

DEC 13 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 17-90110 and 17-90111

**ORDER****THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, makes several allegations against a district judge and a magistrate judge. To the extent complainant challenges the judges' rulings, such allegations are dismissed as non-cognizable. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

To the extent complainant alleges that the judges are biased, adverse rulings are not proof of bias, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support this allegation, which is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, complainant alleges that the judges are corrupt, have “concealed illegal acts,” and “have committed personal acts which benefit private parties.” Complainant offers no proof or specific factual allegations in support of these

vague and conclusory charges, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009)(“complainant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**