JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUN 26 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT Nos. 23-90044, 23-90045

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge and a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 <u>et seq.</u>, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judges shall not be disclosed in this order. <u>See</u> Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge's decision to grant the defendant's motion for summary judgment lacked sufficient documentary evidence. This allegation relates directly to the merits of the judge's ruling and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including that claims are directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing allegations that a district judge and a magistrate judge made various improper rulings in a civil case as relating to the merits); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). Moreover, the record reflects that the district judge requested and received additional evidence from defendants and carefully considered all available evidence before making the ruling.

Complainant next alleges that the magistrate judge lacked jurisdiction to grant the defendants an extension of time. This allegation also relates to the merits of a ruling and must be dismissed. <u>See id.</u> Further, it is unsupported by facts or legal argument and must be dismissed as unfounded. <u>See 28 U.S.C.</u>

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) ("complainant's vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.