

FILED

SEP 9 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 15-90050, 15-90051,
15-90052, 15-90053, 15-90054,
15-90055, 15-90056, 15-90057,
15-90058 and 15-90059

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, alleges ten circuit judges violated his constitutional rights in his numerous appeals. Any disagreement complainant has with the judges' rulings is merits-related and not cognizable in a misconduct proceeding. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant claims that the subject judges are “not listed as judges, and are therefore prohibited from practicing the law in the United States of America.”

This allegation is dismissed as frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(C).

Complainant's requests for the remand of his cases, the appointment of counsel, an evidentiary hearing, a jury trial, and the repeal of a statute are denied

because they seek relief that is not available in judicial misconduct proceedings.

28 U.S.C. § 354(a)(2); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(a).

DISMISSED.