

**FILED**

JUL 25 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 19-90074

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a former federal prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that in his underlying criminal case, the district judge improperly denied a motion to suppress, denied a motion for transfer to a psychiatric facility, rejected motions that were filed pro se, and made various other incorrect rulings in the underlying case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judge is biased and ruled against him because she has a "hidden loyalty" to the president who appointed her, and because complainant was a federal whistleblower during that president's administration. However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias, see In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these speculative allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th

Cir. Jud. Council 2009) (“claimant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, complainant alleges that the judge made derogatory statements about his mental disability in the underlying criminal proceedings. A review of the underlying record, including relevant transcript excerpts and other records provided by complainant, belies this allegation. There is no evidence that the judge treated complainant in a demonstrably egregious or hostile manner, and accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 761 F.3d 1097, 1099 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2014) (“Misconduct includes treating litigants or attorneys in a demonstrably egregious and hostile manner. The comments here do not meet that standard. The judge did not use demeaning language or heap abuse on anybody”) (internal quotations omitted); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**