

FILED

DEC 5 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 16-90151, 16-90152,
16-90153, 16-90154, 16-90155,
16-90156 and 16-90157

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant who filed four separate civil complaints, alleges that the four magistrate judges and three district judges assigned to his underlying cases improperly dismissed the actions for lack of jurisdiction, and made various other improper rulings. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that one of the named magistrate judges is “false, dirty, corrupt [and] abus[ive].” Complainant’s vague and conclusory allegations fail to raise any inference that misconduct has occurred, and these charges must be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370, 1372 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) (noting that “vague accusations and convoluted demands don’t satisfy complainant’s

obligation to provide objective evidence of misconduct”); Judicial-Conduct Rules

11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.