anything else?
           MR. MENNINGER: No, thank you, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     Let's have the first Government witness.
           MR. RYAN: Your Honor, the United States calls
deportation officer Joshua Arambulo.
           THE COURT: All right.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Stop there, sir. Raise your
```

```
1
    right hand.
 2
             JOSHUA ARAMBULO, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, WAS SWORN
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                              I do.
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Have a seat.
 4
 5
          State your name, and spell your last name for the record.
                THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. My name is Joshua
 6
 7
    Arambulo. First name is spelled J-o-s-h-u-a, last name is
 8
    spelled A-r-a-m, as in Mary, b, as boy, u-l-o.
 9
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. RYAN:
10
11
          Good afternoon, Officer Arambulo.
12
          Good afternoon.
13
          Where do you work?
          I work for the Department of Homeland Security Immigration
14
15
    Customs Enforcement out of the Los Angeles field office.
16
          What is your title?
17
          My official title is deportation officer.
18
          How long have you been a deportation officer?
19
          I have been a deportation officer since February of 2008,
20
    but I have been with ICE since 2006.
21
          What are your primary duties in that job?
22
          My current duties are to investigate cases of people that
23
    have been deported and have illegally reentered the country.
2.4
          As a deportation officer are you familiar with the term
25
    "alien"?
```

1 Yes, I am. Α 2 What does that word mean? 3 By statute, that word refers to or is defined as a person that is not a citizen or national of the United States. 4 What is an alien file, or A-file? 5 6 An A-file -- excuse me. An alien file is an actual file 7 that contains documents as it pertains to an alien's encounters with immigration officials. 8 And can you explain what an A-number is? 9 10 An A-number is a number that's assigned to an A-file as 11 well as to the corresponding person that A-file belongs to. 12 As part of your duties, how many times have you reviewed 13 A-Files approximately? 14 It's upwards of over hundreds. 15 Who is currently in possession of the A-file for this defendant, Cesar Raul Aceves? 16 17 I'm currently in possession of this file. 18 Have you reviewed this A-file? 19 Yes, I have. 20 Are you familiar with Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 16 21 and 23? 22 (Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 16 and 23 for identification.) 23 THE WITNESS: 4 --24 BY MR. RYAN: 25 16 and 23.

1 Yes, I am. Α 2 Where did you see these documents? 3 They were placed in the A-file. 4 Were these part of the A-file that were relating to the defendant's legal status? 5 6 Yes. 7 MR. RYAN: Your Honor, the Government would seek to move these exhibits into evidence. 8 9 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. They're admitted. 12 (Exhibit 1, 2, 3, 4, 16 and 23 received into evidence.) 13 BY MR. RYAN: Officer Arambulo, if you could just turn to Government 14 15 Exhibit 1, which I will place up on the screen. 16 What is this document? 17 This is a notice to appear. 18 What is a notice to appear? 19 Notice to appear is a document that's served upon a person that is placed into removal proceedings in front of an 20 21 immigration court. 22 Who is the person being ordered to appear on this 23 document? 24 In this document it is listed as Cesar Raul Aceves. 25 What is the date on this notice to appear?

- 1 A The date on this notice is July 12th, 2010.
- 2 Q And what is the number in the upper right-hand corner?
- 3 A That was the A-number that's associated with the
- 4 defendant.
- 5 Q And are there any allegations listed on this page?
- 6 A Yes, there are.
- 7 | Q Can you please read Allegations 1 and 2?
- 8 A Allegation Number 1 reads: "You are not a citizen or
- 9 | national of the United States." Allegation Number 2 reads:
- 10 | "You are a native of Mexico and a citizen of Mexico."
- 11 | Q When an allegation says "you," to whom is that referring?
- 12 A That is referring to the person who is being served this
- 13 document.
- 14 | Q And in your experience, do these allegations commonly
- 15 | appear in notices to appear?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 | Q Please look at the bottom of the second page of this
- 18 exhibit.
- 19 Who usually signs in the bottom right of that page?
- 20 A The bottom right is signed by the officer or agent that's
- 21 | served this notice to appear to the person who receives it.
- 22 | O And at the bottom left, there is another signature. It
- 23 says "Signature of respondent personally served." Who is the
- 24 respondent?
- 25 A In this particular case, it is the defendant.

- 1 Q And what does it mean if the respondent is personally 2 served?
- 3 A That means that they're served in person, face to face
- 4 | with an officer.
- 5 Q If you could turn to Exhibit 16 for me.
- 6 What is this document?
- 7 A This is a motion for immediate removal.
- 8 Q And are these types of documents typically found in
- 9 A-Files?
- 10 A Typically, yes.
- 11 Q What is the number next to the word "File" on this page?
- 12 A That is the A-number corresponding to the defendant.
- 13 | Q Do you recognize the A-number?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q And whose is it?
- 16 A The defendant's, Cesar Raul Aceves.
- 17 Q And if you would turn to the fourth page.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q It says "Respondent admits Allegations 1 through 5." What
- 20 does that mean?
- 21 A It means that he is not contesting those allegations that
- 22 | the Government --
- 23 Q Which allegations are those?
- 24 A Well, as I read, Number 1 and 2, that would be you are not
- 25 | a citizen and national of the United States; number 2 I believe

1 is you are a citizen or national of Mexico. 2 So this relates back to the notice to appear? 3 Correct, yes. 4 It also says under "Relief requested: Order removal." Tn your experience, what does that mean? 5 6 In my experience, the person that is ordered removed and 7 is requesting that type of removal just wants to return to 8 their country as soon as possible. And can you tell from this motion whether the person was 9 10 represented by an attorney in immigration court? 11 Per these documents, yes. 12 How can you tell? 13 I believe on -- it looks like almost every page, the 14 attorney's name is listed on the upper left-hand corner, as 15 well as the attorney signed it on the third page where it says "Attorney correspondent," as well as I believe on the fourth 16 17 page "Signature of counsel" is listed on the bottom. So that, 18 to me, would signify that he was represented by counsel at the 19 time. 20 Thank you. 21 Your Honor, at this time I would like to read the 22 stipulation identified as Government Exhibit 20. 23 (Exhibit 20 for identification.) 24 THE COURT: All right. Any objection? 25 MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: All right. Let me indicate, as I
indicated to the jury, when the parties have reached a
stipulation, that means they both agree on the facts, so you
must accept the fact that they have stipulated to it as true.
Okay. Thank you.
           MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
     "The following Government exhibits are true and correct
copies of documents found in the A-file of Cesar Raul Aceves,
A-number 076602456, as described below: Government Exhibit 6,
Mexican Birth Certificate of Cesar Raul Aceves; and Government
Exhibit 7, English translation of Birth Certificate of Cesar
Raul Aceves.
     "Government Exhibit 7 is an accurate translation of
Government Exhibit 6.
     "Government Exhibits 6 and 7 may be received into evidence
at trial without objection."
     (Exhibit 6 and 7 for identification.)
           MR. RYAN: We would move Government Exhibits 6 and 7
into evidence, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibits 6 and 7
are admitted.
     (Exhibit 6 and 7 received into evidence.)
BY MR. RYAN:
     Officer Arambulo, if you could turn to Government
Exhibit 2.
```

1 What is this document? 2 This document is a summary order of an immigration judge 3 ordering removal for the respondent, which is defendant. 4 And do you recognize the A-number at the top of this document? 5 6 Yes, I do. 7 And whose is that A-number? That is defendant's. 8 9 Are these types of removal orders commonly found in 10 A-Files? 11 Yes. 12 What is the date of this document? 13 This document is dated July 27th, 2010. 14 Does this document relate back to the notice to appear we 15 discussed earlier? 16 Yes. 17 Does it relate to the defendant's motion that he filed in 18 immigration court? 19 Yes, it does. 20 How do you know that? 21 I believe it relates to the notice to appear because, 22 number one, his A-number is listed there, as well as his name, 23 and also it is dated sometime three days after his notice to 24 appear was served. And in regards to the motion, that was 25 dated, I believe, the day after he put in that -- or excuse me.

