FILED

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

SEP 23 2019

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT MOLLS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 19-90087

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

To the extent complainant alleges that the judge improperly granted summary judgment, dismissed complainant's underlying civil action, or made other incorrect rulings in the underlying proceedings, such allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant alleges that the judge had an improper ex parte communication. However, complainant offers no evidence in support of this allegation, and fails to cite to the record or specify the date on which this alleged communication occurred. Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) ("claimant's vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, complainant alleges that the judge is biased, and has committed bribery and conspiracy. However, adverse rulings are not proof of misconduct, and complainant offers no evidence in support of these vague and conclusory allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 900 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2018) ("adverse rulings are not proof of misconduct"); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370, 1371 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) ("adverse rulings do not prove bias or conspiracy"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

To the extent complainant raises allegations against prosecutors, state court judges, or other state officials, such allegations are dismissed because this misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. See In re

Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 632 F.3d 1287, 1288 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 1(b).

Complainant's request that the underlying case be reassigned to a different judge is dismissed because such relief is not available in these misconduct complaint proceedings. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(a).

DISMISSED.