

FILED

AUG 28 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90121, 14-90122
and 14-90123

ORDER

Before: **THOMAS**, Chief Judge, **WALLACE**, **PAEZ**, **TALLMAN**,
CALLAHAN and **MURGUIA**, Circuit Judges, **R. COLLINS**,
KING and **WINMILL**, Chief District Judges, and **ILLSTON** and
ISHII, District Judges

Pursuant to Article V of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c), complainant has filed a petition for review of the order of the Chief Judge entered on July 2, 2015, dismissing the complaints against three circuit judges of this circuit.

We have carefully reviewed the record and the authorities cited by the Chief Judge in his order of dismissal. We conclude there is no basis for overturning the order of dismissal.

To the extent that complainant alleged in her misconduct complaint and on petition for review that the appellate court's en banc review process generally denies due process to appellants who receive unpublished opinions, this allegation is dismissed as both unfounded and directly related to the judges' decision to deny en banc review. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant was notified in the underlying order denying en banc review that “[T]he full court has been advised of the petition for rehearing en banc, and no judge of the court has requested a vote on it.”

For the reasons stated by the Chief Judge and based upon the controlling authority cited in support thereof, we affirm.