

FILED

OCT 19 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 20-90063 and 20-90064

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling,

or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct.

See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant brings numerous allegations against the magistrate judge. Most of the allegations involve what complainant believes to be improper rulings by the magistrate judge. He claims the magistrate judge obstructed justice by making these erroneous rulings. These allegations directly relate to the merits of the case and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 579 F.3d 1062, 1064 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant also brings an allegation of racial bias against the magistrate judge. He alleges that the magistrate judge recommended dismissal of his case because of his race. This allegation is entirely speculative, and complainant offers no evidence to support his allegation. Furthermore, the magistrate judge recommended dismissal because the causes of action in the complaint failed to state a claim.

See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 687 F.3d 1188 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2012) (“adverse rulings alone do not constitute proof of bias”). Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded and for failure to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370, 1371 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, the complainant alleges the district judge ignored complainant’s objections to the magistrate judge’s recommendations and improperly adopted the recommendations. This allegation is merits-related and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 579 F.3d 1062, 1064 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

DISMISSED.