

FILED

DEC 21 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 15-90151, 15-90152,
15-90153, 15-90154, 15-90155,
15-90156, 15-90157, 15-90158,
15-90159, 15-90160, 15-90161
and 15-90162

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, filed a misconduct complaint naming nine magistrate judges and three district judges and listing nearly 50 habeas or civil cases in which the judges have made rulings. Complainant alleges that the judges are not complying with the law and, by not ruling in his favor, are subjecting him to involuntary servitude. Any disagreement complainant has with the judges' rulings is merits-related and not cognizable in a misconduct proceeding. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that the judges discriminated against him but does not state on what basis. Because complainant fails to substantiate this charge in any way, it is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re

Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009);

Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Any further complaints that present similar allegations may be dismissed summarily as frivolous, and complainant is cautioned that the Judicial Council may restrict and sanction “a complainant who files vexatious, repetitive, harassing, or frivolous complaints, or otherwise abuses the complaint procedure” from filing further complaints. Judicial-Conduct Rule 10(a).

DISMISSED.