- 1 This order was dated a date after the motion was submitted.
- 2 Q At the bottom after the "Remove order" it says "Appeal"
- 3 | with the word "Waived." What, in your experience, does that
- 4 | mean?
- 5 A In my experience that means that the respondent does not
- 6 | want to contest their case anymore and just wants to return to
- 7 their country as soon as possible.
- 8 Q If we could turn to Exhibit 3, please.
- 9 What is this document?
- 10 A This is a warrant of removal/deportation.
- 11 | Q And have you read this document before?
- 12 A Yes, I have.
- 13 | Q How do you know it relates to the defendant?
- 14 A I believe it relates to him because of the A-number is
- 15 | listed on the upper right-hand corner, as well as his name is
- 16 listed in the center of the page.
- 17 | Q What is the purpose of this type of document?
- 18 A The purpose of this document is to indicate that somebody
- 19 has been ordered removed by some type of entity, as well as it
- 20 | is a verification confirmation of somebody being removed as
- 21 | indicated on the second page.
- 22 | Q Okay. Talking about the second page, let's take a look at
- 23 that.
- 24 Now, at the top of this page where it says "Port," "Date"
- 25 | "Manner of entry," there's some handwriting. Are you used to

- 1 seeing these types of notes on this document?
- 2 A Yes, I am.
- 3 Q Who writes those notes, generally?
- 4 A Generally the person that confirms the removal of the
- 5 person being removed.
- 6 Q And it says "Del Rio, Texas." In your experience, is that
- 7 | a city on the Mexican border?
- 8 A Yes, it is.
- 9 0 And there is a handwritten date 7/29/10. What does that
- 10 | date mean?
- 11 A That is the date the person was actually seen or witnessed
- 12 going back across the border to Mexico.
- 13 | Q And then it says "Afoot." What does that mean?
- 14 A That means the person walked.
- 15 | O Based on this document, can you tell if anyone verified
- 16 | the deportation?
- 17 A Yes, I can tell.
- 18 Q How can you tell?
- 19 A There are two signatures: one is signed right next
- 20 towards the bottom of the page saying "Departure witnessed by."
- 21 That is the first witness of the removal. Below that, on the
- 22 | bottom of the page you will see "Departure verified by." That
- 23 | is a second officer or agent that verified this particular
- 24 | removal.
- 25 Q And why is there a fingerprint, picture and signature on

```
1
    this document?
 2
          That is to confirm the identity of the person being
 3
    removed.
 4
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, at this time I would like to
    read the fingerprint stipulation identified as Government
 5
 6
    Exhibit 21.
 7
          (Exhibit 21 for identification.)
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
 9
               MR. MENNINGER: No, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right.
11
               MR. RYAN: Let me just have one moment, Your Honor.
12
          "The following Government exhibits are true and correct
13
    copies of documents found in the A-file of Cesar Raul Aceves,
    A-number 076602456, as described below: Government Exhibit 3,
14
15
    Form I-205, Warrant of Removal/Deportation, dated July 27,
    2010; Government Exhibit 11A, Tenprint Fingerprint Card, dated
16
17
    July 12th, 2010; and Government Exhibit 12A, Tenprint
18
    Fingerprint Card, dated April 10th, 2015.
19
          "The right index finger on Government Exhibit 3; a Form
20
    I-205, Warrant of Removal/Deportation bearing name Aceves,
21
    Cesar Raul, is the fingerprint of defendant.
22
          "The fingerprints on Government Exhibit 11A, the Tenprint
23
    Fingerprint Card bearing name Aceves, Cesar Raul, dated July
24
    12th, 2010, are the fingerprints of defendant.
25
          "The fingerprints on Government Exhibit 12A, the Tenprint
```

```
1
    Fingerprint Card bearing name Aceves, Cesar Raul, dated April
 2
    10th, 2015, are the fingerprints of defendant.
 3
          "All of the fingerprints on Government Exhibits 3, 11A,
 4
    and 12A belong to the same person. If called as a witness at
    trial, Amy K. Gordon would so testify. Ms. Gordon is a Latent
 5
 6
    Print Examiner for the Department of Homeland Security
 7
    Biometric Support Center.
 8
          "All of the fingerprints on Government Exhibits 3, 11A,
    and 12A belong to the defendant.
 9
10
          "Government Exhibits 3, 11A and 12A are admissible without
11
    further objection at trial."
12
          (Exhibit 11 and 12 for identification.)
13
               MR. RYAN: The Government seeks to admit Exhibits 11
    and 12.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. Defense?
               MR. MENNINGER: No objection, Your Honor.
16
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, on the stipulation, we had
17
18
    originally identified those exhibits as "A," and they were
19
    redacted, and we subsequently we took out the "A," so just 11
2.0
    and 12.
               THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
21
22
          (Exhibits 11 and 12 received into evidence.)
23
               MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
          Officer Arambulo, if you could turn to Exhibit 4, please.
25
          What is this document?
```

- 1 A This document is Warning to Alien to Remove or be
- 2 Deported.
- 3 Q How do you know this relates to defendant?
- 4 A I know that it relates to defendant because it has his
- 5 | corresponding A-number as well as his name listed after the
- 6 A-number.
- 7 Q What is the purpose of this document?
- 8 A The purpose of this document is to give the -- give a
- 9 person that is being removed a warning, and let's them know the
- 10 | consequences of returning to the country illegally.
- 11 | Q Thank you.
- 12 Are you familiar with the CLAIMS system?
- 13 A Yes, I am.
- 14 0 What is that?
- 15 A The CLAIMS system is a system that tracks applications
- 16 | that are turned in to the USCIS, which stands for the United
- 17 | States Citizenship and Immigration Services.
- 18 Q What type of applications does it track?
- 19 A Anything from applications for lawful permanent residency,
- 20 which is your green card. It tracks applications for
- 21 employment authorization. It tracks applications for
- 22 | citizenship, as well as it will track applications for
- 23 permission to reenter the country after having previously been
- 24 | removed. That's just a small sample. Actually, there's a lot
- 25 more.

