

AUG 03 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 11-90030

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

Pro se complainants allege that a magistrate judge made various improper rulings in their civil case. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainants also allege that the magistrate judge treated them “in a demonstrably egregious and hostile manner,” but the only examples of this they offer are the judge's rulings. Adverse rulings aren't proof of bias or hostility. Any dissatisfaction they may have with these rulings may be pursued by way of appeal. This charge must be dismissed as merits-related and unfounded. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainants allege a “pattern of deliberate delay” by the magistrate judge. The docket reveals no delay. This charge must be dismissed as unfounded. See In

re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 630 F.3d 1262, 1263 (9th Cir. Jud. Council
2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.