JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 18-90114

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 <u>et seq.</u>, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. <u>See</u> Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

FILED

DEC 19 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant filed a civil suit against a state supreme court justice for his alleged failure to change a court's document retention policies, which was dismissed by the named district judge. Complainant then filed this misconduct complaint alleging that the State Bar illegally conceals a domestic violence offender database, and that the district judge, as a state bar member, knew or should have known about this alleged concealment. Complainant contends that the district judge should thus "acknowledge her participation in the damages" sought in the underlying case. Complainant provides no evidence to support these allegations, which are dismissed as unsupported. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D); see also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii). To the extent that complainant challenges the judge's handling of her case, these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th

To the extent complainant raises allegations against state judges, such

Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

allegations are dismissed because this misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. <u>See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 632 F.3d 1287, 1288 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

DISMISSED.