**FILED** 

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL

SEP 11 2024

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF

No. 24-90002

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

**ORDER** 

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge demonstrated a lack of competence and a conflict of interest by denying her request for counsel.

Complainant argues that by denying her request for counsel, the district judge demonstrated he is part of a conspiracy against her. The record is absolutely devoid of any evidence supporting these allegations, and adverse rulings do not demonstrate misconduct. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 715 F.3d 747, 749 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2013) ("adverse rulings, standing alone, are not proof of misconduct"). Accordingly, these meritless allegations are dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims that are lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

To the extent these allegations relate directly to the merits of the district judge's decisions, they must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including

claims directly related to the merits of a decision); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial</u>

<u>Misconduct</u>, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as meritsrelated allegations that a district judge and a magistrate judge made various
improper rulings in a civil case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

## DISMISSED.