

JUN 14 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 11-90064

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se creditor alleges that a bankruptcy judge improperly ignored evidence and changed a tentative ruling. These charges must be dismissed as merits-related. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant claims that the judge “was neither remotely fair nor impartial.” Adverse rulings aren’t proof of bias, and complainant hasn’t offered any other evidence of misconduct, so this charge also must be dismissed. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant also alleges that the judge failed to give reasons for his rulings. The record belies this assertion and so it’s dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.