

FILED

JUL 30 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 21-90011

ORDER

GRABER, Circuit Judge¹:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a circuit judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge[s] shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is

¹This complaint was assigned to Circuit Judge Susan P. Graber pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351(c).

frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that a circuit judge improperly dismissed his complaint of judicial misconduct. This allegation relates directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); Commentary to Judicial-Conduct Rule 3 (“a complaint challenging the correctness of a [circuit] judge's determination to dismiss a prior misconduct complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related”).

To the extent complainant alleges that the circuit judge is guilty of high crimes and misdemeanors, retaliates against all pro se litigants, violates the oath he took, is engaged in a conspiracy with all federal employees, and wants all pro se litigants killed by any means, those allegations are dismissed as unfounded because complainant provides no evidence in support of those incredible allegations. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 715 F.3d 747, 749 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2013) (“As we have frequently held, adverse

rulings, standing alone, are not proof of misconduct”); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) (“claimant’s vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.