

FILED

June 21 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 22-90017 and 22-90018

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is

frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge and magistrate judge committed misconduct by dismissing his amended complaint and failing to allow his amended complaint to be resolved on the merits. First, the district judge named by complainant did not dismiss the amended complaint; another district judge ordered the dismissal of the amended complaint. Furthermore, because these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings, the complainant has not alleged facts that might amount to judicial misconduct, and therefore the charge must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing allegations that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper rulings as merits-related); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judges discriminated against him by ruling against him, but adverse rulings are not proof of misconduct, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support this allegation, which is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 900 F.3d 1163, 1166 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2018) (dismissing as unfounded allegations that subject judges engaged in racketeering, conspiracy, and other criminal acts because complainant failed to provide objectively verifiable evidence in support of these allegations); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant's assertion that the magistrate judge was improperly involved in complainant's action without complainant's consent is without merit as the magistrate judge did not enter dispositive orders. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A)-(C).

Complainant's request for appointment of counsel is denied because such a request is not authorized.

DISMISSED.