

FILED

OCT 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 15-90108

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge improperly denied her application to proceed in forma pauperis, improperly rejected the arguments raised in her objections, and improperly denied her motion for reconsideration. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge’s rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judge’s order denying in forma pauperis status included “untrue comments concerning complainant.” Complainant does not specify any false statements, and the record shows that complainant’s application was denied because her related civil complaint raised frivolous and non-cognizable claims. Accordingly, complainant’s allegations are dismissed as unfounded and for failure to allege misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii);

In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 647 F.3d 1181, 1182 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) (“Because complainant doesn’t allege conduct ‘prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts,’ her charges must be dismissed”); Judicial-Conduct Rules 11(c)(1)(A), (D).

DISMISSED.