### JUDICIAL COUNCIL

#### **OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

#### IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 17-90073

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

### THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant alleges that the district judge who dismissed his civil case is biased against pro se litigants. However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii);. <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Further, complainant alleges that the judge improperly interpreted evidence and did not give him a fair opportunity to present his case, among other allegedly improper decisions. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); <u>In re Charge of</u> <u>Judicial Misconduct</u>, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

# **FILED**

SEP 28 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS Complainant's allegations against court staff are dismissed because this misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. <u>See In re</u> <u>Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 632 F.3d 1287, 1288 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

## **DISMISSED.**