- 1 | Q Okay. If you will turn to Exhibit 23.
- 2 A Got it.
- 3 Q Do you recognize this document?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q What is this?
- 6 A This is a printout from an inquiry that was placed into
- 7 | CLAIMS regarding the defendant and to see what type of
- 8 applications he has filed.
- 9 Q Is there any indication on this printout that the
- 10 defendant has become a United States citizen?
- 11 A No, there's not.
- 12 Q Was there any indication the defendant had received
- 13 permission to enter into the United States?
- 14 A There is no indication, no.
- 15 | Q Was there any indication that he even asked for permission
- 16 | to come back in?
- 17 A No, there is not.
- 18 | Q Are you familiar with the forms that are placed in the
- 19 A-file that indicate citizenship?
- 20 A Yes I am.
- 21 | Q What are those forms?
- 22 A The forms are the N-400 and the N-600.
- 23 | Q Did you find any of those forms in defendant's A-file?
- 24 A No, I did not.
- 25 | Q Generally, if an alien becomes a United States citizen,

1 would that paperwork be in the A-file? 2 Generally, yes. 3 Generally, if someone had received permission to reenter 4 the United States, would that paperwork be in the A-file? It would be, yes. 5 6 Is there any indication in the A-file of citizenship? 7 No. Α Is there any indication in the A-file the defendant 8 requested permission to reenter the United States? 10 There is none. 11 MR. RYAN: No further questions, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Cross? 13 MR. MENNINGER: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one 14 moment. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. MENNINGER: 17 Agent Arambulo, you are the case agent for this 18 prosecution, correct? 19 That's correct, yes. 20 That means you're responsible for investigating the case? 21 Α Yes. 22 Getting all the evidence the prosecution needs to prove 23 its case? 24 Yes, that's correct. 25 But when the charges were filed, there was another agent

1 on this case? 2 That's correct, yes. 3 His name is Agent Ron Oki? 4 That's correct. Α In fact, you just got on this case a few months ago? 5 6 I wouldn't say a few months ago. I guess it depends on 7 what your definition is "a few months ago" because this case 8 has been continued so many times. 9 Fair enough. 10 You have never spoken with Mr. Aceves? 11 In person? 12 Yes. 13 No. 14 So you have never asked him if he was officially deported 15 or removed? 16 No, I haven't. You never asked him if he reentered the United States 17 18 without permission? 19 No. 20 So all of your testimony today is based on the documents 21 and databases that you have reviewed? 22 Yes, that's correct. 23 Based on your review of the alien file? 24 Correct. 25 And your review of the Government's databases, like the

1 CLAIMS database you just talked about? 2 Right. So an A-file is a file of all of the records that 3 4 immigration keeps about a person? Yes. 5 6 A record of all of the immigration-related documents that 7 the federal government has for one person? 8 Α Yes. They're all kept in one place? 10 Define "one place" for me. 11 In the A-file, right? 12 Yeah. There's also times sometimes an A-file will be 13 unavailable, so sometimes a temporary file will be made. 14 So everything related to official deportation or removal 15 would normally be in the A-file? 16 Normally, yes. 17 And you know that removal is the same thing as 18 deportation? 19 I know that, yes. 20 You know that because of your training and experience? 21 Yes, sir. 22 You know the removal is the technical legal word for 23 deportation? 24 Α Yes.

And you have looked at Mr. Aceves's A-file?

- 1 A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q And you're familiar with the documents that are in that
- 3 | file?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And you say in your testimony there is no documents in the
- 6 A-file saying that Mr. Aceves had permission to reenter?
- 7 A None that I could find, no.
- 8 | O And there's no proof of a lawful status in the A-file?
- 9 A None that I could find.
- 10 | Q And if permission to reenter is not in the A-file, then it
- 11 | doesn't exist?
- 12 A Well, I'm not only going by the A-file, I'm going by the
- 13 | CLAIMS check, which checks the applications that were filed on
- 14 the alien's behalf.
- 15 | Q So you just mentioned that sometimes there's another file
- 16 | called a T-file; is that correct?
- 17 A Sometimes, yes.
- 18 | Q So at certain times documents are not all kept in the
- 19 A-file, correct?
- 20 A There can be times, yes.
- 21 | Q And when the T-file is created, is there a form that's
- 22 | printed up and included in the A-file?
- 23 A Form?
- 24 | O Yeah. Is there a document in the A-file that shows that?
- 25 A Typically no.

- 1 Q And, in fact, there was a T-file at a time in Mr. Aceves's
- 2 | case; is that correct?
- 3 A I wouldn't know.
- 4 | Q You don't know whether there's a T-file created in this
- 5 case?
- 6 A For this file, I don't know.
- 7 Q Okay. Can I ask you to take a look at the actual physical
- 8 A-file.
- 9 A Yeah. Can I go down there and pick it up?
- 10 MR. MENNINGER: Is that okay, Judge, if he picks up
- 11 | the actual A-file?
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 BY MR. MENNINGER:
- 14 | Q Agent Arambulo, can you look at the inside cover on the
- 15 | left-hand side.
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 | Q There is a notation in there about a T-file being created?
- 18 A There you go, yeah.
- 19 Q So there was, in fact, a T-file for Mr. Aceves?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q And there's not a document or preprinted form in the
- 22 A-file that says that, that you could see that would tell you
- 23 that?
- 24 A Not that I could recall.
- 25 Q It's just handwritten in pen on the inside folder?

