

FILED

MAY 6 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 20-90026

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(I)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the judge wrongly stayed, then wrongly decided, a sanctions motions and treated defendants in a manner that differed from the way complainant was treated. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant attributes the judge's conduct to her association with the defendant's attorneys, whom she knew from work and charitable activities. But "[m]ere general allegations of intimacy of the judge with opponents are insufficient to require recusal" or create the appearance of impropriety. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 816 F.3d 1266, 1268 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (citations omitted). Moreover, "[a]llegations that a judge erred in failing to recuse are merit-related and must be dismissed." In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 756 F.3d 1143, 1144 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2014). Adverse rulings

are not proof of misconduct, bias, or retaliation, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these vague and conclusory allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 715 F.3d 747, 749 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2013) (“As we have frequently held, adverse rulings, standing alone, are not proof of misconduct”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.