

JUN 14 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 09-90188

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

Complainant levies a series of bizarre and unfounded allegations against a circuit judge. According to complainant, the judge:

was only harassing me on the 22nd the same number 22 as my age 22 when [name redacted]’s friend [name redacted] also of [state redacted] and [pronoun redacted] cartel gang member [name redacted] attacked and ran me over with his automobile. [Name redacted]’s unopened mail which [pronoun redacted] deliberately leaked to the cartels will not be opened and will be used against [pronoun redacted] as evidence in Senate impeachment proceedings.

Further, the judge:

is a cartel gang member and has accepted the promise of future rewards and payment along with [4 other judges] and the violent and malicious cartel member Negro terrorist [name redacted], which [name redacted] is a pet of the Ninth Circuit Court. [Name redacted] also took bribes and the promise of future rewards from [name and organization redacted] in order to unduly and illegally influence the outcome of [pronoun redacted] opinion.

Complainant also alleges that the Ninth Circuit owes him “\$40,000,000.00 for the damages the employees of the Ninth Circuit caused,” and that this debt “is non-negotiable and due in full.” Complainant finally alleges that the judge is a

“threat to National Security,” “a traitor to the United States of America,” “suspected of being a Nazi sympathizer,” “unable and unwilling to disassociate . . . from such charges” and “a useless, incompetent, abusive, wasteful, and corrupt fraud.”

This is the third time complaint has lodged outrageous and unsupported allegations against a judge of this Circuit. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 08-90158 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 08-89044 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2008). Complainant was previously warned that if he continued to abuse the misconduct complaint procedure, he could be sanctioned by summary dismissal. This complaint is therefore summarily dismissed.

Complainant is further ordered to show cause why he should not be sanctioned by an order requiring him to obtain leave before filing any further misconduct complaints. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 10(a); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 552 F.3d 1146, 1148 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant has thirty days from the filing of this order to file a response, which will be transmitted to the Judicial Council for its consideration.

DISMISSED and COMPLAINANT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE.