

MAY 18 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 10-90180

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se complainant alleges that the district judge who dismissed his copyright infringement case committed perjury and fraud by contradicting himself in the dismissal order. Review of the order reveals that these allegations are wholly unfounded. The misconduct charges are no more than a clumsy effort by complainant to disguise his disagreement with the merits of the judge's rulings. They must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), (iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B), (D).

Complainant also alleges that the judge favored defendants and conspired with their attorneys to deprive him of his rights. As support, he points only to adverse rulings, which aren't proof of bias or conspiracy. E.g., In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011). Because complainant offers no other evidence, the charges must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant's allegations against defendant's counsel are dismissed because

the misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

DISMISSED.