- 1 A That's what it looks like here, yes.
- 2 Q Now I want to talk about how you locate an A-file for
- 3 someone.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q How you could search for an immigration database. You
- 6 | typically -- or you can look into it with a name and date of
- 7 | birth, correct?
- 8 A No. You are asking about checking the file for the
- 9 | location of the A-file?
- 10 | Q No, I'm sorry, to try to see if someone has an A-file.
- 11 A Oh, yeah.
- 12 | Q There is a way to search with a name and date of birth; is
- 13 | that correct?
- 14 A That's correct, yes.
- 15 | Q In fact, you recently looked for an A-file for one Raul
- 16 | Aceves Padilla; is that correct.
- 17 A That's correct, yes.
- 18 | Q Raul Aceves Padilla is Cesar's biological father?
- 19 A I believe so, yes.
- 20 | Q And he has an A-file?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Pretty sizable A-file?
- 23 A I'm sorry?
- 24 | Q A pretty sizable A-file, would you say?
- 25 A I wouldn't know because I have never received that A-file.

```
1
          But you do know he has an A-file, correct?
 2
          Yes, I do know that.
 3
          In fact, he has been a lawful permanent resident since
    185?
 4
          I believe '95.
 5
               MR. RYAN: Objection; relevance.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I will sustain the objection unless you
 8
    can lay a foundation.
 9
               MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, he just testified
10
    that he knows that he has an A-file, that he searched for it.
11
    And I want the jury --
12
               MR. RYAN: Objection, Your Honor. He is going to
13
    make the argument.
14
               THE COURT: Pardon?
15
               MR. RYAN: He has to lay the foundation to the
    witness, right?
16
               THE COURT: Yes, but I think he is attempting to
17
18
    explain what he is attempting to lay the foundation of what it
19
    is.
20
               MR. MENNINGER: Right.
               THE COURT: And what is the foundation?
21
22
               MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, he just testified
23
    that he -- that he had searched -- he recently looked for this
24
    A-file and I want to elicit specifically how an agent would
25
    locate an A-file.
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                            That's not what he is objecting to.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Fair enough, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: All right.
 4
    BY MR. MENNINGER:
          So -- well, as you mentioned, you did look for this A-file
 5
 6
    recently, the A-file for Raul Aceves Padilla?
 7
          Correct.
    Α
 8
          And you found it, or you know it exists?
          I know it exists, yes.
10
          But earlier the prosecution in this case just a few weeks
11
    ago couldn't find an A-file for Raul Aceves Padilla?
          That's correct. I couldn't find it.
12
13
          You couldn't find it in the immigration database?
          No, because I had the improper date of birth, so we were
14
15
    searching with the wrong date of birth.
16
          Right. You had the date of birth of June 3rd, 1958 but
    it's really June 8th, 1958?
17
18
               MR. RYAN: Objection; relevance.
19
               THE COURT: Let me have counsel on sidebar.
20
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
21
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I'm just trying to point
22
    out if you have one digit wrong, you won't find an A-file.
23
    That's all.
24
               MR. RYAN: They have an A-file in this case, but
25
    they are trying to backdoor that the father is a lawful
```

```
1
    permanent resident.
 2
               THE COURT:
                           I don't understand the relevance of your
 3
    line of questioning.
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: So, Your Honor, I mean, he just
    testified that he didn't know whether there is a whole separate
 5
 6
    file created for my client until I pointed out that it was
 7
    handwritten on the inside, and I think immigration's ways --
 8
               THE COURT: Are you trying to argue this is sloppy
 9
    bookkeeping?
10
               MR. MENNINGER: Absolutely, absolutely, Your Honor.
11
               MR. AVEIS: He is trying to get in that his father
12
    is lawfully here. He is bootstrapping.
13
               MR. MENNINGER: I'm just trying to point out,
14
    Your Honor, if one digit is off in the date of birth, there is
15
    not an A-file.
16
               THE COURT: What is the point of all this?
17
               MR. MENNINGER: It is sloppy bookkeeping and
18
    inadequate investigation, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Let me just ask you -- let me just stop
20
    you. Are you challenging the documents that you've already
21
    indicated can come into this case?
22
               MR. MENNINGER: No. We are challenging that those
23
    are the complete A-file, that every single document that should
2.4
    be in there is in there because we know --
25
               THE COURT: Let's assume that your argument is
```

```
1
              What does that have to do with this case?
               MR. MENNINGER: Well, he just testified that he
 2
 3
    knows that -- he testified that it's his opinion that there is
 4
    no permission to reenter --
 5
               THE COURT: Let me ask you, what is the document
    that you think is in existence that is not in the file?
 6
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we think there is a
 8
    reasonable doubt as to whether there's permission to reenter.
               THE COURT: On what basis do you think that?
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER: Because the Government's evidence on
    that is it's not in the A-file, and we know there are documents
11
12
    missing from the A-file. We know that there is a file at the
13
    time, a completely separate file.
14
               THE COURT: What does that prove other than pure
15
    speculation?
16
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, that's reasonable doubt.
17
                           No, it's not. It's pure speculation.
               THE COURT:
18
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor --
19
                           I can understand your argument if your
               THE COURT:
20
    client would testify and say, "I made this application," or "I
21
    did this or that, " then I could understand that then if they
22
    were to say, "Oh, it's not in the file," then you can argue all
23
    this stuff and argue the file is incomplete and it's a mess.
24
    can understand that. But if he's not testifying, and I don't
25
    understand what it is that you're arguing other than the fact
```

```
1
    that, you know, there might be some sloppy bookkeeping.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, of course my
 3
    client doesn't have to testify.
 4
               THE COURT: I agree, but the problem is that if your
    client wants to raise a defense, he has to have a factual basis
 5
 6
    for raising the defense.
 7
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we are just challenging
 8
    the Government's case. This is what the Government has put
    forth, and we are pointing out there are flaws in that. That's
 9
10
    what Agent Arambulo just said. He said "Because this is not in
    the A-file, it doesn't exist. That's how you know, Jury."
11
12
    literally just said that.
               THE COURT: Let me hear from the Government.
13
          Is the Government really that stupid in this case?
14
15
               MR. RYAN: No, Your Honor. We have the entire
    A-file. All the documents are in there.
16
17
               THE COURT: If that's your argument, I'm going to
18
    allow him to go forward. You really have blown the arguments
19
    in this case. So I will let the defendant go forward.
20
          (In open court.)
    BY MR. MENNINGER:
21
22
         All right. Agent Arambulo, you testified that you did --
23
    that you have found the A-file for Raul Aceves Padilla,
24
    correct?
25
          Well, I established that there was an A-number.
```

- 1 Q You established that there was one?
- 2 A Yeah.
- 3 Q But briefly you were not able to find one because you had
- 4 | the wrong date of birth, correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q So you had the date of birth as June 3rd, 1958?
- 7 MR. RYAN: Objection; relevance.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I believe so, that was the correct
- 10 | date.
- 11 BY MR. MENNINGER:
- 12 Q But the correct birth date is June 8, 1958?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q And the difference between June 3rd, 1958 and June 8, 1958
- 15 means that the A-file didn't come up in your search?
- 16 | A It might have, but without the specific date, it was
- 17 | pretty difficult to center on exactly that set of names with a
- 18 | particular date of birth.
- 19 Q Fair enough. Thank you.
- 20 A Yep.
- 21 | Q Now I want to talk about Mr. Aceves's removal proceedings
- 22 from 2010. The purpose of a removal proceeding is to determine
- 23 whether someone can stay in the country or not, correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 | Q The proceedings where a person can make the case to the

1 immigration judge? 2 Yes. 3 They typically make this case at a hearing in immigration 4 court? 5 Yes. 6 And at the hearing, ICE or immigration tries to prove that 7 the person should be deported? 8 Α Yes. And the person presents his defense or can present his 9 10 defense as to why he should be allowed to stay? 11 MR. RYAN: Objection; speculation. 12 THE COURT: Let me ask the witness, do you 13 understand the question? 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 15 THE COURT: Do you understand the question? 16 THE WITNESS: If you can repeat it one more time. 17 THE COURT: Why don't you repeat the question. 18 MR. MENNINGER: Sure. 19 So you said that at the hearing in immigration court, ICE 20 or immigration tries to prove that the person should be 21 deported? 22 I think in theory, yes, that is the point. 23 And the person who is in immigration court is allowed to 24 present his defense as to why he is allowed to stay? 25 Yes, you are allowed to do that.

