FILED

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

DEC 10 2018

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 18-90135

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a state prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against the district judge presiding over his civil rights proceedings. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling,

or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the judge is biased against him and has "acted with prejudice" following a reversal and remand by the Court of Appeals. However, adverse rulings alone are not proof of bias. See In re Complaint of Judicial

Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Also, notably, the Court of Appeals did not reassign the case on remand, and another district judge denied complainant's motion to disqualify the subject judge, ruling that complainant showed no basis for recusal. Complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support his allegations of bias, which must be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 552 F.3d 1146, 1147 (9th Cir. 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.