JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 17-90019

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, alleges that a district judge improperly denied post-conviction motions and made various other improper rulings related to complainant's sentencing. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); <u>In re</u> <u>Charge of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judge is biased and has retaliated against complainant for challenging his sentence, and that the judge's statements at a resentencing hearing demonstrate such bias. A review of the underlying record, including the transcript of the resentencing hearing, belies complainant's allegations. None of the judge's comments suggest bias or improper motive in sentencing, and complainant's allegations are dismissed as unfounded and conclusively refuted by objective evidence. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii),

FILED

MAR 23 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS (B); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.