- 1 Q And then the immigration judge decides whether or not to
- 2 enter an official order of deportation or removal?
- 3 A It is up to the discretion of the immigration judge,
- 4 | correct.
- 5 Q But the immigration judge is the one who makes that
- 6 | decision?
- 7 A Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q So it's not to decide if somebody is guilty of a crime in
- 9 immigration court?
- 10 A What's the question?
- 11 | Q Sure. The immigration judge doesn't decide if someone is
- 12 | guilty of a crime or not, right?
- 13 A Correct. He just orders somebody removed or allows them
- 14 to stay in the country.
- 15 | Q And you testified that removal proceedings before an
- 16 | immigration judge start with the notice to appear?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 | Q The notice to appear tells the person that ICE, or
- 19 immigration, is trying to deport them?
- 20 A In short, yeah. In short, yes.
- 21 | Q It also tells them when and where the immigration court
- 22 | will be?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And Mr. Aceves received a notice to appear?
- 25 A Yes, he did.

1 And it was given to him on July 12th, 2010? 2 I believe that's the date on here, then that's correct, 3 yes. 4 And the notice to appear told him that he would get a hearing at immigration court in El Paso, Texas? 5 6 I would have to look at the --7 Please, go ahead. It's Government's Exhibit 1. 8 Yes, El Paso, Texas is correct. 9 This notice to appear didn't actually tell him the date or 10 time of the hearing; is that correct? 11 MR. RYAN: Objection; relevance. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's correct. That's usually 14 set up at a later point once the documents are put on or once 15 the case is put on a docket with an immigration judge. 16 BY MR. MENNINGER: Right. And that's what it says, the date and time will be 17 18 later set? 19 Yes, usually to be determined, correct. 20 When Mr. Aceves received this notice, it said he would see 21 a judge, but the date had not been set yet, right? 22 Yes, per this notice, yes. 23 MR. MENNINGER: One moment, Your Honor. 24 If I may approach, I would like to show a document to the 25 witness, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: What is the document?
 1
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: It's a document from the A-file.
 3
               THE COURT: What document is it?
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: I can show it to the Court, if you
    would like.
 5
               THE COURT: Show it to --
 6
               MR. MENNINGER: Of course. I will show it to
 7
 8
    everyone.
 9
               THE COURT: Let me ask the Government counsel, any
10
    objection?
11
          I take that as a "no."
12
               MR. RYAN: We object, Your Honor, on relevance.
13
               THE COURT: Is this part of the A-file?
14
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
16
17
    BY MR. MENNINGER:
18
          So, I have just shown you a document that's been marked
19
    defense Exhibit 211.
20
          (Exhibit 211 for identification.)
21
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
    BY MR. MENNINGER:
23
          You recognize this document?
24
         Yes, I do.
25
         And this document was in Mr. Aceves's A-file?
```

```
1
          I can't recall immediately off the top of my head.
    Α
 2
          Okay. Do you want to take a look in the A-file and see?
 3
          If you want me to, yeah, no problem.
 4
          Yeah, of course.
    O
 5
          Yes, it is placed in here.
 6
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we ask to admit defense
7
    Exhibit 211 into evidence.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
                          Yes, Your Honor, relevance.
 9
               MR. RYAN:
10
          Your Honor, can we have a brief sidebar on this issue?
11
               THE COURT:
                           All right.
12
          (Discussion held at sidebar.)
13
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, they are trying to contest
    the underlying -- the validity of the underlying deportation.
14
15
    It was already ruled on in this case and was dismissed.
    Whether he is validly deported is no longer an issue here.
16
17
               THE COURT: Well, let me decide here. Apparently
18
    the Government's case rests upon the A-file.
                                                   You have
19
    indicated that to me. So, therefore, I'm allowing everything
2.0
    that's in the A-file to come in that's the Government's case.
21
               MR. RYAN: Not every document is relevant to the
22
    elements of my case.
23
               THE COURT:
                           That might be but how do I know which is
24
    relevant and which are not relevant. If in fact the Court has
25
    already ruled there is no dispute, then at the time of closing
```

```
1
    argument you can basically tell the jury the Court has already
 2
    found if I in fact ruled that so this line of argument that
 3
    he's trying to get in is defeated automatically because all you
 4
    need to do is say the Court has already ruled that he was
 5
    properly deported.
 6
               MR. RYAN: Right, but because the Court has already
7
    ruled we should be able to keep it out now.
 8
               THE COURT: The only problem is you keep saying your
    case is dependent on the A-file.
 9
10
               MR. RYAN: Not entirely dependent on the A-file.
11
               THE COURT: Well, dependent partially on the A-file
12
    I'm going to allow it because if you rely on parts of it.
13
               MR. RYAN: Part of it, yes.
14
               THE COURT: How do I know what's relevant and not
15
    relevant.
16
               MR. RYAN: It is asking for a hearing in immigration
17
    court.
18
                           I have no idea. I don't know what the
               THE COURT:
19
    defense is. What's your line of argument on this?
               MR. MENNINGER: As we pointed out in the in camera
20
21
    trial memorandum we have asked for an instruction that he knew
22
    that he was deported, the fact that he was expecting a hearing
23
    and never received one is relevant to that.
24
               THE COURT: No, but if he was lawfully deported.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: We are not arguing --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

```
THE COURT: Counsel, that's not going to work
either. If he is lawfully deported I will sustain the
objection on grounds of relevance that's the basis of trying to
get this document is. You can't go around my ruling.
           MR. MENNINGER: We are not trying to go around your
ruling.
           THE COURT: Yes, you are.
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we submitted a jury
instruction that he has to know he was deported.
           THE COURT: It says on the document that he knows he
was deported.
           MR. MENNINGER: He didn't sign off on the document,
Your Honor. He signed off on the document that he was being
fingerprinted, Your Honor.
           MR. RYAN: His signature is on the document. His
picture is on the document. His fingerprint is on the
document. His name and number are on the document.
          MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, that doesn't mean that
he was deported as evidence we will bring out shows.
     (In open court.)
           THE COURT: Let's do this. Let me ask the jury.
                                                           We
are going to take a break at this point in time. Why don't you
come back at 25 after, and we will start again at 25 after.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
     (Out of the presence of the jury.)
```

1 THE COURT: Let me also excuse the witness. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. RYAN: Your Honor, we will send the witness outside for now. 4 5 THE COURT: I just did. MR. RYAN: Oh, sorry. 6 7 THE COURT: Let me indicate to the Government's 8 counsel, the problem here is that the Government's presentation of its case -- I mean, the problem is, to be blunt, is that you 9 10 probably know too much, and you don't establish the background of things you need to establish for background, and then you 11 12 say -- when you're relying on certain portions of the A-file, 13 you don't explain which portions are particularly relevant or not relevant. You just say, "Relying on this, this and this" 14 15 and saying, "Relying on the A-file." 16 If the Government relies on the A-file, I will allow the defense to attack the A-file. But since you didn't point out 17 18 the relevant portions, you just say, "Relying on this portion 19 or that portion, " you are not saying what is the relevant 20 portion. You are not laying the background so the defense can attack the contents of the A-file. 21 22 MR. RYAN: Your Honor, I don't think we dispute that 23 they can attack the contents, but the way they're doing it is 24 they are trying to attack the validity of the underlying 25 removal order. The validity of the underlying removal order,

2.0

it is valid. The Court has ruled that in a 1326 ruling. So the only question now is whether he was actually deported. This document does not say anything and does not go to that issue.

