

**FILED**

OCT 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 15-90121

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, filed a misconduct complaint naming a district judge of this circuit, but made no specific allegations against that judge in his statement of facts. “Under our rules, a judicial misconduct complaint ‘must contain a concise statement that details the specific facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based.’” In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 630 F.3d 968, 968–69 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2010). Because complainant’s statement of facts does not include a concise statement detailing his allegations of misconduct against the named district judge, the complaint is dismissed for failure to conform. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i); Judicial-Conduct Rule 6(b)).

To the extent complainant intended to raise allegations against a magistrate judge, that judge has retired, so those allegations were not considered. See In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 91 F.3d 90, 91 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1996); Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

To the extent complainant alleges that the district judge improperly denied his motion to review or reconsider the magistrate judge's rulings, or improperly adopted any of the magistrate judge's recommendations, these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

**DISMISSED.**