

SEP 9 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 15-90086 and 15-90098

ORDER**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that two district judges improperly remanded his civil case, must have failed to read his filings, and that they made other improper rulings. These claims relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant alleges that one of the judges is prejudiced and was trying to “cover up” for defendants. Adverse rulings are not proof of conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Further, complainant provides no objectively verifiable proof (for example, names of witnesses, recorded documents or transcripts) to support these allegations. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Without such evidence, these charges must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.