

Nov.1 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**Nos. 22-90086, 22-90087,  
and 22-90088**ORDER****MURGUIA**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against three circuit judges. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

This misconduct complaint arises out of complainant's appeal of the dismissal of his Section 1983 case. Complainant alleges that three circuit judges dismissed his case without explanation or legal reasoning. A review of the underlying docket indicates that the judges explained that the district court properly dismissed complainant's action as time-barred because complainant failed to file his action within the applicable statute of limitations. Regardless, this allegation is merits-related and is dismissed on that ground. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Jud. Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as merits-related allegations that a district judge and magistrate judge made various improper rulings in a civil case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Additionally, one of the three circuit judges is no longer an active, federal judge. Accordingly, any allegations complainant raises against this judge are not

reviewable as the Judicial-Conduct Rules apply only to active federal judges and must therefore be dismissed on that ground. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

**DISMISSED.**