

OCT 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 15-90116

ORDER**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a magistrate judge improperly denied his jurisdictional challenge and discovery motions, conducted independent research, took judicial notice of certain documents, and made other improper rulings in the underlying case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that the judge mailed forged documents to complainant. Complainant offers no objectively verifiable proof that the documents in question are in fact forged, or that the judge had any reason to suspect that they were forged, and accordingly this allegation is dismissed as speculative and unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); In re Complaint

of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council
2009)(“complainant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively
verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.