

JAN 11 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
--

Nos. 10-90098, 10-90099,
10-90100 and 10-90101**ORDER****KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se prisoner alleges that a magistrate judge made various improper substantive and procedural rulings in his habeas case. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant further alleges that the magistrate judge and three district judges conspired to deny him his constitutional rights. Complainant also claims that the magistrate judge was biased against him and engaged in ex parte communications with a defendant in his case, and “purposefully inpu[t] incorrect information within the court record.” Adverse rulings are not sufficient to prove bias or conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. 2009). Because complainant has presented no evidence to support any of his allegations, they must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii);

Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569

F.3d 1093, 1093 (9th Cir. 2009).

DISMISSED.