

**FILED**

Nov.1 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

22-90020

**ORDER**

**MURGUIA**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge improperly ruled on the district judge's own disqualification and covered up the misconduct of various clerks and opposing counsel. To the extent that complainant is challenging the rulings made in the case, these allegations are merits-related and must be dismissed on that ground. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing allegations that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper rulings as merits-related); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). To the extent complainant alleges the clerk and opposing counsel failed to properly serve documents on complainant, those allegations are not reviewable under the Judicial-Conduct Rules and must be dismissed. The judicial misconduct process applies only to active federal judges. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

Complainant also alleges that the district judge was biased and had a conflict of interest based on his prior employment. Complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence in support of these vague and conclusory allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370, 1371 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) (“adverse rulings do not prove bias or conspiracy”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, complainant alleges the district judge unethically threatened complainant with sanctions. However, a review of the record reveals that the district judge warned complainant against filing motions for reconsideration that did not present new facts or new law or he would be subject to sanctions. This allegation is therefore “conclusively refuted by objective evidence” and must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B).

**DISMISSED.**