THE COURT: I would probably agree at this point in time with all of the discussion we have had, but up until this point in time, what can I say? In other words, you really need to establish -- if you're going to be talking about the A-file, you really need to establish the background as to what is supposed to be contained in the A-file, the progression in which these documents somehow make sense in relationship to each other, but you haven't done any of that, so it's pretty much impossible to tell, other than the fact that you've admitted this document and this document and this document, what exactly it all means.

MR. RYAN: Your Honor, we are just -- the documents we submitted go specifically to one element of the offense or another. That's why they're relevant in the case. The documents they are trying to submit do not go to any element in this case.

THE COURT: Well, you know, it might have been helpful in your opening statements, you said what you say, what the Government needs to show is A, B, C and D, and the Government will show that by showing A, by this document, this document and this document; B, by this document, this witness's

testimony. That's really what an opening statement is, what you expect the evidence to be in terms of that which you're arguing your case.

And usually when one argues a case, one bases it upon the jury instructions because the jury instructions say what the elements are. And so that's kind of like the easiest way to do that, but you kind of didn't do it that way. So it's difficult at least for me to figure out what you're doing at this point in time.

But conversely, however, the defense is -- apparently your argument is because it is sloppy recordkeeping, guess what, the defendant was never deported from the United States, but unfortunately, the problem is the record portion, that portion of the A-file is not sloppy. Those documents are there.

MR. MENNINGER: Right, Your Honor. Well, those documents are there. We would submit there's a reasonable doubt as to whether those documents are reliable. And also, the key piece is what is not there. They are saying permission is not there.

