

FILED

FEB 27 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 14-90090

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge improperly granted partial summary judgment and erred by awarding attorney's fees in his civil case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and are therefore dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that the judge was biased against him because of his pro se status and always ruled in favor of defendants who had retained counsel. But adverse rulings alone are not proof of bias, and complainant provides no other objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, so they must also be dismissed. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D); see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Complainant requests that I consider a 16-page statement of facts with a lengthy appendix. Because complainant fails to produce a compelling reason for exceeding the five-page limit, this request is denied. See Local Misconduct Rule 6.1(b). Complainant also submitted a five-page statement of facts, which I have reviewed in adjudicating this complaint.

DISMISSED.