

AUG 16 2012

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUITMOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSIN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCTNos. 11-90146, 11-90147,
11-90148, 11-90149, 11-90150,
11-90151, 11-90152, 11-90153,
11-90154, 11-90155 and 11-90156

ORDER

PREGERSON, Circuit Judge¹:

A pro se litigant filed a misconduct complaint naming a magistrate judge, three district judges, and seven circuit judges. However, her misconduct complaint does not include a “concise statement that details the specific facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based.” Judicial-Conduct Rule 6(b).

In place of this required section, complainant provides documents from her underlying case, in which she claimed the judges were racially biased and made improper rulings in her civil case. All of the documents have already been ruled on or expressly rejected after complainant’s case was closed. “A complainant may not circumvent Rule 6(b)[] . . . by referencing other materials,” In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 632 F.3d 1287 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011), which includes documents drawn up for another purpose. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct,

¹ This complaint was assigned to Circuit Judge Harry Pregerson pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351(c).

630 F.3d 968, 968–69 (9th Cir. 2010). Because complainant did not submit a statement of facts setting forth her allegations of misconduct, she has made no allegations of misconduct and the complaint must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(I).

DISMISSED.