

AUG 24 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 12-90006, 12-90007,
12-90008, 12-90009, 12-90010,
12-90011, 12-90012, 12-90013,
12-90014, 12-90015, 12-90016
and 12-90017

ORDER

PREGERSON, Circuit Judge¹:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, filed a misconduct complaint naming six circuit judges and six district judges. Complainant previously filed three misconduct complaints against one of the district judges named in the current complaint that were dismissed as merits-related and unsupported. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 11-90039 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 11-90084 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 11-90131 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011). Because the current allegations against the previously named judge reiterate allegations raised in the prior complaints, and complainant again fails to provide any supporting evidence, the court's prior order makes further action on

¹ This complaint was assigned to Circuit Judge Harry Pregerson pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351(c).

the charges against the previously named judge unnecessary. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(C); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 563 F.3d 853, 854 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant now alleges that by dismissing his misconduct complaint, the eleven newly named judges conspired with each other and with defendants.

Adverse rulings are not proof of a conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. 2011). Because complainant offers no other evidence to support his claim, these charges must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant is reminded that a “complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted from filing further complaints.” Judicial-Conduct Rule 10(a); see also In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 552 F.3d 1146, 1148 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

DISMISSED.