

**FILED**

FEB 10 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 16-90013

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge engaged in unspecified misconduct and, due to an unspecified “temporary condition,” is unable to discharge the duties of the judicial office. These vague allegations are unsupported by any evidence or specific statements of fact, and are dismissed as unfounded and incapable of being established through investigation. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. 2009) (“claimant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rules 11(c)(1)(D), (E).

**DISMISSED.**