**FILED** 

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL

MAR 4 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 19-90166

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

**ORDER** 

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district court judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(I)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district court judge refused to rule on her application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> and on a subsequent motion for injunctive relief and a protective order. An allegation of delay is not cognizable as misconduct unless the allegation concerns an improper motive in delaying a particular decision or habitual delay in a significant number of unrelated cases.

See Judicial—Conduct Rule 4(b)(2); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 584

F.3d 1230, 1231 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Complainant has not alleged either circumstance.

## DISMISSED.