THE COURT: Let me stop you. The problem is is that I can understand you are making that argument if you had evidence to establish that, for example, there is a photograph, there is a fingerprint, and there is a signature. Are you planning to offer evidence that that is not his signature? Are you planning to offer evidence that is not his photograph? Are

```
1
    you planning to argue something along those lines. That, I
 2
    don't understand.
 3
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, we are intending to
 4
    arque that document is unreliable, and when we have those
    witnesses that signed that document, we fully intend to cross
 5
 6
    them on that. Agent Arambulo will testify --
 7
               THE REPORTER: One at a time.
 8
               THE COURT: If the substance of your argument is "Do
    you remember him signing this?" I mean how many years ago is
 9
10
    it? And how many have they looked at?
11
               MR. MENNINGER: I agree with you, Your Honor.
12
    is one point, but that's not the only point, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Let me ask the Government, does the
14
    Government have a fingerprint expert? Does the Government
15
    have --
               MR. RYAN: He stipulated that the fingerprint on
16
    that warrant of removal is the defendant's.
17
18
               THE COURT: All right. If that's stipulated to, I
19
    don't quite understand what the argument is here.
20
               MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, our argument is
21
    that the document is unreliable for what it purports to show,
22
    Your Honor. It purports to show he was deported, and we will
23
    argue, and when we get to those witnesses, we will establish
24
    that, Your Honor, that there's a reasonable doubt as to whether
25
    that document actually proves what it purports to prove.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: All right. I will see what happens, but
let me ask, as to this particular document here, the one that
you want to get in, Number 211, what's the point of this?
           MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, it's like the
prosecution just said, there are certain elements of the
         We submit that one of those elements, and we have
argued in a trial brief that remains pending, that one of the
points that the Government needs to prove is that Mr. Aceves
knew that he was being deported. The fact that he had been
offered a hearing and did not receive the hearing, did not
receive the removal order, calls into question, reasonable
doubt, whether he knew that he was being deported.
           THE COURT: Let me ask the Government, what is the
evidence to the fact did he have a hearing, or was the hearing
waived?
                     He waived his hearing. He filed a motion
           MR. RYAN:
for immediate removal through his immigration attorney, and the
judge granted that request.
           THE COURT: And what is the evidence that he
actually made the request?
           MR. RYAN: He filed a motion.
                       I understand his attorney filed the
           THE COURT:
motion. Did he sign the motion?
           MR. AVEIS: It's not an element that he knew he was
deported.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. RYAN: All right. Going back to that, that
isn't an element, Your Honor. The element is that he was
deported from the United States. Reading knowledge into that
is not supported by case law or the jury instructions.
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, as we argued in our
brief, yes, it is supported by case law. We admit the
Ninth Circuit has not decided one way or another, but Supreme
Court case law, combined with en banc Ninth Circuit instruction
of what the mens rea for this crime is, together make clear
that the knowledge is the correct mens rea and applies to every
element of the defense.
           THE COURT: I will certainly agree with the defense,
that if the Ninth Circuit hasn't made a ruling on this, the
factual predicate should be established one way or the other.
Even if I rule against the defendant, that it's not a
requirement, I should at least allow the defendant to lay the
factual foundation for it such that if it goes on appeal and
the Ninth Circuit in its wisdom elects to find that it is part
of the mens rea in that regard, then there would be a -- we
would have already done the factual foundation one way or the
other.
                       May I be heard, please?
           MR. AVEIS:
           MR. MENNINGER:
                           Yes, Your Honor.
           MR. AVEIS: Thank you, I'm Mark Aveis for the
defendant.
```

```
1
          I just want to put on the record because there are a
 2
    number of people speaking.
 3
               THE COURT: You already announced that at the
 4
    beginning of the case.
               MR. AVEIS: Your Honor, I just want to be clear,
 5
 6
    counsel at sidebar -- the jury is not here -- mentioned that
 7
    there was an in camera trial memorandum, and counsel has now
 8
    said that we made that argument. I would assume that the
    reference to the en banc Ninth Circuit decision that counsel
 9
10
    has just alluded to, among the other arguments, were contained
11
    in that in camera trial memo. The Government has not seen
12
    that. We are a bit concerned that we haven't had a chance to
13
    deal with what appears to be an ex parte contact between the
    defense and the Court about --
14
15
               THE COURT: No, the ex parte contact was in the way
16
    of an in camera request for a subpoena, is my recollection.
17
               MR. AVEIS: He just said there is an in camera trial
18
    memorandum which reference case law, which is total news to us
19
    in the last two seconds.
20
               MR. MENNINGER: Can I address that, Your Honor?
21
               THE COURT: Yeah, I don't recall -- let me put this,
22
    he may have filed it. I don't recall reading it.
23
               MR. AVEIS: Great, but we don't know what it says.
24
               THE REPORTER: One at a time, please.
25
               MR. MENNINGER: I'm sorry, Court Reporter.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
The legal argument I'm referring to is a public filing.
It's Document 115, the defense proposed jury instructions. If
you look at pages 5 and 6 and 7 is the legal argument I'm
referring to. We argued a theory of defense that was simply
based on facts, Your Honor. That document contains no law.
           MR. AVEIS:
                      Is there an in camera trial memorandum,
though?
           THE COURT: As I indicated, I haven't read it. They
may have filed it, but I haven't read it if they have filed it.
     Let me ask counsel, did you file such an in camera?
           MR. MENNINGER: Yes. We did file an in camera memo,
Your Honor. It was just facts, not any case law whatsoever.
           THE COURT: What document number?
           MR. MENNINGER: If you give me a moment, I can tell
you, Your Honor.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's not on the docket yet.
           MR. MENNINGER: There was a notice of manual filing
that disclosed it as a trial memorandum, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: When was it filed?
          MR. MENNINGER: Perhaps Thursday or Friday,
Your Honor.
           THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It was launched on the 2nd.
           THE COURT: All right. Let me ask, why are you
filing a trial memorandum in camera?
           MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, because that contains
```

```
1
    the theory of the defense.
 2
               THE COURT: So what. We are in trial. Why are
 3
    you -- I mean --
 4
               MR. MENNINGER: Because the defense has a right,
    Your Honor, not to disclose the theory of the defense. We have
 5
 6
    a duty to disclose any evidence that we are going to use in our
 7
    case in chief. We have complied with that. We are not
 8
    required to disclose our theory and what facts we seek to
    elicit with, you know -- I'm sorry, what arguments we seek to
 9
10
    make with the facts that are elicited at trial.
11
                           The problem is you are making these
               THE COURT:
12
    arguments that aren't based on fact, well, at least it hasn't
13
    been established at this point in time. Maybe you will be able
    to establish these. I don't know.
14
15
               MR. MENNINGER: I'm getting there, Your Honor.
               MR. AVEIS: Counsel just said they have a duty to
16
17
    disclose the facts that they are to use in their case in chief.
18
    Are we to infer, therefore, there will be an affirmative
19
    defense?
20
               THE COURT: I presume there is going to be an
    affirmative defense.
21
22
               MR. AVEIS: I don't believe they have a legal duty
23
    to do that. And to give you what those facts are and not us,
24
    I'm not sure that's right, but beyond that, whether or not it's
25
    an affirmative defense --
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Let me put it this way. Attacking the
 2
    elements of the case in chief is never an affirmative defense.
 3
               MR. AVEIS: Precisely. I think it's sort of a
 4
    declined bottle of reasoning for them to provide anything in
    camera that would relate to any fact.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Let me just ask the defense counsel as a
 7
    matter of law, which you really should disclose to the Court,
 8
    what is the contention -- on what basis are you saying that the
    defendant has to have been aware that he was deported --
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER: Sure, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: -- rather than simply to have been
12
    deported?
               MR. MENNINGER: Right. So the Supreme Court in
13
    Alanis in 2015 ruled that --
14
15
               THE COURT: What's the case citation?
16
               MR. MENNINGER: It's on page 5 of that filing,
17
    Your Honor. It's 135 Supreme Court 2009. How that a criminal
18
    statutes mens rea requirement applies to all elements of the
    defense necessary to make this conduct a crime.
19
20
               THE COURT: Sorry, you said on page --
21
               MR. MENNINGER: Page 5 of the defense jury
22
    instructions, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Oh, okay. Just a moment.
24
               MR. MENNINGER: I'm at the middle paragraph,
25
    Your Honor, at line -- I guess it's about 11.
```

```
1
          So that's the Supreme Court's ruling on applying the mens
 2
    rea to each element of the offense, and the Ninth Circuit makes
 3
    clear, if you look at page 6, the first paragraph, that the
 4
    mens rea for the current offense, being found in the United
 5
    States as an alien after deportation, is knowledge.
 6
    knowledge needs to apply to every element of the offense,
 7
    including that he was deported.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. I will take a look at the
 9
    cases.
10
               MR. RYAN:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Let the court reporter take a short
12
    break, and we will probably take another break in the afternoon
13
    as well.
14
          (Recess taken from 3:18 p.m. to 3:29 p.m.)
15
          (Out of the presence of the jury.)
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated and come to
16
    order.
17
18
               MR. AVEIS: Sorry, Your Honor.
19
               MR. RYAN:
                           Sorry.
20
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Before we bring in the jury,
21
    as to the objection to the Exhibit 211, at this point, again, I
22
    don't understand what the point of that exhibit is, even
23
    understanding the defense's defense at this point. What is the
24
    relevance of that document?
25
               MR. MENNINGER: Sure, Your Honor. So he was
```

```
1
    expecting a hearing, and he did not receive that hearing.
 2
    under these unique facts, Your Honor --
 3
               THE COURT: Let me stop. You can't say that because
 4
    he's not going to testify. So, therefore, what he expects, et
 5
    cetera, you know, what --
 6
               MR. MENNINGER: This document says "I request a
7
    hearing," and his initials are next to it, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. But you can establish that he
 9
    requested a hearing.
10
               MR. MENNINGER: Absolutely.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Okav.
12
               MR. MENNINGER: That's all we're trying to do,
13
    Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Let me ask.
14
15
               MR. RYAN: Well, that's not relevant, though, to any
    elements of the defense. I think this is coming back to
16
17
    whether he knew he was deported.
18
                           I guess the defense's argument is that
               THE COURT:
19
    there is a mens rea as to the -- well, let me just ask, make
20
    sure I understand the defense's defense at this point. If you
21
    look at the Ninth Circuit jury instruction 9.6, which I presume
22
    is the applicable one for this section.
23
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               It's the model --
               THE COURT: Ninth Circuit instruction.
24
25
               MR. RYAN: I think it's 9.8.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: 9.8.
 2
               MR. MENNINGER: Let me check, Your Honor.
 3
          Yeah, 9.8.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Let's look at 9.8.
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, it does not state it in
 5
    the model jury instruction, Your Honor, but we believe that in
 6
 7
    light of later developing Supreme Court case law, the mens rea
 8
    would also need to be applied to the deport.
 9
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
10
               MR. MENNINGER: And, Your Honor, I point out, this
11
    is quite a unique case. Most times people are deported, they
12
    are there. They receive a copy of the order.
13
               THE COURT: No, oftentimes they don't have to.
                                                                They
14
    can make a request, through their attorneys, for just the
15
    voluntary leaving. They just leave. It happens quite often.
16
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, a person who voluntarily
    departs the United States cannot be convicted of illegal
17
18
    reentry and require deportation.
19
               MR. RYAN: Judge, we have a deportation in this
20
           Every piece of evidence in this case points to the fact
    case.
21
    that there was a deportation.
22
               THE COURT: Let me just ask -- let me have the
23
    elements of 9.8. You're saying that the first element has a
24
    mens rea requirement? Is that the one that requires the --
25
               MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor. The mens rea is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
knowing for this offense, and under the rule of Alanis and
Flores-Figueroa, that must apply to every element of the
offense.
                       Okay. So what they're saying is that
           THE COURT:
there's a mens rea element as to number one, the defendant knew
that he had previously been deported or departed the United
States, so while there was an order of removal outstanding.
           MR. RYAN: Right, and there is a mens rea element.
It's a general intent crime. The founding portion of 1326,
general intent, general intent is knowledge. You read the
knowledge mens rea per the actus reus in this case, the actus
reas is knowing entering the United States and remaining, not
the fact that he was deported.
                       They are claiming that the mens rea goes
           THE COURT:
to the first element as well as that they have knowledge that
they previously were deported. I presume that's what the
defense is arquing.
           MR. MENNINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Okay. I'm not saying that they're
right.
           MR. RYAN:
                      Okay.
                       I'm just saying that is their theory.
           THE COURT:
Let's put it this way. That issue has not been previously
argued to me, and so I don't know whether or not I can say at
this point in time that the defense is incorrect in that
```

```
1
    regard.
 2
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, if we read the mens rea into
 3
    the first element, why wouldn't we read it into every other
 4
              That makes no sense that he would have to know he's
    an alien to know he didn't have consent. We don't read it into
 5
 6
    every other element of the defense.
                                         It is read into the actus
 7
    reas, which is the knowing and remaining. This deportation is
 8
    like a condition precedent for this offense. It's not -- it's
    not the actus reas itself.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Again, I mean, I haven't had an
11
    opportunity to look at this.
12
               MR. RYAN: Your Honor, I think we do need a ruling
13
    on this prior to going forward, though, because we won't know
    whether the questions being asked are relevant to the elements
14
15
    of the offense until we know whether the knowing requirement
    should be read into the first element, which it should not.
16
17
               THE COURT: Let me ask this question: How many more
18
    witnesses do we have?
19
               MR. RYAN: I have three -- four more witnesses.
20
               THE COURT: How long are those witnesses going to
    take?
21
22
                          I'm sure each one should take 15 minutes,
               MR. RYAN:
23
    maybe.
24
               THE COURT: 15 minutes. I will tell you what, I
25
    will excuse the jury at this point in time because this issue
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
is -- obviously we are going to have to resolve it now,
otherwise the whole trial is going to be one more after another
of these objections. And if I don't rule on this now, then it
will be a mess anyway.
           MR. MENNINGER: Well, Your Honor, can I just briefly
be heard on that? Like Your Honor said, if this goes to an
appeal, in order for the Ninth Circuit to deal with it, they
have to see the whole facts of the case.
           THE COURT: Let me just stop you.
                                              I would agree
with you if it was a colorful argument, but if it's just simply
not even plausible, that's not to say -- I don't know if I
should say that because we are on the record, and the Ninth
Circuit could obviously read my next comment. I should
probably keep it to myself.
     So why don't we just do it this way. I just want to make
sure it's a colorable argument. Obviously if I find it's a
colorable argument, I would agree with you, I should let the
defense lay the fact foundation, and I will. Let me look at
the law.
     So why don't I do this. Let me excuse the jury at this
point in time and indicate to them that I will have them come
back tomorrow at 8:45. All right?
           MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
           THE COURT: And let me have you guys come back --
```

