

JUL 13 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 10-90065, 10-90066,  
10-90067 and 10-90068

**ORDER**

**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

Complainant alleges that a magistrate judge and two district judges made improper rulings in his civil cases. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); see also In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 579 F.3d 1062, 1064 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Complainant alleges that another judge ignored a letter he sent asking for help getting a fair trial, but that isn't cognizable misconduct under Judicial-Conduct Rule 3(h). This charge must therefore be dismissed as well.

Complainant also seems to claim that the judges were biased and conspired against him because of his race, pro se status or religion. But complainant hasn't provided the objectively verifiable evidence necessary to support these allegations. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Judicial Council 2009). Complainant implies that one judge ruled against him because he

provided evidence in a criminal case against one of the judge's relatives. A limited inquiry under Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(b) revealed that the judge wasn't related to the indicted individual, though they shared the same last name.

**DISMISSED.**