FILED

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

FEB 8 2021

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 20-90125

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDER

GRABER, Circuit Judge¹:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a circuit judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or

 $^{^{\}mbox{\tiny 1}}\mbox{This}$ complaint was assigned to Circuit Judge Susan P. Graber pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351(c).

lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the circuit judge improperly dismissed his complaint of judicial misconduct. He also alleges that the circuit judge's error amounts to perjury and furthers the fraud that the courts are engaged in. This allegation relates directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); Commentary to Judicial-Conduct Rule 4 ("a complaint challenging the correctness of a [circuit] judge's determination to dismiss a prior misconduct complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related").

DISMISSED.