

FILED

JUL 13 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 17-90063, 17-90064,
17-90065 and 17-90066

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge and three circuit judges are biased against pro se litigants and have conspired to deprive complainant of his due process rights. Complainant further alleges that the district judge improperly declared him a vexatious litigant. However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias or conspiracy, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which must be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). To the extent complainant disagrees with the judges' orders, these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges, without providing any evidentiary support, that the district judge is mentally disabled. This charge is dismissed as unfounded.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 647

F.3d 1181 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.