

Nov.1 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 22-90072

ORDER**MURGUIA**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

This misconduct complaint arises out of complainant's prisoner civil rights case. Complainant alleges that the magistrate judge lied by stating that the civil complaint did not provide sufficient information about the circumstances surrounding the civil rights violations. Complainant also alleges that the judge lies in all his writing, reports and recommendations, and orders. These allegations are related to the merits of the underlying case and must be dismissed on that ground. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Jud. Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as merits-related allegations that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper rulings in a civil case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Next, complainant alleges that the judge was prejudiced against complainant. Complainant does not provide any objectively verifiable evidence in support of this allegation and adverse rulings are not proof of bias. Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii)

(listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct occurred or contain allegations which are incapable of being established through investigation); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 715 F.3d 747, 749 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2013) (holding that adverse rulings alone are not proof of misconduct); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Finally, complainant alleges that the judge may be assisting the correctional officers who are trying to send complainant back to prison and murder him. Complainant does not provide any objectively verifiable evidence in support of this speculative allegation, which is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct occurred or contain allegations which are incapable of being established through investigation); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 900 F.3d 1163 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2018) (dismissing as unfounded allegations that subject judges engaged in racketeering, conspiracy, and other criminal acts because complainant failed to provide objectively verifiable evidence in support of these allegations); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.