JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 22-90059 and 22-90060

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 <u>et seq.</u>, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. <u>See</u> Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is

FILED

FEB 21 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. \$ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that he has suffered discrimination on the basis of race and disability, and that his access to the courts has been impeded. He has not provided, nor does the record include, any evidence of misconduct by the named judges. Accordingly, the allegations must be dismissed. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that are frivolous or lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial</u> <u>Misconduct</u>, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) ("claimant's vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

To the extent complainant alleges misconduct by prison officials, such allegations must be dismissed as outside the scope of this proceeding. Judicial-Conduct Rule 1(a), (b).

DISMISSED.