**FILED** 

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JUL 26 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 23-90143

**ORDER** 

## MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge conspired with defense counsel to ensure that complainant would lose his case. He offers absolutely no evidence of a conspiracy and adverse rulings are not proof of bias. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016). Accordingly, this allegation is dismissed as unfounded and as an impermissible challenge to the merits of the judge's decision. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), (iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including that claims are directly related to the merits of a decision, or that claims are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B), (D).

## DISMISSED.