

FILED

FEB 27 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90018, 14-90019,
14-90020, 14-90021, 14-90022,
14-90023 and 14-90024

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that six circuit judges and one district judge committed fraud and were biased against him, among other claims. The current complaint raises the same misconduct allegations as in three prior complaints brought by complainant, which were dismissed as merits-related and unsupported. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 10-90075+ (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011), In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 07-89075 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2007), In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 07-89107 (9th Cir. 2007). Therefore, these prior orders make further action on these charges unnecessary. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(C); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 563 F.3d 853, 854 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant was warned that he may be restricted from filing further complaints. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 10-90075, at 2-3 (citing

Judicial-Conduct Rule 10(a)). Complainant is therefore ordered to show cause why he should not be sanctioned via an order requiring him to obtain leave before filing further misconduct complaints. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 552 F.3d 1146, 1148 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Complainant has thirty-five days from the filing of this order to file a response, which will be transmitted to the Judicial Council for its consideration.

DISMISSED and COMPLAINANT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE.