

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FILED

NOV 18 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

<p>IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT</p>
--

No. 13-90174

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge violated his due process and equal protection rights by preventing him from presenting evidence in his civil case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge’s ruling and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the subject judge harbored bias against him, citing only adverse rulings as evidence. Because “[a]dverse rulings do not prove bias or conspiracy,” this claim is dismissed. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant also quotes at length anonymous comments about the judge from a web page known as The Robing Room. Anonymous, general comments by unknown persons about unspecified cases cannot serve as proof of misconduct. In

any event, none of the comments, even if supported, allege anything that would amount to misconduct. Insofar as complainant alleges misconduct by the judge in cases other than his own, these allegations are dismissed as unsupported. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.