FILED

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JAN 20 2017

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 17-90003 and 17-90004

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, alleges that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper evidentiary and other rulings in the underlying habeas case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that both judges committed fraud, held ex parte communications, altered the record, and committed bribery and misprision.

Adverse rulings are not evidence of fraud, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016); In re Complaint of

<u>Judicial Misconduct</u>, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.