

JAN 05 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 10-90072

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, alleges that a district judge “completely ignored [his] objections to the outrageous reports and recommendations” and improperly denied his habeas petitions. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judge’s rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant further alleges that “the Court became partial, instead of impartial” by dismissing his claim with prejudice. But adverse rulings alone aren’t proof of bias. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. 2009). Because complainant provides no proof that misconduct occurred, this claim must be dismissed. See id.; see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

DISMISSED.