well, what I want for you guys to do, I want you guys to give

```
1
    me by 5:30 all citations that you have on this point. And if
 2
    you want to, I will allow you to do a two-page memo, but I
 3
    primarily want the citations because I think I understand the
 4
    argument. So just give me two pages of text or argument and
 5
    whatever citations you want me to look at.
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Okay. Your Honor, would the Court
 6
7
    want something in addition to what we already filed?
 8
               THE COURT: Yes, I want something, in other words, a
    separate document which incorporates these arguments.
 9
10
               MR. MENNINGER:
                               Okay.
11
               MR. AVEIS: Your Honor, can we do that by e-mail
12
    with CCs to everybody?
13
               THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.
               MR. AVEIS: So we will send that to --
14
15
               THE COURT: Yeah, send it to -- by 5:00.
    won't be here.
16
17
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can check my e-mails at
18
    home and send it to you.
19
               THE COURT: You can?
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sure.
20
21
               THE COURT:
                           That's fine. By 5:30, and we will do it
22
    in that fashion.
23
          So let me have the jury come back in, and I will let them
24
    know. I know they are going to be disappointed that they are
25
    not going to be staying until 5:00, but into everybody's life,
```

```
rain must fall.
 1
 2
          (Pause in proceedings.)
 3
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
          (In the presence of the jury.)
 4
 5
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated.
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, there is an issue
 6
 7
    that's come up that I need to resolve, and I need to talk with
 8
    the attorneys further about this matter. So rather than
    keeping you waiting in the jury room, I'm going to let you go
 9
10
    at this point in time and have you come back tomorrow at 8:45.
11
    We will start again at 8:45.
12
          When you come in, you don't need to check in. Maybe my
13
    clerk already told you. You don't need to check into the jury
14
    room downstairs. Simply come into the entryway, and you will
15
    be buzzed in at 8:45. Remember, when you are on these breaks,
    don't talk about the case with anyone. Have a very pleasant
16
17
    evening and we will start again tomorrow at 8:45.
18
          Put those notebooks in the jury room.
19
                       In the jury room?
               JUROR:
20
               THE COURT: Yes, because some days I have other
    matters in the courtroom.
21
22
          (Out of the presence of the jury.)
23
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to do
    at this point in time?
25
```

```
1
               MR. RYAN: The Government would request that the
 2
    defense turn over the trial memorandum that was turned over in
 3
    camera.
 4
               THE COURT: Let me just ask, I understand that prior
    to the start of trial the defense has a right not to disclose
 5
 6
    their defense, but we are in the trial, and the problem is is
 7
    that, you know, your secrecy in this regard is making it
 8
    difficult to make rulings because, again, it's not clear
    apparently to the Government what you're trying to do, and,
 9
10
    frankly, it's not quite clear to the Court what you are trying
11
    to do. Not saying what you are trying to do is incorrect, but
12
    it's not clear what you are trying to do.
13
          What is the problem of turning over the memo?
14
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, because the Government
15
    is, of course, in contact with its witnesses.
16
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
17
               MR. MENNINGER: And these are merely the facts that
    we seek to elicit from its witnesses, Your Honor. That's all
18
19
    that's in the trial memorandum.
               MR. RYAN: We will have no contact with the
20
21
    witnesses overnight.
               MR. MENNINGER: Your Honor, if the Court --
22
23
    Your Honor, again, it's not something that we need to disclose
2.4
    to the Government.
25
               THE COURT: I will tell you what I will do.
```

```
1
               MR. MENNINGER: It's not a discovery item.
 2
               THE COURT: Let me put it this way.
                                                     I will not
 3
    require you to turn it over tonight, but I will require you to
 4
    turn it over tomorrow because by that point in time I will have
    ruled on the legal issue. And so once I have ruled on the
 5
 6
    legal issue, I will require it to be turned over one way or the
 7
    other because either, one, it's not going to be that apropos;
 8
    or two, it's going to be very apropos, but I think the
9
    Government has a right at that point in time to be apprised
10
    what exactly your argument is. Okay?
11
               MR. MENNINGER: If that's the Court's ruling.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
               MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
                  (Proceedings concluded at 3:42 p.m.)
15
                                ---000---
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 1
 2
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
                             )
 4
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                             )
 5
 6
                I, CAROL JEAN ZURBORG, Federal Official Realtime
7
    Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for
 8
    the Central District of California, do hereby certify that
 9
    pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the
10
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
11
    stenographically reported proceedings held in the
12
    above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
13
    conformance with the regulations of the judicial conference of
14
    the United States.
15
16
    Date: April 24, 2017
17
18
                                 /s/ CAROL JEAN ZURBORG
19
                      CAROL JEAN ZURBORG, CSR NO. 7921, CCRR, RMR
2.0
                             Federal Official Court Reporter
2.1
22
23
24
25
```