

JAN 29 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 18-90001 and 18-90002

**ORDER****THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, alleges that a magistrate judge and a district judge improperly denied his motions, improperly denied a recusal motion, and made various other improper rulings in the underlying civil rights case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the magistrate judge ignored his allegations of fraud, and has conspired with the government to allow "counsel misconduct" and to conceal government corruption. Complainant further alleges that the district judge has colluded with the magistrate judge and the government by denying complainant's motion for review, and that both judges are biased against complainant. However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias or conspiracy, and

complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these speculative allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**