

JUL 3 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT****IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 23-90127

**ORDER****MURGUIA**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

The complaint is difficult to understand. It appears that complainant is alleging that the magistrate judge deliberately misstated facts to downplay retaliatory acts by prison officials that were alleged in his civil rights complaints. Complainant also claims the magistrate judge is destroying evidence, which demonstrates the judge's bias against complainant.

To the extent complainant is challenging the magistrate judge's recommendations to dismiss complainant's various complaints, such allegations relate directly to the merits of the magistrate judge's decisions, so they must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as merits-related allegations that a district judge and a magistrate judge made various improper rulings in a civil case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

As to complainant's allegations that the magistrate judge has demonstrated bias by deliberately misstating facts, downplaying retaliatory acts, and destroying evidence, complainant has failed to provide any specific evidence supporting these allegations, and these allegations are belied by the record. Thus, these meritless allegations must be dismissed as unsupported. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**