

**FILED**

MAY 16 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 13-90039

**ORDER**

**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that a district judge has dementia. Complainant claims that the judge's legal reasoning in granting complainant's motion was so off-base as to show mental impairment. Normally, challenges to a judge's legal reasoning are not part of the misconduct process because they can be reviewed on appeal. Here, however, complainant claims not to be aggrieved by the rulings, so an appeal is not possible. I have therefore reviewed the order in question pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(a) and found no evidence that the district judge is impaired. This claim must therefore be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**